

THE  
HISTORY  
OF


THE EARLY  
PURITANS

*J. B. MARSDEN*

John Buxton Marsden (1803-1870) was a noted historical writer and pastor in the nineteenth century. He was born in Liverpool, admitted sizar of St. John's College, Cambridge, on April 10, 1823, and graduated B.A. in 1827. In the same year he was ordained to the curacy of Burslem, Staffordshire, whence he removed to that of Harrow, Middlesex. He earned his M.A. in 1830, and from 1833 to 1834 held the rectory of Lower Tooting, Surrey. From 1844 to 1851 he was vicar of Great Missenden, Buckinghamshire, and subsequently became the curate of St. Peter, Dale End, Birmingham.

For five years before his death, Marsden was incapacitated due to ill-health and was detained from service. He died on June 16, 1870, in Edgbaston, Birmingham.





Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2024

[https://archive.org/details/isbn\\_1899003819](https://archive.org/details/isbn_1899003819)



**THE HISTORY  
OF  
THE EARLY PURITANS**



Tentmaker Publications  
121 Hartshill Road  
Stoke-on-Trent  
Staffordshire, UK  
ST4 7LU

[www.tentmaker.org.uk](http://www.tentmaker.org.uk)

ISBN 1 899003 81 9

Published in 1850  
Reprinted 2002

THE HISTORY  
OF  
THE EARLY PURITANS:  
FROM THE REFORMATION  
TO THE OPENING OF THE CIVIL WAR  
IN 1642.

BY  
J. B. MARSDEN. M.A.

VICAR OF GREAT MISSENDEN.

LONDON:  
PUBLISHED BY HAMILTON, ADAMS, & CO.  
PATERNOSTER ROW.  
J. HATCHARD AND SON, PICCADILLY.  
SANDERS, TOOTING.

---

M.DCCCL.



## ADVERTISEMENT.

---

THE following pages are intended to form a work complete within itself. Should life and leisure be afforded to the author, he hopes, at no distant period, to publish a second volume upon the history of the later puritans; from the opening of the civil war to the close of the seventeenth century.

Many events of great interest in the political, and in the religious history of England, are passed over in this volume, with a slight reference or with none. This needs no apology. It was not the author's intention to write a history of England, or even of the church of England. The former task lay far away from his studies, his tastes, and his sacred duties; the latter has been done so lately, and so well, by others, that his chief anxiety has been to avoid the ground from which the harvest has been gathered.

The stream of puritan history runs deep and clear. The facts are well authenticated, and they



are recorded by the historians of each party with a singular agreement. With all their faults, religious writers have shewn a regard for truth, in the relation of facts, from which secular historians might yet learn some useful lessons. The author has not felt it necessary to encumber his pages, and to distract the reader's attention, with a reference to every volume he has consulted, or a confirmation of every fact he has mentioned,—a practice which modern writers of history seem to have carried to excess. Still he has endeavoured to indicate the sources of his information upon important, still more upon disputable, points: and the candid reader, whether friend or foe, will be at no loss to track his path, confirm his statements, or convict him (if he has unconsciously erred) of incorrectness.

In conclusion, the author contributes this volume to the cause of christian charity, of moderation, and of peace.

*May, 1850.*

# CONTENTS.

## CHAPTER I.

A. D. 1547.

	SECT.	PAGE.
Introduction. Discontent of some reformers. Causes of it .....	1—4	1—4
The puritans arise.....	4	4
Their history important; but written hitherto with prejudice on both sides .....	4--6	4—6
The reformation in England originated with the sovereign; abroad with the people. Effects of this on our church, and on other churches .....	7, 8	7, 8
Bishop Hooper one of the first puritans. His dislike of the vestments. History of the controversy. Opinions of Martyr and Bucer on the subject .....	9—11	9—13
Other circumstances keep alive the controversy. Foreigners in England .....	12	13, 14
The English reformers flee to Zurich, &c. ....	13, 14	15, 16
Influence of English and Foreign reformers on each other.....	16	18—20
The vestiarian controversy.....	17	20
Objections alleged against the surplice, &c.....	18—22	21—24
Answers made to the objections .....	23—27	25—29
Elizabeth in favour of the vestments .....	28	30
The bishops submit to her wishes.....	29	31—33

## CHAPTER II.

A. D. 1558.

Out of the vestiarian controversy other questions arise	1	34
The service-book objected to. Secession of English protestants at Frankfort .....	2, 3	35, 36
Their form of worship—and failure .....	4, 5	36, 37

	SECT.	PAGE.
Elizabeth becomes severe .....	7	39
Act of uniformity .....	8, 9	41—44
A convocation ..	10	44
Its proceedings .....	11—15	44—46
Irregularities in the church repressed, and many eminent ministers suspended .....	16	46
Coverdale silenced ....	17	48
Sampson, dean of Christchurch, Lawrence, Humphrey, Lever, and others silenced ...	18	49
Foxe disgraced .....	19	50
Great irritation on both sides follows .....	20—24	52—54
Some puritans secede.....	25	55
Their extravagance .....	26	57
And its evil consequence .....	28	58—60

## CHAPTER III.

A. D. 1565.

A presbyterian meeting-house erected at Wandsworth in Surrey .....	1	61
Other presbyteries formed in other places .....	2	62
The high court of commission established .....	3	62
Its character and arbitrary proceedings .....	4—6	63—66
Still puritanism increases, especially in the university of Cambridge .....	7—10	67—70
And John Cartwright becomes their leader.....	11	70
His proceedings at Cambridge .....	12—14	71—73
Grindal and Whitgift oppose him.....	15, 16	73—75
Cartwright expelled, his conduct blameable .....	17	75
His demands unreasonable.....	18—20	76—78
Still Whitgift's severity was impolitic and wrong ....	21—23	79—81
Field and Wilcox publish their admonition to the parliament, &c.....	24	81
Which Whitgift answers.....	25	82
Cartwright replies to Whitgift .....	26	83
Whitgift rejoins and Cartwright again answers him : remarks on this famous controversy .....	27—38	83—92
Neither party was perfectly consistent.....	39—41	92—94
And each party should have yielded something .....	43	94

## CHAPTER IV.

A. D. 1575.

	SECT.	PAGE.
The great leaders of the reformation now rapidly disappear .....	1	97
Moral and religious state of England at this time deplorable .....	2	98
Many of the clergy papists in disguise, and few able ministers .....	3—5	99—102
But the queen discouraged preaching .....	6	102
The puritans institute prophecyings.....	7	103
Character of these meetings .....	8—10	104—106
Confession of faith adopted in them.....	11	107
They were open to some objections, but might have been made useful .....	12	108
Grindal the primate sanctions them, as did ten other bishops.....	13	109
They are opposed .....	14—16	100—112
And finally suppressed .....	17	112
Grindal remonstrates with the queen .....	18—22	113—119
Is suspended in consequence, and dies soon after.....	23	119
His character .....	24	121
Disastrous results of the queen's policy in this affair ..	25	123

## CHAPTER V.

A. D. 1583.

Whitgift succeeds to the primacy; his character severe .....	1	126
The queen adopts a severe policy towards the puritans .....	2	126, 127
Her conduct how far to be justified .....	3—5	127—131
Instances of her severity; two anabaptists burnt; several Romish priests executed. Birchett barbarously put to death, and many puritans imprisoned .....	6—7	132—134
Whitgift's articles issued requiring strict conformity .....	8	134
A remarkable petition from the magistrates of Suffolk .....	10	136
The family of love .....	11	138
Their character and doctrines .....	12	138
They are severely punished .....	13	140
The brownists .....	14, 15	141—144
The anabaptists .....	16	144
All these treated with disgraceful severity. Sufferings of Coppin, Thacker, and others .....	17, 18	145, 146

	SECT.	PAGE.
Execution of Barrow and Greenwood .....	18	147
Church writers have not expressed a becoming indignation at these enormities .....	19	148

## CHAPTER VI.

A. D. 1583.

A new commission issued with frightful powers .....	1, 2	150—152
The distressed puritans appeal to the privy council, who remonstrate with the primate .....	3	153
The archbishop defends himself, and Cecil, Lord Burghley, replies to him .....	4	154
Whitgift again defends himself, and Cecil closes the correspondence on his part .....	6	156
But Whitgift rejoins .....	7	159
A conference between the bishops and puritans produces no good results .....	8	160
A refractory spirit exists, which Aylmer and Whitgift endeavour to repress by harsh measures .....	9	162
Sufferings of Knight and Eusebius Paget .....	10	163
Sufferings and sketch of the life of Cartwright .....	11—13	167—170
He is requested to answer the Rhemish translation ..	14, 15	170, 171
Publishes his defence of the English translation, &c., which Whitgift suppresses .....	16	172
His troubles continue; his imprisonment and happy death .....	17, 18	173—177
Sufferings of Smith, Penryn, and Udal .....	19—22	178—184

## CHAPTER VII.

A. D. 1590.

The puritans find advocates in parliament .....	1	185
Peter Wentworth takes up their cause .....	2	186
The house of commons present an address to the upper house on their behalf .....	3	189
Their demands upon the whole were reasonable .....	4	189
Discussion thereon, in the house of lords .....	5	191
Six articles of reform drawn up by the archbishop, but not carried into effect .....	6	192
The puritans again address the house of commons. Their petition .....	6	193



	SECT.	PAGE.
Which the queen resents . . . . .	7	194
They make a last effort, which the queen again resents	8	195
A re-action against the puritans . . . . .	9	197
Caused in part by the Mar-prelate pamphlets . . . . .	10, 11	197—199
Partly by the affair of Coppinger and Hacket . . . . .	12, 13	200—203
Another act for conformity ; severe and impolitic . . . .	14	203

## CHAPTER VIII.

A. D. 1592.

No differences on doctrine had yet existed . . . . .	1	205
Statement of controversies which have since arisen, but in which the early puritans took no part . . . . .	2—3	206—208
Origin of the first disagreement ; Calvinism denounced at Cambridge . . . . .	4	209
Whitgift draws up nine articles, the Lambeth Articles, and commands that they shall be received and taught in the University . . . . .	5	210
The step was ill advised ; the queen suppresses the Articles ; but the agitation has never entirely ceased	6, 7	212—214
The nature of the sacraments had not yet been contro- verted ; puritans and prelatists held precisely the same views with regard to them . . . . .	8, 9	215, 216
As did also the foreign churches . . . . .	10, 11	217—219
The authority of the Christian ministry not a subject hitherto in dispute . . . . .	12	220
Bancroft's sermon first opens the question . . . . .	12	221
The episcopalian controversy. Views of the reformers and of Hooker . . . . .	13—15	221—223
Bancroft's theory discountenanced . . . . .	15	224
Presbyterian orders had hitherto been allowed in the church of England ; case of Wittingham, dean of Durham . . . . .	16	225
And of Travers . . . . .	14	226
Act of the thirteenth of Elizabeth recognises the vali- dity of foreign orders . . . . .	15	227
Remarks upon the subject . . . . .	16—18	228—230
The endowment of religion by the state not hitherto a subject of disagreement . . . . .	19	230

## CHAPTER IX.

A. D. 1595.

	SECT.	PAGE.
State of religious parties at the close of the reign of queen Elizabeth . . . . .	1	233
Puritanism declines. . . . .	2	233
And the surplice controversy . . . . .	3	234
Many puritans conform . . . . .	4, 5	235, 236
Hooker's "polity" one great cause of this . . . . .	6	236
Literature of the puritans of this period . . . . .	7	237
Their manners. . . . .	8, 9	238—240
Their habits. Observance of the Lord's-day . . . . .	10	240
Dr. Bound publishes his book on the Sabbath, which gives rise to the Sabbatarian controversy . . . . .	10	241
Whitgift opposes him . . . . .	10, 11	240—242
Relative piety of the two parties . . . . .	11, 12	243—244
The prospect on the whole was gloomy; piety decays on both sides . . . . .	13	245

## CHAPTER X.

A. D. 1602.

Accession of James: his weak character . . . . .	1	247
Both parties wait anxiously . . . . .	2	248
Whitgift and the puritans endeavour to obtain a declaration from the king in their favour. . . . .	3	249
The puritans present the Millenary petition, its tone moderate . . . . .	4	250
Its contents . . . . .	5—9	252—254
Other petitions, some of them violent . . . . .	10	255
The Hampton Court conference called. . . . .	11	255
Its first day's proceedings . . . . .	11, 12	255—257
Its second day's proceedings . . . . .	13	258
Demands of the puritans . . . . .	14	259
Continued . . . . .	15	261
The king browbeats the puritans. His disgraceful conduct . . . . .	16—19	262—264
The third day's conference, the puritans silenced and disheartened . . . . .	20	265
Various opinions existed upon the result . . . . .	21	267

	SECT.	PAGE.
The puritans have always spoken of it with contempt.	21	267
Death of Whitgift ; his character . . . . .	22	268
Contrasted with Cartwright's . . . . .	23	270
A convocation assembled . . . . .	24	271
It issues the constitutions and canons of the church of England . . . . .	25	271
Their spirit hard and rigorous ; but many of them long obsolete and the rest still useful . . . . .	26	272
Rudd, bishop of St. David's, opposes them in convocation	27, 28	274, 275
A concession to the puritans . . . . .	29	275
A proclamation commanding strict conformity. Suffer- ings of Maunsel, Ladd, and Fuller . . . . .	30	276
And of Arthur Hildersham . . . . .	31—35	277—281
Their conduct demands our sympathy, but not always our approval . . . . .	36	282

## CHAPTER XI.

A. D. 1610.

The puritans begin to think of leaving England . . . . .	1	285
The Huguenots had led the way to America . . . . .	2	286
John Robinson and his congregations of Brownists at Leyden resolved to emigrate to America . . . . .	3	287
And hire two vessels, the Mayflower and Speedwell. The pilgrim fathers . . . . .	4	288
They leave Leyden and their pastor . . . . .	5	288
Robinson's parting advice . . . . .	6	289
They sail from Southampton . . . . .	7	291
And arrive in America . . . . .	8	292
Their settlement and sufferings . . . . .	9, 10	293—296
Their good conduct and piety . . . . .	11	296
Estimated differently by the Americans and ourselves.	12	299
A stern disposition appears . . . . .	13	300
War with the Pequod Indians . . . . .	14	301
They massacre 600 of the Indians . . . . .	15, 16	302, 303
Remarks upon this . . . . .	17	303
They become intolerant . . . . .	18—20	305, 306
And expel the Browns . . . . .	21	306
Roger Williams : his character and principles : he is banished . . . . .	22—24	306—310
Whitgift's severe conduct, how far justifiable . . . . .	25	310

	SECT.	PAGE.
Their intolerance increases. . . . .	26	312
They persecute the quakers. Mary Burden whipped	27	313
Mary Dyer banished. She returns; is condemned to death. Execution of two quakers, and reprieve of Mary Dyer . . . . .	28—30	314—316
Her second trial. Mary Dyer is executed . . . . .	30—33	317, 318
Reflections . . . . .	34	318
Roger Williams founds the state of Rhode Island . . . .	35, 36	320, 321
Success of the pilgrim fathers as religious colonists considered . . . . .	37	323

## CHAPTER XII.

A. D. 1618.

The puritan cause assumes a new character. . . . .	1	325
Further decay of piety . . . . .	2	325
The calvinistic controversy arises . . . . .	3	327
The synod of Dort. Deputies sent from England . . . .	4	329
Character of this famous synod. . . . .	5	330
The controversy reaches home . . . . .	6	332—334
All seriousness now treated with indiscriminate contempt. The book of sports issued . . . . .	7, 8	336—340
Several causes increase the apprehension of all sincere protestants . . . . .	9	341
As for instance, the favour shown to the papists . . . .	10	343
And the king's interference with the Scotch church . .	11	347
The growth of arminianism another cause for anxiety. John Goodwin, his arminianism. . . . .	12	348
Arminianism of Laud . . . . .	13—15	352—355
Growth of ritualism a third cause of anxiety . . . . .	16	356
Growth of the new principles. . . . .	17	359
Severities inflicted by the Laudians. Sherfield, recorder of Sarum . . . . .	18	361
Laud the founder of a new theology . . . . .	19	363

## CHAPTER XIII.

A. D. 1624.

Laud's influence increases with Charles 1st, the court, and aristocracy. The doctrinal puritans . . . . .	1	364
Causes of the sudden growth of the Laudian principles	2, 3	365—368

# CONTENTS.

XV

	SECT.	PAGE.
The Laudian party is consolidated .....	4	370
Archbishop Abbott is degraded .....	5	370
Williams, bishop of Lincoln and lord keeper, disgraced and fined. Osbaldeston punished .....	6	373
Davenant, bishop of Salisbury, another victim .....	7	376
And Hall, bishop of Exeter—character and writings. He suffers from all parties .....	8, 9	377—379
Laud's tyranny .....	10	381
The doctrinal puritans entitled to our highest reverence	11	382
They were the evangelists of the age .....	11	382

## CHAPTER XIV.

A. D. 1640.

The history of the early puritans draws to a close....	1	385
The democratic puritans appear .....	2	385
Their rise. Bishop Hall's description of them .....	2, 3	385, 386
State of the nation and parliament .....	4, 5	389, 390
Religious grievances. Preaching discouraged.....	6	391
The book of sports revived .....	7	393
The Scotch irritated. The kirk assailed .....	8	395
They repeat the solemn league and covenant .....	9	396
A convocation; it enacts new canons .....	10, 11	397—399
It imposes the et cetera oath.....	12	399
The long parliament meets. Impeaches Laud.....	13	401
Frenzy of the common people .....	14, 15	403—405
A last effort to conciliate made by the house of lords. A committee for religion. Its utter failure.....	16	406
The war begins. Few puritans take part with the king. They join the parliament .....	17, 18	409, 410
Reasons of their conduct .....	19, 20	411—413
Their faults were great .....	21	415
Their method of applying the old testament .....	22—24	419, 420
Their doctrine of impressions .....	25, 26	421, 422
Both sides take up arms with too little reluctance ...	26	422
The church overthrown.....	27	423
And from this time puritanism decays.....	28	425





THE  
HISTORY OF THE EARLY PURITANS.

---

CHAPTER I.

1. The reformation was scarcely accomplished in England, when a large party began loudly to express its discontent. Great as the change was, it seemed to many of the reformers still imperfect, and they were anxious to give it a new impulse, and to extend it further. The chains in which the English church had been fettered for a thousand years were broken; and now the task remained to model it anew, yet so as to retain the visible unity which it had worn beneath the papacy. But here the difficulties were great. For the same resolute and dauntless spirit which had carried the nation through its conflict with Rome, re-appeared under another form. It distrusted all interference in spiritual affairs, and seemed ready to abjure all authority, as though to acknowledge a superior had only been to submit to another and a meaner usurpation. More intent upon the end to be attained than cautious in the means employed, it would have hazarded one reformation in order to have brought about another; and

CHAPTER  
I.  
EDW. VI.  
A.D. 1547.

CHAPTER risked the vast advantages already secured, for the  
 I. sake of further changes of inferior moment, if not  
 EDW. VI. of questionable utility.

A.D. 1547. 2. To a great extent this was the legitimate consequence of the reformation, and its further and inevitable development. Great changes, especially if sudden, generally leave their authors dissatisfied: for anticipation outruns fulfilment, and more has been expected than can possibly be achieved. And the wisest reforms, since they provide no security against the prejudices and ignorance of the multitude, while at the same time they inflame their passions, are often bitterly decried by those who were most clamorous to effect them. Reformers in all ages complain of this ingratitude. They are blamed for failures which were unavoidable; the imperfections of their toil and labour are magnified; the real worth of their services in the cause of truth is undervalued. Their difficulties meet with no sympathy: it is only their infirmities that are viewed with stern justice and without abatement.

3. And yet, upon the other hand, it seems unreasonable that the ardent love of truth and the spirit of inquiry, once quickened into life, should consent to stay its progress whenever the first leaders of the movement announce a difficulty, or decline a fresh encounter. The leader who blames his followers for rashness may himself have been overtaken with incapacity. In such circumstances wisdom lies no doubt between a servile acquies-

cence and a hot and precipitate daring. These opposite vices are, in their consequences, alike unfavourable to the best interests of man. The one crushes the spirit; the other blinds the judgment. The former extinguishes the desire of improvement; the latter too often renders all progress impossible. The perfection so eagerly sought may be visionary and unattainable, and the time lost in the pursuit of it may be fatal to other and more important, because more reasonable, projects of advancement.

4. Thus, the reformation was yet in progress when the PURITANS sprang at once into a vigorous existence. Under this name were very soon comprised all those, however differing amongst themselves, who sought for further change in the forms and discipline of the English church. The name itself confers no dishonour, though borne with impatience, and often resented as a grievous wrong. It was applied in scorn: but age and use have made it venerable. No clear account of the origin of this now famous title has been handed down. It seems to imply that if the professions of those to whom it was first given were high, their lives at least were consistent, and their morals *pure*. The name occurs soon after the accession of queen Elizabeth, though it was not much in use for ten years afterwards. It then became the title of a party which, for upwards of a century, exercised in England an influence, whether for good or evil, such as no other party, civil or religious, has obtained at any period of

CHAPTER  
I.  
EDW. VI.  
A.D. 1547.

CHAPTER our history. And this influence, though weakened,  
 I. still survives. Of this we have at least one pain-  
 EDW. VI. ful and conclusive proof. Men still approach the  
 A.D. 1547. history of the puritans on both sides, with vio-  
 lence and prejudice ; and it is only a living prin-  
 ciple that can call up these strong passions. We  
 do not war in earnest with the dead. The memory  
 of things which have no existence but in history,  
 provokes no real animosity. The eager conflict  
 indicates the presence of a real foe.

5. Except by the writers (a large class unhap-  
 pily) who treat religion with indifference, scarcely  
 an attempt has been made to present the history  
 of the puritans to their countrymen with impar-  
 tial fairness. Their own partisans have defended  
 them with warmth, but without discrimination ;  
 and they have been assailed with all the rancour  
 of civil and religious hatred : an impartial history  
 has scarcely been essayed, still less accomplished.  
 And yet, oppressed as it has been with grievous  
 slanders on the one hand, and with adulation  
 scarcely less injurious to a lasting reputation on  
 the other, the name survives. Wherever the re-  
 ligion, the language, or the free spirit of our  
 country has forced its way, the puritans of old  
 have some memorial. They have moulded the  
 character and shaped the laws of other lands, and  
 tinged with their devouter shades unnumbered  
 congregations of Christian worshippers, even  
 where no allegiance is professed or willing ho-  
 mage done to their peculiarities. It is a party  
 that has numbered in its ranks many of the best,



and not a few of the greatest, men that Eng-  
land has enrolled upon her history. Amongst the  
puritans were found, together with a crowd of our  
greatest divines and a multitude of learned men,  
many of our most profound lawyers, some of  
our most able statesmen, of our most renowned  
soldiers, and (strangely out of place as they may  
seem) not a few of our greatest orators and poets.  
Smith and Owen, Baxter and Howe, were their  
ministers, and preached amongst them. Cecil  
revered and defended them while he lived; so did  
the illustrious Bacon; and the unfortunate Essex  
sought his consolations from them when he came  
to die. They were the men whom Cromwell  
dreaded and deceived, and amongst whom Hamp-  
den fought and perished. Milton owned allegi-  
ance to their principles, and lent them a pen still  
immortal though steeped in gall. Of wealth and  
wit and patriotism they had at least their fair  
proportion. They boasted, not without reason,  
that the first college, in either university, founded  
by a protestant, was the magnificent donation of  
their own Sir Walter Mildmay at Cambridge;  
dedicated, not to legendary saints or superstitious  
fears, but to the divine IMMANUEL; and built,  
not for the promotion of a stupid superstition,  
but in the pious hope that the gospel of the Son  
of God might never want an advocate while its  
foundation should endure. They were our own  
countrymen; and their history is, in its glories or  
its darker shades, in truth our own. During  
the period of which we shall attempt to write,

CHAPTER  
I.  
EDW. VI.  
A.D. 1547.

CHAPTER I. they were members of the church of England. They were anxious for improvement, sometimes fretful for change, but they revered the great principle of an established church, and did not entertain a thought of separating from its communion. Some of them would have moulded it anew ; but few or none of them desired its overthrow.

EDW. VI.

A. D. 1547.

6. It shall be our aim in the following pages to do justice both to these men and to their opponents: to write a faithful record of the virtues of the puritans and of their faults ; to shew how much we owe to the one, and how much we suffer from the other ; to describe their wrongs with respect and sympathy, and yet to display in its turn their own intolerance. It is an enterprise not without its perils : even now the historian has need of caution when he plants his foot amidst the ashes of a departed age. Its fires yet smoulder, and its passions are not extinguished. Yet the pursuit of truth is pleasant, whatever dangers we encounter by the way ; and in the study of the virtues and sufferings of our forefathers, nay in the dispassionate review of their weaknesses and faults, we shall meet with our reward. Nor must we forget that by these men, whatever their infirmities, the foundations of our English liberties were fastened and secured. We enjoy the fruits for which they toiled ; and warned by their misfortunes, we may escape the rocks on which they perished.

7. The reformation in England originated with the monarch, and was transmitted to the people

through the regular forms of the constitution. CHAPTER  
 Upon the continent and in Scotland, the order <sup>I.</sup>  
 was reversed. With the exception of a few of the EDW. VI.  
 minor German states, in which the reigning princes A. D. 1547.  
 led the movement they were unable to control, the  
 reformation was begun by the people, and carried  
 into effect against the will of the higher civil au-  
 thorities, or without their assistance. The cha-  
 racter of this vast revolution, both at home and  
 abroad, partook of the circumstances of its origin,  
 and in each case still retains the visible impres-  
 sion of its peculiar parentage. In England, the  
 reformed religion immediately assumed the out-  
 ward symbols of a monarchical institution, and  
 the church, represented by its higher clergy,  
 again, as before the reformation, took its place in  
 the constitution, without exciting jealousy in the  
 crown, or stimulating those passions, which the  
 possession of a new and formidable power inva-  
 riably creates, amongst the people. The spirit of  
 the institution was naturally tinged by the same  
 circumstances. It was a matter of course, in a  
 reformation of which a succession of sovereigns  
 were the first promoters, that episcopacy should  
 be retained, and not less so that a certain degree  
 of magnificence and splendour should invest the  
 national church, and display itself both in the  
 dignities of its hierarchy and in the ceremonial  
 of its public worship: and thus the church of  
 England assumed, and has ever since retained,  
 a more exact gradation of spiritual dignities, and a

CHAPTER more stately mode of worship, than the reformed  
I. churches of other lands. Upon the continent the  
EDW. VI. higher clergy, following the general example of the  
A. D. 1547. civil powers, stood aloof, or met the reformation  
with fierce hostility. Scarcely a churchman of  
rank joined with it. Princes and prelates viewed  
it at first with equal scorn, and afterwards, as they  
learned something of the vast and awful power it  
wielded, with equal hatred. Thus the reformation  
in Germany, France, and Switzerland was a popular,  
and sometimes a plebeian, movement. To a  
certain extent the case was similar in Scotland;  
except that the barons and chief estates of the  
kingdom being already arrayed against the sovereign,  
the management of the reformation fell  
into their hands; and the struggle between the  
new and old opinions became political, and was  
embittered with another element of civil strife.

8. Thus the reformation in the foreign churches,  
originating among the common people and the inferior  
clergy, took a democratic form. They became  
presbyterian in their government, and simple  
even to excess in their modes of worship. For the  
one they pleaded necessity; for the other the sanction  
of primitive antiquity, and the tenor of the  
new testament. None of them at first rejected  
episcopacy as unlawful. Calvin and others have  
recorded their concurrent sense of its importance;  
though in effect they considered themselves at  
liberty, under the circumstances in which they  
were placed, to reconstruct the reformed churches

upon another model. For the simplicity of their forms of worship they made no apology ; differing in this, though without personal animosities or any feeling of unkindness, from the great reformers of the church of England. In fact, the closest friendship existed between the leaders of the reformation throughout the whole of Europe. Their cause, their dangers and triumphs, and their great foe the papacy, were every where the same ; and it would be difficult to say whether the warmth of their affection for each other or their hatred of papal superstition, their catholic spirit or their righteous and unsparing zeal, were the master passion of their breasts.

CHAPTER  
I.

EDW. VI.  
A. D. 1547.

9. Amongst the first who introduced into England the controversy which soon afterwards ripened into puritanism, was a name no less revered than that of the martyr-bishop Hooper.\* He had lived some time abroad, and was the friend of Bullinger and Gualter, the two leaders of the protestant cause in Germany and Switzerland. Returning home in the days of Edward the Sixth, his piety and talents were at once appreciated, and he was nominated, in the spring of 1550, to the see of Gloucester. But his conscience was embarrassed ; and in his person a contest began which has never since been stilled. He demurred first to the oath of consecration, and secondly to the robes in which the episcopal investiture usually

\* Burnet, Hist. of the Reformation, part iii. book iv. Strype's Memorials of Cranmer, vol. ii. ch. 17, § 212. Foxe's Martyrs.



CHAPTER I. took place ; and he wrote to the king an earnest request that he might be allowed either to decline the bishoprick, or to be admitted to it without the usual oath and ceremonial. His objection, so far as the oath was involved, seems to have been easily removed. The obnoxious passage, in which he was required to swear “ by God, *by the saints*, and by the holy gospels,” was at once altered by the king’s own hand, in the presence of the council, when Hooper’s protest against the impiety of a solemn appeal to the departed saints was placed before him. But the greater difficulty still remained. Hooper would by no means consent to wear the vestments. He refused to be consecrated in the dress which had been worn by the bishops of the church of Rome, and which he regarded as a badge of antichrist. Cranmer was then archbishop of Canterbury, and Ridley bishop of London ; and they endeavoured to convince him that his scruples were unfounded. But their persuasions and arguments failed ; and the three illustrious men gave a short triumph to the enemies of the reformation by a contention not free from human weakness. The council, in the king’s name, requested Cranmer to give way and proceed with Hooper’s consecration ; but Cranmer refused to do so ; not thinking, says his biographer, that even such a mandate was a sufficient authority for the breach of an existing law.\* Still he does not appear to have been insensible of Hooper’s

\* Strype’s Memorials of Cranmer, book ii. c. 17.



worth, far less influenced by any private dislike or jealousy.\* His conduct claims our respect, as that of a man who, in arbitrary times, revered the authority of the law, and held it to be superior to the mere commands of the sovereign. The professorships of divinity at Oxford and Cambridge were then filled by two eminent foreigners, Peter Martyr and Bucer, whom the archbishop, by the king's command, had invited to those posts of distinguished honour, and, in those changeful times, of equal danger. He desired each of them to write to Hooper on the subject of the vestments, supposing that the judgment of those who were esteemed in their own churches abroad as leaders of the reformation, would have great weight. Meanwhile Hooper continued to inveigh in his sermons, and often with some asperity, against the vestments. The privy council, in consequence, confined him to his own house: but his ardent spirit disdained to be silent. His zeal was not always tempered with discretion. He could not preach upon the decalogue without

CHAPTER  
I.EDW. VI.  
A. D. 1551.

\* In Stowell's History of English Puritans, p. 65, I find a passage quoted from the early *Latin* Edition of Foxe's Martyrs, but omitted in the English translation, as the author says, *out of too great tenderness towards that* [the bishop's] *party*, to this effect, "There are those who think the bishops would have endeavoured to take away his life: for his servant told me the duke of Suffolk sent such word to Hooper, who was not himself ignorant of what they were doing." It is much to be regretted that Foxe should ever have listened to such an accusation, supported on no better evidence than a mere hearsay, reported at second hand by a servant. But it is a painful evidence of the spirit in which even living authors continue to write on the puritan history, that an act of justice on Foxe's part, in not repeating a rumour unsupported by a shade of evidence, should be construed into "too great tenderness" to the unaligned party, at the head of which stand the revered names of Ridley and Cranmer.

CHAPTER

I.

EDW. VI.

A. D. 1551.

4th Nov.  
1550.

8 D. c.

including "the Aaronic garments" among the violations of the fourth commandment.\* He still preached in London, and did not spare the forbidden topic. He even published a book upon the subject, in answer to his opponents; which seems to have been regarded as an act of great contumacy. By order of the privy council he was now silenced, and imprisoned in the Fleet.

10. The letters of Martyr and Bucer upon the subject are still extant. Each of them, but especially the latter, enters at large into the merits of the question. The conclusion at which Martyr arrives is, that Hooper should consent: "yet," he adds, "when I consider the superstition and contention the vestments have occasioned, I could wish they were abandoned." Bucer considers them "as in themselves indifferent;" and implores him for the sake of the church of Christ to dismiss his scruples and accept an office of such vast importance, and one to which, in his judgment, he was especially called, no less by the voice of the sovereign and the necessities of the church, than by his possession of those gifts which qualify for so important an office.†

11. Whatever our decision may be upon the question at issue, no man who now reads the correspondence will lightly condemn Hooper for fickleness of purpose, if, swayed by such advisers, he consented to use the vestments in the ceremonial of his consecration, and to preach in them, once at least, before the court. It seems uncertain

\* See Hooper's Sermons on the Decalogue. 4th Commandment.

† Strype's Mem. Cran. ii. 17, § 213.

whether he ever wore them afterwards. Thus he exchanged his prison for a bishoprick. His friends were overjoyed. Peter Martyr waited at Oxford to receive him on his progress to his diocese in the west; and wrote to Bullinger expressing the happiness he felt in common with all good men at so great a triumph.\* On the 8th March, 1551, he was consecrated bishop of that cathedral, in sight of which, four years afterwards, he died a martyr. Ridley, in whose diocese he had been so harshly used, was brought, and almost at the same time, to the same fiery ordeal. It was a touching message which the one of these devoted men sent to the other, when death in its most dreadful form was near, though disfigured in the quaint language of their times. "We have been two in white: let us be one in red." The "chimere and rochet" now appeared to both of them in another light. So the greatest contentions of good men dwindle on the approach of death.

12. This affair of bishop Hooper made a deep impression. His elevated position, his popular eloquence, his dauntless courage, and above all his glorious martyrdom, embalmed his memory, and rivetted his opinions in the hearts of the reformers. Other circumstances occurred to keep alive the controversy which had now unhappily

\* Aliquos episcopos habemus, non pessimos, inter quos est uti signifer Cantuariensis. Deinde co-optatus est in eorum album, Hooperus magnâ porro bonorum omnium letitiâ. Martyr to Bullinger, 1 June 1550. in Burnet's Collection.

CHAPTER I.  
EDW. VI.  
A. D. 1551.

arisen. Several congregations of German protestants, fleeing from continental persecution, had found an asylum in England. One of the principal of these was settled in London under the pastoral care of John Alasco, a man of great repute, the friend and patron of Erasmus; while another was placed by the patriotic wisdom of the duke of Somerset, the protector during the king's minority, at Glastonbury, upon the lands of the famous monastery then recently dissolved. Here they introduced their peculiar craft. They were cloth workers; and from them the kingdom derived one of its greatest manufactures; and the western counties of England, after three centuries, acknowledge with gratitude that the boundless fields of enterprise and wealth they still cultivate were first pointed out by a persecuted band of Flemish refugees. But at that period the strangers met with little sympathy. The neighbourhood was one of the last strongholds of popery; and the common people were taught to insult and thwart the strange society of men whose language was unknown, whose religion was abhorred, and whose industry was not appreciated; but who profanely tenanted the lands so long held sacred, and which a hoary superstition connected in every mind with Joseph of Arimathea, the reputed apostle of the western country. The effect, however, of these various colonists upon the religious parties in England, though at length considerable, was not immediately apparent.



13. But a change was again at hand. Mary CHAPTER I.  
 succeeded to the throne, and the antient super-  
 stitions were restored. The influence of the EDW. VI.  
 foreigners in matters of religion, however imper- A. D. 1552.  
 ceptible, must have been already such as to excite  
 suspicion ; for they were commanded to leave  
 the kingdom without delay. Nor did they retire  
 alone. A furious burst of persecution drove with  
 them a thousand of our countrymen,—who pos-  
 sessed the means of thus providing for their safety,—  
 who wanted the iron nerve which God bestows on  
 those he calls to martyrdom,—or who felt that to re-  
 main at home was to incur a needless hazard, since,  
 for the present, nothing could be done. The Low  
 Countries, the free cities of the Rhine, and Swit-  
 zerland, were now filled in turn with the English  
 wanderers. Frankfort, Basle, Zurich, and Geneva,  
 were the towns of their chief resort ; for there the  
 doctrines of the reformation had taken the strongest  
 hold, and there its most eminent professors dwelt.

14. A conference of eminent divines, mingled 1553.  
 with a crowd of learned and pious men of secular  
 pursuits, was thus assembled, though without  
 design, to which the annals of the church affords  
 no precedent, and in some respects no parallel.  
 They were men of various nations, but intent upon a  
 common object ; the greatest that has ever occu-  
 pied the energies of man. To vanquish the great  
 antichrist, and restore the religion of Christ to the  
 myriads from whom it had been so long withheld ;  
 to make provision for its continued establishment  
 among the nations of Europe, and for its preser-

CHAPTER I.   


---

 MARY   
 A. D. 1553. variation from decay by any future corruptions ; to continue the fight with popery, now that the tide of battle was rolling fearfully against them, with that assurance of success which nothing could warrant except (what they largely possessed) faith in the written word of God, and a masculine hold upon its prophetic intimations of the certain fall of antichrist ; such was the burden of their thoughts and the subject of their frequent conferences as they met during several successive years in social intercourse. And the men were equal to their task. They were scholars of high attainments ; and the differences of race and language were insignificant in an age when Latin was the vernacular tongue of educated men.

15. The Zurich Letters\* still remain to testify that, in classical taste as well as in theology, the monkish puerilities had been marvellously dissipated from the minds of men who one and all were tutored in their youth under monkish discipline. Nor was scholarship their only boast. They were men of great and diversified experience ; of practical habits ; of energy and zeal ; and above all of fervent and exalted piety. Here were to be found the firm and gentle Jewel, the future apologist, and bishop, of the church of England ; and the resolute Knox, the reformer of the north. Here too were Grindal, Sandys, and Pilkington ; besides Parkhurst, and Humphrey, and Wittingham, and others scarcely less renown-

\* First published in part by Bishop Burnet in his *Hist. Reformation*, and now at large by the Parker Society, in 2 vols.



ed as the champions of the English reformation. And with these there was a host of names scarcely, if at all, inferior:—Coverdale, ever honoured by those who reverence the bible : Nowel, the future dean of St. Paul's, whose catechism, approved in convocation and sanctioned by the church of England, it would be needless to mention, and presumptuous to applaud ; and Foxe, whose plaintive and authentic story of the martyrs will be read, while time shall last, with indignation or with tears. In short, besides five bishops and an equal number of deans, the character of those who fled may be surmised from the fact, that no less than fifty were doctors in divinity of English universities. And even of the laity, including noblemen, merchants, and artificers, who had less to fear, the number was about a thousand. Mingled with these were the leaders of the continental reformation. The English refugees had constant intercourse with Calvin, (a name as much honoured then as it has been since depreciated in England), with Gualter, and with Peter Martyr and Alasco, whom the reflux surge of persecution had beaten back upon their native shores ; and above all with Henry Bullinger. Of the last the historical fate is singular and affecting. Scarcely known in England in the nineteenth century, he was the sponsor of the English reformation. Jewel and Parkhurst, and Cox and Horn and Pilkington, who became bishops—and Sandys and Grindal, archbishops—of the church of England ; not to men-

CHAPTER  
I.  
MARY,  
A. D. 1553.

CHAPTER tion John Foxe and Wiburne, Richard Hilles (a  
 I. London merchant) and Humphrey and Sampson,  
 MARY, worthies of our church in its first days, were  
 A.D. 1553. amongst the affectionate, it might be said the re-  
 verential, correspondents of this great man. They  
 address him with every title of respect; as their  
 father and their counsellor. The tidings of his  
 death draw forth a burst of tenderness, and they  
 console each other with the assurance that his  
 fame shall be immortal. And those who read in  
 his still extant letters, and in his more elaborate  
 writings, the record of his wisdom, are at no loss  
 to comprehend the secret of their respect and re-  
 verence, however they may be perplexed to under-  
 stand the oblivion of his name—a name that once  
 promised, at least in the reformed churches,  
 honor and immortality. But such men are  
 satisfied to receive their honours in a better  
 world.

16. The results of this great congress of wise  
 and thoughtful men were such as universal ex-  
 perience would teach us to expect: continued di-  
 versities on those points on which they previously  
 differed; and greater steadfastness on those on  
 which they were all along agreed. Their de-  
 testation of the papacy, and their views of evan-  
 gelical truth, were confirmed; for on these points  
 few differences, if any, had crept in; and their  
 mutual conferences served to strengthen each  
 other in the common quarrel and in the common  
 faith. On points of church government it was

different. The fusion of parties produced no perfect coalescence. Each adhered to his national forms of worship in preference to the rest; or if some occasionally went over to the episcopal party, their place was filled by deserters to the presbyterian camp. The relative strength of the two infant parties, the episcopal and presbyterian, was not immediately changed. What was gained was an equal advantage to both sides,—on both sides an increase of mutual confidence and christian love. On the death of Mary our English exiles returned home, bringing nothing back with them, as a quaint and not unfriendly chronicler has said, but much learning and some experience.\* Still it is likely that they were swayed unconsciously by the manners of the German churches. On their return to England, the contrast between the splendour of the English ceremonial and the simplicity of that abroad would be more striking. Their opponents never ceased to attribute much of the discontent that followed to the Genevan exile;† though the puritans less frequently adverted to it; founding their scruples more upon what they conceived to be the absence of scriptural simplicity than upon the practice of other churches. But the question of the habits, or as it has since been termed the vestiarian controversy, was unsettled: and it now began to wear an anxious if not a threatening aspect: and other difficulties already lowered upon the hori-

CHAPTER  
I.  
MARY,  
A.D.  
1553—58.

\* Fuller : Church His. Vol. II. Book viii.

† So Heylin, Hist. Reformation, ann. 1560 to 1565, &c.

CHAPTER ZON, even in this the early morning of the English  
 I. reformation.

---

MARY,

A. D.

1553—58.

17. This dispute with regard to the vestments to be worn by the ministers of Christ when discharging their official duties, lay at the root of many other controversies, and was the source from which they sprung. The controversy was a vital one; and few questions in the history of the christian church are more deserving of a calm dispassionate consideration. It will appear insignificant to those only who are unable to comprehend that the colours of a regiment are to be surrendered only with the life of the soldier who proudly bears them into battle; that they are symbols, insignificant in themselves it may be, but in their meaning most important. In the great conflicts in which mankind unhappily engage some visible sign is always found to express and represent the sentiment or principle most cherished or most abhorred. These are often arbitrary. The white and red roses of the houses of York and Lancaster were purely so; though ranged beneath them England poured out for half a century its noblest blood. But the vestments, at the time of the reformation, were not admitted, at least by one of the contending parties, to be merely conventional; they were supposed to represent principles of which, it was said, they formed an integral and inseparable part.—But it is necessary to pause and consider the arguments on each side as they were stated at first by the respective pleaders. During the reigns of Edward

VI. and Mary, and the first years of Elizabeth, the controversy was managed with great ability, and generally with temper and forbearance; but as the first leaders of the reformation disappeared, it fell into the hands of other disputants, and was conducted on both sides in a very different spirit.

CHAPTER  
I.  
ELIZ.  
A.D. 1558.

18. It was urged by the dissatisfied party that the imposition of the vestments was an infringement of their christian liberty. They were called under the gospel to worship God in spirit and in truth : and no outward forms or splendours could contribute in any measure to assist the devout mind in a service so spiritual and exalted. On the contrary, the tendency of these official garments was to distract the worshipper, and to debase his devotions by an admixture of those sentiments which are allowed no place in spiritual things : namely, the awe inspired by official pomp and the admiration of outward grandeur. The church had ever maintained or lost its purity just as it had resisted or allowed the allurements of external splendour. It was only safe in its simplicity ; and such was its inward glory, that any attempts to decorate could but in fact degrade it. Men had been too long the dupes of artifice and superstition ; and it became a reformation which professed nothing less than to restore to the churches of Christendom the liberties of which they had been so long deprived, as well as the truths which a vile imposture had perverted or concealed, boldly to lay aside the stratagems of



CHAPTER superstition, and to rest its influence upon the  
 I. naked force of truth.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1558.

19. Besides, the vestments against which they were now contending had a jewish origin, and belonged not to the christian ministry, but to the priesthood of the house of Aaron. To introduce them into the church of Christ was to pervert their meaning. They were a part of the divinely appointed constitution of the jewish church ; and had passed away together with the rest of its figurative and mystic ceremonial. If they were not retained as symbols, they were merely childish, and unworthy of the dignity of christian worship. If their symbolic character was admitted, then the priesthood of the clergy, one of the many corruptions of the papacy, was involved. A sacrificing priest must wear a linen ephod. If the sacrificial vestments were now retained, the sacrifice of the mass itself would probably but too soon return. As a safeguard against popery, no less than as a guarantee for the continued purity of evangelical doctrine in the reformed church, they protested against the use of garments which were at once so unnecessary and, as it seemed to them, so full of danger.

20. It was a further objection, and one that appealed not only to divines and controversialists, but to the feelings of the common people, that the vestments were identified with all the superstitions of popery. They were looked upon as the badge of antichrist ; and they who wore them were re-



garded with suspicion as men either indifferent to the cause of the reformation, or not yet sufficiently enlightened as to the danger, and indeed the sinfulness, of approaching the most distant confines of a system which ought to be avoided with alarm and horror. In similar robes the “massing priests” had performed their idolatrous services. Thus arrayed the papal bishops had denounced the reformation from their superstitious altars. Into these hated garments the martyrs had been thrust, in order that being again stripped of them, and thus degraded from the priesthood, they might, as laymen, perish in flames kindled by the secular power. Nor was it forgotten that if Hooper refused to wear them at his consecration, Cranmer and Latimer, and Taylor of Hadleigh, had expressed their contempt of them, in their last moments. Thus the detestation of the habits, as popish relics, became a popular cry and passion: and it broke out from time to time in rudeness and acts of violence.

21. And admitting the vestments to be in themselves indifferent, it was contended by the clergy who opposed their introduction, that under present circumstances they were still unlawful. For having been once consecrated to idolatry, they had received a taint which, like the leprosy of Gehazi, must cleave to them for ever. Some of their hearers still thought their ministrations invalid, or not acceptable to God, unless performed in popish apparel: some mysterious virtue was still supposed by the superstitious to be connected

CHAPTER  
I.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1558

CHAPTER with them ; and this, said they, being a prevailing  
 I. opinion, we apprehend that it is highly necessary  
 ELIZ. to disabuse the people. And lastly they urged  
 A. D. that one concession would only be the prelude to  
 1558—60. fresh demands : an argument upon which the  
 weaker party is apt, it is true, to place too much  
 reliance, and one upon which it is always sensitive.  
 “ If we are bound to wear popish apparel when  
 commanded, we may be obliged to have shaven  
 crowns, and to use oil, and cream, and spittle, and  
 all the rest of the papistical additions to the ordi-  
 nances of Christ.” Such were their objections,  
 and thus they were expressed.

22. These arguments received, no doubt, additional weight and confirmation from the fact that of all the reformed churches, the church of England was the only one which had retained the vestments. Other churches had abandoned them. Something then was due to the judgment of other churches, especially when their decision was unanimous. Something, too, was due to the great principle of godly union ; an agreement in externals ought to prevail amongst all the reformed without exception. Shall the body of Christ, it was inquired, be rent in the garments of his own ministers ? Shall the divisions of true christians, upon such a point, afford a triumph to the enemies of the gospel and of the reformation ? For the sake of peace let the English church abandon a peculiarity which many of its own members submit to with impatience, and which all other churches have rejected with disdain. Were it nothing else

than a concession to weak consciences and per-verse men, ill-informed, still it ought to be made; except it could be shewn that concession was absolutely sinful. And when every reformed church in Europe had rejected them, it would scarcely be contended that they were essential to the purity of the faith. The apostolic precept ought to decide the question. In things indifferent the weaker party must be allowed the triumph. However painful it might be, still it was a duty in the rulers of the church to "suffer all things for the elects' sake;" to give up the most harmless indulgences if they caused the feet of the lame to stumble, or cast a stone of offence in the way of the meanest of Christ's disciples.

23. Such were the arguments of those who objected to the use of the vestments. They were urged with the utmost sincerity, and, it is not too much to say, they were listened to with profound respect. During the troublous days of queen Mary the controversy was still carried on, around the fires of the martyrs and in the strange homes of the self-banished exiles. But there was at present little or no bitterness displayed. Happily for mankind the reign of Mary was brief. When her sister Elizabeth succeeded to the crown, some concessions were eagerly looked for; but none were made. It was natural that a minority who now began to think themselves oppressed should urge their cause with warmth; and by no means surprising that a popular question should be ad-

CHAPTER  
I.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1558—60.

CHAPTER vocated sometimes with clamour and impatience.

I. For this the leaders of the church made, during

ELIZ.

A. D.

1558—1560.

the first period of the controversy, a generous allowance. They viewed with deep concern a division in the English church, which might end in a secession from it. Why then did they not give way?—why not abandon the vestments, and quench the growing discord? Why not at once adopt the fashions of the continental churches? It is due to some of the greatest men that England has nourished to listen dispassionately to their reply.

24. Every christian church contains within itself the principles of self-government. If it be not only an independent but a national church, the right of self-control is one that it cannot part with without disloyalty. It may receive advice, but it may not submit to foreign interference. The church of England has retained the vestments in the exercise of its undoubted right to decree rights and ceremonies for its own use, guarding itself only against the introduction of any thing contrary to God's word. The vestments are decent and becoming, and in themselves indifferent. And in matters of order and church discipline, it is surely a maxim of caution to introduce no unnecessary changes: for these unsettle the minds of the multitude; turn them aside from what is really edifying; and excite them to the desire of still fresh experiments. Besides, the example of the primitive church, long before the rise of popery, favours some distinctive habits in

those who discharge a public function. Saint John himself, as the historian Eusebius relates, wore a *petalon*, which some translate a mitre, some a plate, or coronal of gold; but all acknowledge that it was a badge of dignity which belonged to him as bishop of Ephesus. The catechumens in the earliest ages were clothed in white when they presented themselves for baptism, a modest sign of the purity they now professed. Even their pagan forefathers, the citizens of Rome, had used a white robe in the same manner when they were *candidates*, (the word itself being expressive of the fact) for public offices. The surplice was used in the church of Christ long before the introduction of popery: and is retained by us, they said, together with some other forms, not for superstition but only for distinction; that order and decency may be preserved in the ministry of the word and sacraments. “And neither good pastors nor pious laymen,” they affirmed, “are offended at these things.”\*

CHAPTER  
I.  
ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1558—60.

25. With regard to the charge of returning to the usage of the Jewish church and restoring the garments proper to its priesthood, it was surely enough, they thought, to remind their opponents that every document they had uttered was at variance with the supposition that they regarded the ministry of Jesus Christ as a succession of the Jewish priesthood, or desired to see it invested, or degraded rather, with sacrificial robes. They now

\* So Grindal, Parkhurst, Sandys, and even Pilkington and Jewel, in the Zurich Correspondence.



CHAPTER I. protested against such a perversion of their sentiments. The christian church acknowledged only one priest, in the person of its LORD. From this doctrine they had never swerved. It was for this they fought; for this they had suffered. Indeed, there was at present no difference amongst the reformed churches upon this cardinal truth. With one consent they dismissed the fiction of a priesthood as inconsistent with the scheme of redemption perfected in the one offering of the Lord Jesus Christ. It was rather with a view to the probable consequences than the present evil of the vestments, that the dispute under this head of objection, was carried on by the opposing party. And the argument on the episcopal side was briefly this, that the vestments could mislead none who cared to listen to the doctrinal teaching of the English church.

ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1558—60.

26. Still less could they reasonably be charged with any disposition to popery. What church had been so much and so long in deadly conflict with the man of sin? What church had given so many, and they such illustrious, pledges of the depth of its hatred of the great antichrist? Nobles and gentry, bishops and archbishops, the laity and the clergy, men, women, and children, had yield themselves a ready sacrifice to his fury in prisons and at the stake: not accepting deliverance, when deliverance was thrust upon them on the sole condition of admitting a real presence in the mass. They felt, and may well be forgiven if they expressed, some indignation



when, because they retained a surplice, they were charged with a return to popery.

27. If these reasons were not sufficient, another yet remained. The reformation was itself in jeopardy in England from the continued agitation of these unfortunate, and as the episcopal party maintained, these insignificant, disputes. During the short reign of king Edward the controversy arose, and might then perhaps have been set at rest by mutual concessions ; but his early death put a stop to the progress of the reformation. During the reign of Mary it was evident that nothing could be done ; for the reformed church was broken up and scattered. And now that Elizabeth held the sceptre, fresh difficulties crowded in. The queen, as a sovereign and a woman, was equally tender of her mother's honour and her own legitimacy. The Romish party were powerful and restless ; and numbers of the gentry as well as the nobility, and not a few of the clergy, were supposed to yield but a hollow consent to the principles of the reformation. The ascendancy of the Romish party would have been fatal to the queen's happiness, if not to her throne. For the pope had already taken his position and denounced her claims. She was deeply attached to the reformation when she began her reign. And when she kissed the bible presented to her at Paul's cross on the day of her magnificent procession through London, and then pressed it to her heart, it is probable that no bosom in that vast enthusiastic crowd beat with more fervent

CHAPTER  
I.

---

ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1558—60.

CHAPTER I. loyalty to the protestant cause. It was with difficulty she could be prevailed upon to assume the title of head of the church. This, she said, belonged not to a sovereign, still less to a woman, but to Christ. It has been urged, indeed, that a lingering reverence for the papal claim of universal supremacy had some share in this unwillingness. Every thing, however, portended a happy settlement of past differences. Sound doctrine was rapidly diffused. "The true religion of Christ is settled among us : the gospel is not bound, but is freely and faithfully preached. As to other matters there is not much cause for anxiety. There is some little dispute about using or not using the popish habits : but God will put an end to these things also." So wrote bishop Sandys, of Worcester, in January 1566.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1560—65.

28. But the queen was extremely anxious that no needless offence should be given to the Romish party ; and the removal of the surplice would, she feared, afford a pretext for some new outrage. If it were a thing not sinful in itself, as not only her own divines, but those of Germany and Switzerland, informed her, then it became a question of state policy, not simply of religious controversy. The continued use of a thing indifferent in a religious sense, but important in its political bearings, must be determined not by the clergy but by the sovereign. If therefore the reformation were not injured, policy was in favour of the vestments. This seemed a reasonable conclusion, even upon the view of the

question taken by the foreign reformers. Adverse as they were to the use of the surplice, they counselled the English clergy by no means to refuse it, since the progress of the reformation seemed to depend on their compliance. The question then, as soon as it ceased to be one of conscience, became one of convenience and expediency, and of that expediency the queen and her council must judge. The foreign reformers themselves acted on this principle: for they admitted episcopacy to be scriptural, and in itself desirable; and yet established presbyterian churches on the ground of expediency. Just at the same period other influences produced a painful impression on her mind. The puritans preached before her with unmeasured vehemence. "She is in the habit of listening with the greatest patience," says bishop Cox, "to bitter and sufficiently cutting discourses."\* There is unhappily no reason to think that the bishop in a courtly mood overdrew the picture. It is a fact constantly repeated by the leaders of the reformation, "that the queen was irritated, the minds of the nobility alienated, the diseased and weak debilitated,"† by the violent appeals with which the pulpit now rang. One preacher‡ informed her majesty that she had begun her reign with the meekness of a lamb—but she was now an untamed heifer. *Olim tanquam ovis, nunc autem indomita juvenca.*

29. The vestments in short were now unhappily

\* Zurich Letters. Cox to Gualter. l. 234.

† Ibid.

‡ Dering. The story is related by all the puritan writers.

CHAPTER 1. regarded as badges not only of canonical obedience  
 ELIZ. but of loyalty to the queen herself. To abandon  
 A. D. them, or to join in the inconsiderate zeal which  
 1565—68. assailed what was by law established, would be in  
 effect to abandon the ministry: “and then, verily,”  
 said the prelates,\* “we shall have a papistical, or  
 a Lutherano-papistical, ministry, or none at all.”  
 They complain that “an ungovernable zeal for  
 discord” was abroad; and it seemed to threaten  
 danger to both church and state. It was to save  
 England from these calamities; to save the refor-  
 mation from the indiscretion of its friends, as  
 they had saved it once from the fury of its enemies,  
 that they decided on the course from which they  
 never swerved. But was the danger real, or were  
 their fears groundless? We have perhaps at this  
 distance of time no answer more reasonable than  
 an appeal to their private characters. They were  
 not crafty politicians, but a body of christian  
 ministers of calm wisdom, of tried courage, of  
 dauntless resolution. The fears and apprehen-  
 sions of such men are never to be treated with  
 disdain: there must have been some grounds for  
 alarm. They submitted from necessity, not from  
 choice. The bishops of London and Winchester  
 “protest and solemnly make oath,” in a letter  
 they jointly addressed to Bullinger and Gaulter,  
 “that the dissension was not caused by any  
 fault of theirs, and that it was not owing to  
 them that vestments of this kind had not alto-  
 gether been done away with.”† Jewel expresses

\* Grindal and Horn to Bullinger, Feb. 6, 1567.

† Ibid.

himself if possible more strongly: "They are the relics of the Amorites; *that* cannot be denied." And yet he speaks of the contest as a trifling matter that "somewhat disturbs weak minds."\* In short, they brought the matter to this issue: "We are brought into such straits, that since we cannot do what we would, shall we not do, in the Lord, what we can?"† Calmly viewed, the whole question hinges upon this: when men cannot do what they would, shall they do what they can; or, rigidly adhering to an abstract notion of that which in itself is best, shall they abandon their posts, and risk the consequences? The fathers of the church of England were at length unanimous "to do what they could;" they received the vestments themselves, and, though with very different degrees of rigour, enforced them on their clergy. They hoped the ferment would soon subside; but ages have passed, and the controversy is not yet decided. So little do the wisest men foresee the consequences of all their actions. And so difficult is it to appease the quarrels on which all parties at first enter with too much alacrity!

\* Feb. 8, 1566.

† Zurich Letters, Parker Soc. Edit. Vol. i. p. 175, Grindal and Horne to Bullinger, &c., Jewel to Bullinger, p. 147. I have used throughout the admirable translation of the Zurich Letters by Dr. Hastings Robinson.



## CHAPTER II.

CHAPTER II.  

---

ELIJ.  
A.D. 1558.

1. A great question is not long in agitation without invoking its tributary discords. Consequences not at first suspected are found to hang upon it. What appeared to be a single point of difference, is perceived to be no more than one amongst many others, on which all insist upon their right to swell the general clamour. The contest begins with facts ; but it soon spreads itself among the principles of which those facts were only the exponents. Thus a great controversy, like a great battle, is seldom decided upon the ground previously marked out. The conflict widens on all sides, and the confusion at length becomes universal.

2. The question of the vestments was very soon followed up with other questions equally irritating. From dislike to the habits the progress was easy to a dislike of the service book ; and that of king Edward was by no means free from superstition. All forms of prayer fell under a suspicion of popery. So that the revision of the prayer book, on the accession of Elizabeth, gave



little satisfaction to those, already a considerable party, who had begun to think all forms unlawful. Others again, ignorant of the difficulties with which the bishops were contending, and smarting, it must be owned, from their unreasonable severity, began to associate prelacy with popery. In a short time the former was loaded with the same obloquy which, ten years before, had fallen upon the latter; and bishops were denounced with as much vehemence as the pope himself. Thus fresh wounds were opened from day to day, and at last they became incurable. Thus there were from the first, dissenters from the English church of the reformation. Their story must be briefly told, though in fact they were disowned by the puritans; nor did they seek to be reckoned their associates, regarding both prelatists and puritans as equally inconsistent, or on spiritual matters ignorant and dark.

3. The first actual secession took place abroad. The English residents at Frankfort\* entered into an agreement with a congregation of French protestants, in whose church they were allowed to assemble as their place of worship, binding themselves not only to subscribe to the French confession of faith, which evangelical christians of almost every name might safely do, but further, not to make responses after the minister, nor to use the litany or surplice; and (a condition of no less importance,) not to quarrel about ceremonies.

\* Hist. of the Troubles at Frankfort. Neale, Hist. of the Puritans, vol. 1. c. 111. p. 86 et seq.

CHAPTER  
II.  
ELIZ.  
A.D. 1558.

Anno  
1554.

CHAPTER II. Their church discipline seems to have been rather  
 ELIZ. themselves as under God himself, the source and  
 A.D. 1558. fountain of ecclesiastical power. They proceeded  
 to choose their own minister and deacons, and to  
 invite their brethren dispersed through the neigh-  
 bouring cities, to join a community where, they  
 said, God's word was faithfully preached, the  
 sacraments rightly administered, and scripture  
 discipline enforced. Their public service was  
 conducted thus : it began with extemporaneous  
 prayer ; a hymn was sung ; the minister then  
 prayed a second time and more at large, con-  
 cluding with the Lord's prayer. Then followed  
 another psalm, and a sermon, if a preacher were  
 present ; or otherwise the recital of a confession  
 of faith. The congregation was then dismissed  
 with the apostolic benediction.

4. This form of worship has been retained, with  
 a few variations, and is still used, by almost every  
 class of nonconformists in England. The objec-  
 tions to it are obvious. It leaves too much to the  
 piety, and too much to the discretion, of the mi-  
 nister. Such is human nature, even in its best  
 estate, that the fervour of the most devout is often  
 cold, and the zeal of the most ardent is often lan-  
 guid. And whenever this occurs, the whole con-  
 gregation suffer from their minister's weakness.  
 On the other hand, it must be admitted that such  
 a mode of worship, if conducted by ministers  
 equally eminent for talents and for piety, is not  
 without its advantages. It excites a more ready

sympathy in the hearer : it is capable of a more frequent and graceful accommodation to passing events,—and to the ever varying circumstances, the joys, trials, and temptations of the flock ; and since it is easier to speak than to read in a manner at once devout and natural, it often has an air of more reality. And these are no mean advantages. Perhaps the perfection of a ritualistic church would be found between a liturgy rigidly enforced in some of its greater services, and the free use of unpremeditated acts of worship in those of a more social character.

CHAPTER  
II.  
ELIZ.  
A.D. 1558.

5. The experiment, however, was not successful. The English divines at Strasburgh, Zurich, and Basle, declined, in succession, the invitations of the newly formed congregation. They next applied to Knox, and he with two assistants became their pastor. But difficulties arose amongst themselves ; for many of them were attached to the English forms, and even the fervour and eloquence of Knox himself, the fiery meteor of the north, did not convince them of the superior value of extemporary worship. These it seems were the majority ; they elected Dr. Cox, who had been tutor to Edward the Sixth, their minister, and Knox found himself displaced, and was required by the government to leave the city. He retired to Geneva, and immediately gathered another congregation amongst the English exiles. But the death of Mary, which happened in the following year, again broke up his flock, and their pastor was now free to return to his native land, where

CHAPTER II. a greater work required his presence. Meantime, however, Cox resigned his pastoral care at Frankfort, and removed elsewhere; and the congregation again quarrelled, and divided. The magistrates were obliged to interfere; for the heat and scandal occasioned by a handful of strangers became, they said, intolerable. Once more the minority left the city; the congregation soon afterwards dispersed; and thus the affair ended. The crowds of foreigners who hastened to England on the accession of Elizabeth, or who had lived amongst us since the days of Edward VI., brought with them similar quarrels, and tempers still more ungovernable. They were chiefly artizans; persons of inferior education and lower rank than the English exiles, and their conduct is to be judged with more forbearance. Four thousand of them settled in Norwich, and John Alasco returned with his flock to London. The latter were sometimes troublesome, but the artificers at Norwich set no bounds to their contentious violence. There were several congregations, and each, with its minister at its head, was in bitter warfare with the rest. The value of episcopacy, and the reasonableness of a secular head in the national church, might be learned from the unhappy differences of these foreigners. Each church was a rival republic; and when they had nothing to fear from without, they turned their arms against each other. Parkhurst was then bishop of Norwich, and, in a letter to their countryman Bullinger, he describes their quarrel as implacable. The whole

ELIZ.

A.D. 1559.



congregation, he says, is nearly broken up. The English, I allow, were somewhat troublesome in Germany; but if you compare them with these men, they are quietness itself.\*

CHAPTER  
II.  
ELIZ.  
A.D. 1559.

6. But these troubles passed over, and left no serious consequences. They were, however, the harbingers of greater and more lasting conflicts, in which the interests of the church of England were more immediately concerned.

7. The puritans were the extreme party of the reformation; and they naturally looked forward to the accession of Elizabeth with sanguine hope. During her intolerant sister's reign, she had suffered much in common with themselves. They had fled from England, or remained at home at the peril of their lives; and she too had been a prisoner in fear and constant apprehension. Her attachment to the reformation was not doubted; it was supposed indeed to be in Mary's sight her one great delinquency; and she was regarded as a fellow-sufferer for conscience sake. They expected to find in her a patron and a friend; and deep and bitter was their disappointment. However the conduct of the prelates may be justified, that of the queen admits of less excuse. It committed her to a course of policy which embarrassed her through life, led her into many acts of injustice and not a few of cruelty, and continues to this day to be the greatest blot on her otherwise glorious reign. Contrary to her usual policy, she placed herself in opposition to a large body of her

\* Aug. 10, 1571.



CHAPTER  
II.

---

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1559.

own subjects; and they, with all their faults, were loyal and sound at heart. It was pitiful to see, as years passed on, the mistress of an empire setting herself in stern displeasure against scrupulous consciences. Foreigners could not understand that she who was the champion of the principles of the reformation wherever they shewed themselves abroad, should be so nervously sensitive to their most trifling excesses in England. It is beyond dispute that she owed much of her reputation in civil affairs to the singular wisdom of her various counsellors. Had she in the first instance deferred to her spiritual counsellors; had she not set at nought the wishes of such men as Jewel, Horn, and Parker, the history of the puritans would have been written, if written at all, more to her advantage. Her accession afforded an opportunity, such as rarely presents itself, for an oblivion of the past, and a firm union for the future. Unhappily the golden opportunity was lost. Scarcely an attempt was made to conciliate prejudice, or disarm suspicion. At present no venerable usage gave to the obnoxious forms and vestments its mysterious sanctions. A great revolution had just occurred. The church of England must be remodelled. The question of its services and vestments came beneath review of necessity; and might have been decided, even had the queen yielded up her wishes, without exposing her to the humiliation of defeat. At no subsequent period could this state of things return. The question would soon involve the disgrace of

one of the contending parties ; for those who are compelled by acts of force, or arbitrary laws, to give up the usages which have become habitual in matters of religion, will always feel themselves aggrieved.

CHAPTER  
II.  
ELIZ.  
A.D. 1559.

8. The act of uniformity, which passed in the first year of Elizabeth, may be considered as the point of time at which the battle was at length joined, and each of the two parties, the puritans and prelatists, assumed its definite position. The act embraced two vital questions : the revisal of the prayer-book, and the compliance hereafter to be rendered to the forms and ceremonies. With regard to the book of common prayer, it remained in substance the second of two prayer-books issued by king Edward, namely, that of 1552. The few alterations in it, did not relieve the puritans, nor were they meant to do so. With regard to the vestments, they felt themselves injured afresh ; for they were compelled by a rubric in the revised book to retain “ all such ornaments of the church and ministers as were in use in the second of king Edward,” the year in which his first imperfect prayer-book was put forth, abounding as it did with the traces of superstition : whereas the second prayer-book of 1552, insisted only on the use of the surplice. This was much to be deplored ; not because the difference is important between a surplice and a cope, but because it shewed an unyielding temper. Still it was for a time uncertain how far conformity would be rigidly enforced. On the side of the puritans there was a disposition to

CHAPTER  
II.

---

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1559.

receive the prayer-book as a general directory for public worship, guiding but not absolutely restraining them. So far they were disposed to yield; but if it were to be in every point literally enforced, they held that in some of its details it was inconvenient and oppressive, and in others superstitious. As its enactments were successively urged upon them, their discontent increased. Each attempt to reduce them to an uniform submission only provoked a fresh resistance. Mutual exasperations followed; and the puritans discovered fresh grievances as the contest was prolonged. When at last they drew up a formal statement of their principles, and made in turn their own demands, the prospect of a reconciliation was more remote than ever. At this early period a few concessions would have satisfied them, or placed them so clearly in the wrong that their cause would have been undermined and lost. Twenty years later their terms were unreasonable; they were such as men offer who are sure of success, or abandoned to despair. But for this violence the queen and her advisers are to a great extent responsible. Gentleness had not been tried; the puritans were goaded to desperation, and as their power increased, they began to demand concessions which it was impossible to grant, in a temper which it was impossible to pass unnoticed. They too, in their turn, forced upon their opponents the alternative of conquest or submission; and if vanquished, it was not likely in those rugged times that they should be treated with much for-

bearance. A deeper spirit of piety would have produced more forbearance on both sides ; but it is one of the painful lessons of this whole history, that men may be much in earnest in defending the cause of religion and of God, and at the same time display an evident want of the Christian virtues of meekness and forbearance.

CHAPTER  
II.  
ELIZ.  
A.D. 1559.

9. The act of uniformity was passed in May, and came into effect on the 24th of June 1559, though not without a protest from Heath, archbishop of York. It not only enacted a rigorous conformity in the conduct of divine worship and in the habits worn by the minister, but further empowered the queen, by the advice of the commissioners or metropolitan, to ordain and publish at their pleasure further rites or ceremonies, with no other limitation than these words convey;—"as may be most for God's glory, the edifying of his church, and the due reverence of Christ's holy mysteries and sacraments." The rigorous pressing of this act, says the great chronicler of puritanism, was the occasion of all the mischiefs that befel the church for above eighty years.\* It is certain that every disposition was shewn to enforce the law, and that too little allowance was made for the scruples of a large body of dissentients, numbering amongst them not a few of the brightest ornaments of the church. The evils which it was meant to remedy were no doubt both real and extensive ; but the measure was violent. And it fared with it according to the disastrous law which

\* Neale l. iv. 110 et seq.



CHAPTER ever governs such proceedings; what was conceived with rashness was carried into effect with obstinate severity.

II.

ELIZ.

A.D.

1559—62.

10. A convocation of the church was opened at St. Paul's on the 13th day of January 1562, in the third year of the reign of Elizabeth. The articles of the church were then agreed upon, although they did not become law till some years afterwards: and the rites and ceremonies of the church came again under consideration.

11. The state of parties, and their relative strength, at this important crisis, is clearly shewn by the records of this assembly.

12. Bishop Sandys (afterwards archbishop of York) proposed that private baptism, and baptism by women, should be discontinued; that the use of the cross in baptism should be disallowed as both needless and superstitious; and that commissioners should be appointed to reform the ecclesiastical laws.

13. Another paper was presented to the house, containing the following demands: that the psalms should be sung distinctly by the whole congregation, and that organs be disused: that ministers only should baptize, and that without the sign of the cross: that at the ministration of the Lord's supper the posture of kneeling should be left indifferent: that the use of copes and surplices be abolished, so that all ministers should use "a grave and comely side garment," or preaching gown: and that they should not be compelled to wear such caps and gowns as the Romish



clergy : that the punishment of those who did not in all things conform to the public about ceremonies should be mitigated: and lastly that saints' days and festivals in honour of a creature should either be abolished, or observed without superstition; so that after a morning service all men might resume their daily pursuits.

CHAPTER  
II.

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1562.

14. This overture bore the signatures of five deans, the provost of Eton, twelve archdeacons, and fourteen proctors or representatives. But it was not approved.

15. Another motion followed immediately. It was to this effect. That all Sundays, and the feasts which commemorate the events of the Saviour's life, be kept holy; and that all other holydays be abolished, that in all parish churches the minister in common prayer should turn his face towards the people, and there read the service distinctly; so that the people may hear and be edified. That the cross in baptism be omitted; that kneeling at the sacrament of the Lord's supper be left to the discretion of the minister; that organs should be removed; and that it should suffice if the minister wore the surplice once, provided that he ministered in a comely garment or habit.\* Unhappily these moderate proposals were once more rejected.† Of the members of convocation present there was a majority; the numbers being forty-three in favour of the resolutions, and thirty-five against them.

\* Strype, Annals, 337. Burnet, Ref. III. 444.

† Heylin, Hist. Reformation II. 391. Ecc. Soc. Edit. He however praises the moderation of the convocation.

CHAPTER II. The name of Nowel, dean of St. Paul's, the prolocutor, or speaker of the convocation, appears in favour of this as well as of the previous motion.

ELIZ. His reputation, which even then was great, has suffered no decay. His catechism (though in part compiled from that of Calvin), sanctioned by convocation, and commended by cotemporary prelates to the daily study of the clergy, places him high amongst the divines of England and the defenders of its church. But the proxies turned the scale, though by the narrow majority of a single vote—the vote of one, it was remarked, who was not present during the discussion. From so trivial a cause arose momentous consequences. Never was a casting vote of more grave importance.

16. Parker, now archbishop of Canterbury, pushed his slender triumph to the utmost. On his part no pains were spared to produce an exact obedience : and the disorders which prevailed in the church afforded a man not indisposed to wield despotic power frequent occasions to interfere. A paper was laid before the queen which describes the various irregularities which at once provoked his severities and eluded his authority. They were such as to demand a remedy, had that remedy been applied with judgment. But they were not vital : they touched no doctrine ; they approached the confines of no heresy. And since gentleness was never tried, it is impossible to deny that gentleness might have availed where force was foiled. Some perform the divine service,\* so runs

\* Strype. Life of Parker, 152.

the document, in the chancel ; some in the body of the church, some in a seat, some in a pulpit with their faces to the people ; some keep to the order of the book, some intermix psalms in metre, some say with a surplice, and some without one. The form and position of the communion-table was a frequent scandal. In some places the table stands in the body of the church, in some places it stands altar-wise, in others in the middle of the chancel placed north and south : in some places the table is joined, in others it stands upon tressels : sometimes covered with a cloth, in other a naked board. The administration of the Lord's supper was no less irregular. Some administer the communion with surplice and cap ; some with surplice alone ; others with none. Some with unleavened bread (the lingering remains of popery), and some with leavened. Some receive kneeling, others standing, others sitting. Baptism was variously administered. Some baptize in a font ; some in a bason. Some sign with the sign of the cross ; others sign not. And the habits of the ministers were as motley as their conduct. Some minister in a surplice, others without ; some with a square cap, some with a round cap ; some with a button cap, some with a hat ; some in scholar's clothes, some in others. The queen upon this issued a letter to the two archbishops directing them to confer with the ecclesiastical commission ; to inquire what diversities existed among the clergy in doctrine, rites, and ceremonies ; and to take effectual methods to

CHAPTER

II.

ELIZ.

A.D. 1563.

CHAPTER reduce them to an exact order and uniformity.

II.

ELIZ.

A.D. 1564.

So far as external rites and ceremonies were concerned, uniformity was at once secured by the stern command that none hereafter be admitted to any ecclesiastical preferment who were not well disposed, and would not formally comply with the common order.\* The ejection of many good men immediately followed.

17. One of the first sufferers was Miles Coverdale, bishop of Exeter in the reign of Edward VI. On the accession of Mary he was imprisoned, and escaped the flames only through the intercession of the king of Denmark, to whose territories he fled. Returning at Elizabeth's accession he assisted at the consecration of archbishop Parker; but as he disliked the ceremonies and habits, his bishoprick was not restored: and the venerable translator of the bible was suffered to fall into neglect and poverty. When old and poor he was presented by Grindal bishop of London with the small living of St. Magnus near London-bridge. He had scarcely held his preferment two years, when he was driven from his parish by the stringent demand of a rigorous conformity, with which he could not comply. He died soon after in 1567, at the age of eighty-one:† vast crowds attended his funeral at St. Bartholomew's near the Exchange; from whence, with almost equal reverence, his bones were lately carried (the church being taken down) by a posterity not more sensible

\* Neale's Puritans I. iv., 147.

† Strype, Ann. 405.

of his illustrious worth than ashamed of the barbarous and worse than useless severity which brought his gray hairs with sorrow to the grave.

CHAPTER

II.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1566.

18. Sampson, dean of Christchurch, was one of the proscribed; a man of whom Grindal and Horn attest\* that his learning was equal to his piety. Of the former few traces exist; of the latter an affecting evidence appears in his own letter to Peter Martyr, on declining the see of Norwich. His friend Parkhurst, on his own refusal, had been elevated to the post of honour, and thus the danger of an improper person obtaining the see was, as he remarks, well provided against. "To God Almighty," he exclaims, "be the praise." Then, after a modest allusion to "his own unfitness, which he had well considered,"—"I scarcely know how to be sufficiently thankful to the Lord God. Do you, my father, praise him, and do not cease to pray for me." But it was now thought necessary to deprive him of his deanery for the old offence. He disliked the habits. And yet of the moderation of the party he led, (and of which Lawrence, Humphrey, and Lever were chieftains likewise), it is to be noted, that the ultra-puritans, of whom some account is given at the conclusion of this chapter, regarded them as semi-papists, and would not permit their followers to attend their preaching. These proceedings greatly distressed the friends of the reformation abroad. Bullinger especially wrote in the language of earnest exhortation, on behalf of Gaulter and himself, ad-

May 13,  
1560.May 3,  
1566.

\* To Bullinger and Gaulter, February 6, 1567.



CHAPTER II.  


---

 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1566.

dressing his letter to bishops Horn, Grindal, and Parkhurst, with a request that it might be communicated to Jewel, Sandys, and Pilkington. The letter is short, but full of deep feeling. "We exhort you, reverend sirs, and very dear brethren, to have respect to faithful ministers and learned men. They have their own feelings: whence the apostle has instructed us to bear one another's burdens. Your authority can effect much with her most serene highness the queen. Prevail on her majesty to grant that these worthy brethren may be reconciled and restored.\* \* Farewell, reverend sirs, and may the Lord bless both you and your labours,\* \* again and again farewell." At the same time the Scotch ministers remonstrated on behalf of "divers of their dearest brethren in England, who are deprived and forbidden to preach" on account of the vestments. Their letter contains some sharp expressions about the "Romish rags," the "vain trifles," and the "dregs of the Romish beast." Still they deplore, they say, the vehemence with which the dispute was carried on on both sides, and entreat the bishops and pastors to whom they write to shew more forbearance.\* The church in Scotland was now assuming its presbyterian form, and it is honourable to the Scottish clergy that, surrounded as they were with danger and perplexity, they had sympathy, if nothing more, for their brethren in England.

19. The church of England could ill spare such men at any time. Nor were these the only victims.

\* The letter is printed by Neal, Appendix, Vol. i.

The venerable John Foxe shared in Coverdale's disgrace. He too had narrowly escaped the flames by a voluntary exile. But he lived to return. He placed the church of England under greater obligations than any writer of his age, and had his recompence in an old age of poverty and shame.\* It would be no exaggeration to affirm that his immortal work, the acts and monuments of protestant martyrs and confessors, has done for three hundred years more and better service in our conflicts with the papacy than the countless volumes of all other writers, great as their worth may be. Nor were his writings undervalued even then; they were commanded to be chained up in churches by the side of the homilies and the English bible, that all might learn the ground of their secession from popery as well as the doctrines of the true faith; thus the book of martyrs stood amongst the high, authentic records of our church, while its venerable author yet lived. Perhaps no historian since the revival of letters has gained a popularity so sudden and yet so extensive and so enduring. Elizabeth herself, it is said, held him in high esteem, and spoke of him with affection as "her father Foxe." Still his offence was unpardonable. He "scrupled the habits,"—a significant expression then common—and was reduced to poverty. In his old age he complains even of want of clothes. At length he was presented to a small prebend in the cathedral church of Salisbury, in possession of which he died.

CHAPTER  
II.ELIZ.  
A. D. 1566.

CHAPTER 20. Whenever extreme counsels are adopted,  
 II. one sure consequence is that not only the timid  
 ELIZ. but the aged, that is, the wise and thoughtful,  
 A. D. 1566. retire from the scene of tumult. It was thus  
 with the fathers of the reformation as the conflict  
 with the puritans increased. They were amazed to  
 find in their old age, that amongst the children of  
 the reformation and in their own countrymen, lay  
 their greatest trials. Assailed by popery on the  
 one hand, and by the ultra-puritans upon the  
 other, and obliged to defend from the pulpit cere-  
 monies to which he was known to be averse,  
 Jewel would gladly have resigned a mitre, and  
 retired once more a willing exile to lay his bones  
 in a foreign land. Other bishops express in con-  
 fidential letters the same distress. They were  
 ready to abandon all, they said, but the gospel, for  
 the sake of peace.

21. Amongst the puritans, a similar change  
 took place. New men appeared upon the stage,  
 the advocates of principles hitherto scarcely known  
 in England. The infection spread ; a spirit violent  
 and discontented, “ a zeal for discord,” seized the  
 multitude. They no longer asked for a compre-  
 hension ; or sought for toleration. Their demands  
 extended to an ecclesiastical revolution, and that  
 of the most sweeping character.

22. The violence of some of the early puritans,  
 adopting a view of the subject most favourable  
 to themselves, is to be thus explained. Just es-  
 caped from the iron grasp of popery, they had yet  
 to discover the terms and true conditions under

which freedom can be enjoyed. If tyranny has its dungeons, even liberty has her cautions and restraints. Freedom is not licentiousness, nor independence the right of incessant outrage upon institutions which the majority respect. But these familiar lessons they had yet to learn; for experience alone teaches them, and experience they had none. Their triumphs and virtues were their own: their excesses they shared in common with every party, civil or religious, who, after long oppression, rise upon a sudden to the possession, or even the prospect, of unbounded power. However just the occasion of it may have been, every great revolution in the history of mankind repeats the warning that excess and violence, and the madness of the people, will, for a time, succeed to the forced repose of despotism, as the thunderstorm follows the deep silence in which all nature had mourned the oppression of intolerable heat. The severity of the church party finds its excuse in the same considerations. It was taken by surprise. Its leaders were wise and learned, and the page of history was familiar to them; but they had overlooked this important lesson, and were unprepared for the crisis when it arrived; as, in one form or other, arrive it must. They were alarmed; and no severity equals that of men who are themselves afraid. And they are entitled to the benefit of this further consideration; they had been brought up in a school of hardship: and suffering engenders impatience. The doctrines of

CHAPTER

II.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1566.

CHAPTER the church of Rome they had repudiated : its in-  
 II. tolerant spirit neither they nor their opponents  
 ELIZ. had yet unlearned.

A. D. 1566. 23. Under the common name of puritans were  
 comprised, at this time, all those except the Ro-  
 mish party who were dissatisfied with the state of  
 things in the church recently established. Thus  
 the most discordant principles were ranged to-  
 gether under the same banner ; for it is the mis-  
 fortune of a new party that its boundaries are not  
 yet defined, and that agreement upon a few lead-  
 ing points is received with too much readiness as  
 a test of general fidelity. In time, however, this  
 motley host, by successive desertions, fell away  
 and dissolved itself ; and puritanism became the  
 title of one section only, but still the most nu-  
 merous and powerful of the body of which it had  
 previously been composed. The seceding mino-  
 rities became dissenters from the church. The  
 puritans, properly so called, remained in commu-  
 nion with it till the unhappy days of Charles I.  
 and archbishop Laud. It is necessary to bear  
 these distinctions in mind, not less for the sake of  
 truth, than that the history of the puritans may  
 be clearly understood. It is not by any means the  
 history of English dissent with which it has been  
 generally confounded ; although it is true that the  
 origin of our early non-conformity is closely con-  
 nected with it, and will frequently demand at-  
 tention.

24. The extravagance displayed by some who



still bore the name of puritans, almost defies exaggeration. Every form of church government, and every distortion of christian doctrine, had for a while its boisterous advocates. Some would have rejected all those orders in the ministry which had been known in the church of Rome, as well as their names or titles. Others were for the demolition of all parish churches, not to mention abbey churches and cathedrals ; for they had been polluted with idols and were unclean places. Many passed through enthusiasm to unbelief and atheism, or back again to the church of Rome. Others rejected all authority—save only what was self-imposed.

CHAPTER  
II.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1566.

25. Of the more sober of this extreme class of puritans, various congregations were formed from time to time and upon different models. In the year 1568 four or five ministers openly separated in London, and became the pastors of a considerable flock. They assembled stealthily at first in private houses, in the fields, in ships upon the river. They administered the sacraments, ordained elders and ministers, and excommunicated delinquents of their own body. The queen in vain threatened them with the loss of the freedom of the city, a severe penalty amounting to civil excommunication in those days, for the first offence, and severer punishment afterwards unless they returned to their parish churches. They still assembled, and gaining courage with the increase of their numbers, they hired the Plumber's Hall. Here the sheriff surprised a body of a hundred, and

CHAPTER carried them to prison. The next day they were  
II. brought before the lord mayor, Grindal bishop  
ELIZ. of London, the dean of Westminster, and others.  
A. D. 1508. A long and angry discussion took place in the  
court. Grindal spoke with kindness, and the  
lord mayor endeavoured to conciliate. "You  
go," said one of the prisoners to the bishop  
(habited) "like a mass priest." "You see me  
wear a cope or a surplice," answered Grindal,  
gently, "at Paul's. I had rather minister with-  
out these things, but for order's sake and obe-  
dience to the prince." "Your garments are ac-  
cursed," was the reply of Nickson, another of the  
party. "Good people," said the lord mayor, "I  
cannot talk learnedly with you, but I will per-  
suade you the best I can. The queen hath not  
established these things for any holiness' sake, but  
only for civil order and comeliness; as aldermen  
are known by their tippets, and judges by their  
gowns." "Even so, my lord," answered Nickson,  
"as the alderman is known by his gown and  
tippet, so by this apparel, that these men do now  
wear, were the papist mass priests known from  
other men." The business ended with the com-  
mittal of a considerable number of both men and  
women to Bridewell. Here they lay a year and  
upwards, glorying in their cause; and diffusing  
their principles among those who now viewed  
them with the reverence due to martyrs and con-  
fessors, by means of circular letters which they ad-  
dressed in apostolic form "to all the brethren that  
believed in Christ." At length they were released,

—twenty-four men and seven women,—through the bishop's intercession, by an order from the lords in council. As a punishment or as a caution, this act of despotism, for it was a rigour beyond the law, was equally impolitic.\*

CHAPTER

II.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1568.

26. The demands of the extreme puritans are thus summed up by Sandys, bishop of London, in a letter to Bullinger dated at London, August 15th, 1573 :—

“New orators,” he says, “are rising up from among us ; foolish young men who despise authority and admit of no superior. They are seeking the complete overthrow and uprooting of the whole of our ecclesiastical polity ; and striving to shape out for us I know not what new platform of a church. That you may be better acquainted with the whole matter, accept this summary of the question at issue, reduced under certain heads.

i. The civil magistrate has no authority in ecclesiastical matters. He is only a member of the church ; the government of which ought to be committed to the clergy.

ii. The church of Christ admits of no other government than that by presbyteries : viz., by the minister, elders, and deacon.

iii. The names and authority of archbishops, archdeacons, deans, chancellors, commissaries, and other titles and dignities of the like kind, should be altogether removed from the church of Christ.

iv. Each parish should have its own presbytery.

\* Strype's Life of Grindal, p. 135.

CHAPTER v. The choice of ministers of necessity belongs  
II. to the people.

ELIZ. vi. The goods, possessions, lands, revenues,  
A. D. 1568. titles, honours, authorities, and all other things  
relating either to bishops or cathedrals, and which  
now of right belong to them, should be taken  
away forthwith and for ever.

vii. No one should be allowed to preach who is  
not a pastor of some congregation ; and he ought  
to preach to his own flock exclusively, and no  
where else.

viii. The infants of papists are not to be  
baptized.

ix. The judicial laws of Moses are binding upon  
christian princes, and they ought not in the  
slightest degree to depart from them."

27. This concise statement, which we have  
chosen for its brevity, agrees with various docu-  
ments and manifestoes issued from time to time  
by the ultra puritans themselves, and may be re-  
ceived as a veracious record of their intentions.

28. Whatever might be the merits of the pres-  
byterian form of church government, it is evident  
that it could have been introduced at this time  
into England only through the direful process of  
a revolution, attended probably with civil war. The  
presbyterian church was not to be supplementary  
to the episcopal. It was to sweep it from the  
nation, and to erect itself upon its ruins. The  
scheme was, to overthrow the church which then  
existed in the land, and to supplant it with

another : for the puritans, even those of the most extreme opinions, clung to the principle of an established church with as much devotion, and far more unanimity, than they clung to the model of a presbyterian one. On the latter point there were already many shades of difference; on the former there were none. In thus asserting the claims of presbyterianism, not as a system to be tolerated, but established and made national, they placed themselves in an attitude of defiance to the law : and they invoked the hostility both of laity and clergy, whose rights and property they proposed to treat with so little respect. Patrons of livings, and corporate bodies, were of course alarmed ; and their hostility was soon inveterate. It was now a mixed question, partly religious, but in many of its bearings secular and political. Thus it came to pass—and very much, it must be admitted, from their own extravagance—that the puritans were regarded in the court of Elizabeth, not as men of scrupulous minds, but as a party ill affected to the state. And in fact the triumph of their principles was at length fatal to the constitution, as, in its recoil, it was fatal to themselves. The misfortune was that the whole body of the puritans was involved in the disgrace which belonged only to a few. Under one name all were included ; Foxe and Nickson, Jewel and his revilers ; although they had nothing else in common than a dislike to a few ceremonies of the church.

29. The last article, in which the judicial laws

CHAPTER  
II.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1568.



CHAPTER of Moses are maintained as binding upon christian  
II. princes, was pregnant with vast and perilous re-  
ELIZ. sults. As a theological dogma, it was utterly un-  
A. D. 1568. tenable by a party who held, and justly gloried in,  
the evangelical doctrines of the new testament.  
But it took deep root in the minds of the puritans;  
and when for a time they seized the reins of  
government in the succeeding century, they ap-  
plied it with terrible effect. In peaceful times it  
was a dry question of theology—a discussion for  
divines and casuists: but in civil war it was the  
shrill clang of a trumpet which summoned armies  
of enthusiasts to the work of unrelenting slaughter.  
It was this mistaken notion which sanctified crime  
and made revenge appear a christian virtue.

## CHAPTER III.

1. IN 1572 a presbyterian church was formed, and a meeting house erected, at Wandsworth in Surrey.\* Field, the lecturer of Wandsworth, was its first minister ; and several names of consideration with the puritans, including those of Travers and Wilcox, were amongst its founders. The step was a decisive, and under all the circumstances, a daring one. The court resided in the adjoining parish of Richmond, and would not fail to regard the proceeding with indignation ; while the river Thames, on the banks of which Wandsworth stands, and which was at that time the highway of communication even for the higher classes of society, brought it under the immediate observation of the metropolis. The bishop of London gave information to the government, and the queen issued a proclamation enjoining compliance with the act of uniformity. The conventicle—for by this obnoxious term such assemblages were designated—was immediately suppressed ; though

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1565—75

\* Neal i. 237.

CHAPTER after a while it re-appeared ; and in a retired court-  
III. yard in this suburban village there yet stands a  
ELIZ. meeting-house, the representative of the modest  
A. D. structure which once gave note to England that a  
1565—75. division had taken place among her sons which,  
alas, was never to be healed.

2. Other presbyteries were formed in other parts of the kingdom ; and numerous secret meetings were held besides in private houses, which gave more alarm to the government, or at least a stronger pretext for severity. The Romish party who still thronged the court did not lose their opportunity. They insinuated into the ear of the queen that these troubles were merely the legitimate fruits of the reformation, and such as they had all along foreseen. Even moderate men began to express anxiety. And to meet the danger the high court of commission was put in motion.

3. This tribunal, which proved so disastrous both to church and state, had been created by a clause in the act of supremacy in the first year of Elizabeth. It empowered the queen and her successors, by their letters patent under the great seal, to authorize, whenever they thought fit, and for as long a period as they pleased, a commission of persons, lay or clerical, to exercise all manner of jurisdiction under the queen and her successors in spiritual things ; namely, “to order, visit, reform, and redress, all heresies, errors, schisms, abuses, contempts, offences, and enormities whatsoever.” The only limitations were, that the commissioners must be born subjects of the realm, and that in the exercise of their functions they

should have no power to determine anything to be heresy but what had been adjudged to be so by the authority of the canonical scripture, or by the first four general councils, or any of them, or by any other general council, wherein the same was declared heresy by the express and plain words of canonical scripture. These were ample powers, and capable of being used with terrible effect. But another clause extended their jurisdiction to the restraint of heresies not yet defined, possibly not yet in existence ;—namely such as parliament with the consent of the convocation might hereafter in their wisdom place in the dark catalogue of spiritual felonies.

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1565—75.

4. Of this commission, as of its twin sister, the star chamber, it is difficult to determine whether it inflicted more suffering on the puritans or infamy on their opponents. One of its first acts was the violent suppression of the presbyterian meeting at Wandsworth. Its subsequent labours were of the same character. It always proceeded upon the principle that the conscience might be, and ought to be, coerced by the dread of punishment; that the inner man would yield its independence to the tyranny of pain and torture; a suicidal principle in any state into which the first gleam of light has once penetrated; and fatal to all integrity in the subject, because it places him in the alternative of slave or rebel. It implies conditions under which rational government can as little exist as christian liberty or integrity of mind and conduct. An Italian brought

CHAPTER up in dread of the inquisition, may be an honest  
III. papist ; but his honesty has not been tried ; he  
ELIZ. has never dared to think and to inquire : it is  
A. D. the honesty of ignorance, if not of fear. The  
1565—75. opinions of such a man are of no importance. It  
is impossible to say that if he were not a papist he  
would not be an atheist. He is a son of the church  
because he is unenquiring and a slave. Such is  
the conformity that severity can effect. And yet  
the high court of commission was not looked upon  
at first with the indignation it deserved ; nor were  
its ill consequences foreseen. The limits assigned  
to its power point out the intention of its authors ;  
and had it been possible to confine its operation  
within them, it would have presented nothing  
more obnoxious than many other legislative en-  
actments of the same age. It would have been  
inquisitorial and arbitrary, but not more so than  
the spirit of the times allowed. Many statutes of  
the sixteenth century might be produced, which  
are drawn up in the same spirit : and by compari-  
son with these it would seem that no intentional  
injustice or oppression was designed. Carelessness  
in the statement of principles, and a pedantic ac-  
curacy in unimportant details, was the charac-  
teristic of an age just bursting into the first life of  
free institutions. But after these admissions the  
painful truth remains untouched : the high court of  
commission aimed, whether with design or other-  
wise, a deadly wound upon our civil and religious  
liberties ; and while it existed it was equally in-  
consistent with both.



5. It was impossible that a body of commis-  
 sioners so chosen, and armed with despotic power,  
 should long be restrained within the proposed  
 limits,—the precincts of holy scripture, or the  
 wider range of the first four general councils. If  
 the appeal were to scripture, who must decide the  
 meaning of a doubtful text but themselves? if to  
 one, or all, of the councils, who but they must chal-  
 lenge the true construction of its decrees? and  
 with regard to heresy when the scriptures and  
 the councils had been consulted, and still a doubt  
 remained, (as in the breast of one of the two par-  
 ties, the judges or the accused, it must always re-  
 main), who but the court itself was to determine  
 the nature and boundaries of the crime? Heresy  
 is the denial, not of the authority of any particu-  
 lar church, but of the truth on which the catholic  
 church is built, and upon which salvation depends.  
 Yet, in the judgment of the church party and  
 of not a few of the puritans, anabaptists were  
 heretics of the worst kind, and those who denied  
 the necessity or validity of infant baptism, how-  
 ever orthodox on other points, are constantly  
 classed by writers of this period with donatists,  
 infidels, and atheists; while, in return, deadly  
 superstition,—something worse, if possible, than  
 heresy itself,—is charged by the anabaptists against  
 the church and prelates. Both sides then appealed  
 to holy scripture, and both admitted that the first  
 four councils threw but little light upon a contro-  
 versy which was indeed of a much later date. It  
 was therefore impossible that the anabaptist could

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1565—75.

CHAPTER acquiesce in the justice of the court; for the  
 III. meaning of the text of scripture, on which he was  
 ELIZ. condemned, was the very point at issue. If the  
 A. D. high commission could indeed convince him that  
 1565—75. its interpretation was right, he ceased to be an  
 anabaptist; if it failed in this, he could only regard himself as a martyr. And were the voice of the councils ever so explicit, still the spirit of reverential confidence in God's word, which the reformation had diffused, had already brought their authority into question even with the learned. "General councils," so taught the episcopal divines in their own article of the church, recently set forth, "may err and have erred." How preposterous then to appeal to their decisions, as final on a question affecting the conscience, nay the life of a fellow citizen; and the more so as their aid would chiefly be invoked when the voice of holy scripture was felt to be insufficient! Besides, when controversialists are inflamed with passion, it is ever to be dreaded that each side will deal in these bitter exaggerations. And thus the lesser fault of schism is, by the opposing party, magnified into heresy; and those whose worst crime is ignorance or obstinacy, are punished as heretics, with unreasonable severity.

6. To these objections the high commission was open from the first; and as if no means were to be neglected of bringing its proceedings into discredit, it made itself infamous by the mode in which its judicial examinations were conducted. Delinquents were put upon their oath, and then

compelled to criminate themselves. Numbers suffered thus; convicted solely upon their own extorted evidence. Others refused to take the oath; but these again were punished for contempt. From the meshes of this detestable inquisition there was no escape.

CHAPTER  
III.  
ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1565—75.

7. Notwithstanding these severities, or perhaps in no small degree as their consequence, puritanism continued to increase; for the persecution which does not exterminate a religious party, never fails to strengthen it. The universities had not yet forgotten the lessons or the examples of their great professors, Bucer and Peter Martyr. At Cambridge their principles made great progress. The surplice question was revived, and the university was distracted. A university is a mimic state: and plunging into the discussion of great questions, it seldom fails, with more learning, to bring less practical good sense and temper to the consideration of them; the field is too narrow, and the vehemence too much compressed. Oxford in the previous century had been convulsed with metaphysical tumults. Nominalists and realists contended at first with syllogisms, then with blows and bloodshed; and Cambridge was now to be scarcely less disturbed, though, on a question, it must be owned, of far greater moment. St. John's college was at that time the most flourishing in the university, and it was warmly attached to the principles of the reformation. On the accession of queen Mary, the master and twenty four fellows with several scholars resigned, rather than con-

CHAPTER form to the renovated superstitions. On the ac-  
 III. cession of Elizabeth, Dr. Pilkington was chosen  
 ELIZ. master, and soon afterwards he was raised to the  
 A. D. bishopric of Durham. His works testify, at once  
 1565—75. to his detestation of Romish superstitions and his  
 wisdom and piety: in his will he desires to be  
 buried “with as few popish ceremonies as may be,  
 or vain cost.” He had been one of the exiles at  
 Zurich and Geneva, and on his return to England  
 assisted in the revision of the book of common  
 prayer; and when a solemn commemoration was  
 held at Cambridge, in memory of Martin Bucer  
 and Paulus Fagius, to obliterate the indignities  
 offered to their remains, which had been dug up  
 and burnt in the reign of Mary, he pronounced  
 the funeral oration. His latest biographer sums  
 up his character in few but weighty words: “A  
 zealous protestant, bishop Pilkington possessed in  
 an eminent degree that rare judgment and mode-  
 ration which are the characteristics of our early  
 English reformers.”\*

8. It was in this college that a resolute opposi-  
 tion first appeared against the imposition of the  
 vestments. In the absence of the master Dr.  
 Longworth, Dr. Pilkington’s successor, the stu-  
 dents and fellows to the number of three hundred  
 laid aside their hoods and surplices. In Trinity  
 college the example was followed with but three  
 exceptions; and the smaller colleges were prepar-  
 ing to act in the same manner, when a violent  
 storm arose, and Cecil, the queen’s secretary, ad-

\* Prof. Scholefield: Life prefixed to Pilkington’s Works, P.S. Ed.



monished them in no gentle terms to resume the discarded habits. Cecil was then chancellor of the university.

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1565—75.

9. The university remonstrated. A letter was addressed to Cecil by the heads of houses and professors, imploring him to intercede with the queen. It was dated November 26, 1565. "A report," say they, "has reached us that, for the future, all scholars of this university will be forced to return to the old popish habits. This is daily mentioned to us by a great multitude of pious and learned men, who affirm in their consciences that they think every ornament of this kind is unlawful; and, if the intended proclamation is enforced, they will be brought into the greatest danger. Lest our university should be forsaken, we think it is one of our first duties to acquaint you with this condition of ourselves and of our brethren. And by these letters we most humbly beg, as well from your wisdom as from your credit and favour with the queen's majesty, that you would intercede with her to withhold a proclamation of this kind. For, as far as we can see, there can be no danger or inconvenience in exempting us from this burden: but, on the contrary, we very much fear that it will prove a hindrance to the preaching of the gospel and to learning."\*

10. This letter was signed, amongst others, by the masters of Trinity and St. John's; by Hutton, master of Pembroke hall, and afterwards archbishop of York; and, which more concerns the

\* Strype, Life of Parker, Appendix.



CHAPTER III.  
 ELIZ.  
 A. D.  
 1565—75.

reader who would trace the conduct and motives of the chief actors in the story, by John Whitgift, then Lady Margaret's professor of divinity, soon afterwards successively master of Pembroke hall and Trinity college, and at length, on the death of archbishop Grindal, successor to the see of Canterbury.

11. A spirit so anxious and dissatisfied and yet so powerful, was not likely long to want a leader. It soon found one in all respects equal to the task ; a man whose name belongs to history ; and to whose importance in the events which we are about to relate, an equal testimony is given in the unbounded eulogy of his admirers, and the less pardonable rancour of his foes. JOHN CARTWRIGHT,\* of whom we speak, is one of the few men whose life and personal character still interest posterity after a lapse of nearly three hundred years, and angry writers have not yet ceased by turns to defend and assail his memory. The heroes in Homer did not contend more fiercely for the dead body of Patroclus than the authors of each succeeding age, themselves the representatives of great principles and powerful parties, for the reputation of this great puritan divine. Cartwright was a scholar of St. John's, diligent and successful ; when the accession of queen Mary and the apprehension of coming danger dispersed that learned and heartily protestant body, he retired into obscurity and

\* The facts of Cartwright's life are chiefly taken from Brooke's Life of Cartwright : from the opinions of this writer I find reason to differ on many points. The facts are collected with great care.

entered upon the study of the law. On the death of Mary he returned to St. John's. Dr. Pilkington, the new master, esteemed him highly, and promoted his advancement ; for which an amusing old writer reviles him as "a zealous puritan out of whose school proceeded Cartwright and others." But the master of St. John's was not peculiar in his regards. The year after his re-admission he was chosen fellow, and so rapidly did his reputation grow that in three years he removed to the recent, but already magnificent foundation of Trinity college, the princely rival of St. John's, and here he was at once elected a senior fellow.

12. The young queen had paid a visit to the university in 1564, where she was entertained in the morning with scholastic exercises, at night with comedies and plays. The most learned men were selected to dispute before her in the schools ; and Cartwright was chosen to sustain a leading part. The queen took leave of the university in a latin speech. She approved of all the disputants, but most of Dr. Preston, who is said to have been a man of elegance and taste, while Cartwright is described as unhewn and awkward. It would be an amusing, were it not a painful, instance of the asperity of Cartwright's opponents, that to this trivial circumstance (and yet one so natural to a young and accomplished lady), they have ascribed, without pretending further evidence, his estrangement for the remainder of his life from the church party. He became a puritan to avenge himself on doctor Preston !

CHAPTER 13. In 1569, Cartwright was chosen Lady Margaret's professor of divinity, and gave lectures on the Acts of the apostles. They created the greatest interest, and were listened to with admiration : when he preached at St. Mary's church the windows were removed for the sake of the crowds who were compelled to stand without. The university of Cambridge must have been strangely unlike itself, if such a reputation could be made, much less sustained, by one who possessed none but superficial acquirements. The taste of the age was, it is true, theological. Divinity was a science in which all endeavoured to excel ; among courtiers and gentlemen it was an accomplishment ; with divines a profession ; at the bar a collateral branch of law. This may explain the extent and enthusiasm of Cartwright's triumph ; but it suggests too the difficulty of achieving it.

14. His sentiments as a puritan were not concealed in his divinity lectures and sermons. The opposition which he must have foreseen, even if he did not court it, soon arose ; and Whitgift was his earliest antagonist. What Cartwright preached before the university on one Sunday, Whitgift from the same pulpit refuted on the next. Each of them is said to have been listened to with vast applause ; if so, we can easily infer the tumult and insubordination which prevailed at Cambridge ; and the uneasiness of those in power. Whitgift had now abandoned his early principles ; which, as we have seen, leaned in favour of the puritans. This has been an unpardonable crime

with some historians. But those who are not satisfied that history should be the drudge of faction, will not be displeased with the suggestion that young men of ardent minds are not to be too harshly dealt with if they live to repudiate some of the sentiments they avowed when first entering upon public life. Through a long career Whitgift was consistent afterwards; and we find no difficulty in admitting that, in such times, he may have had what appeared to him, at least, sufficient reasons to justify his conduct. It is true, that his course led to high preferment, and Cartwright's to a life of suffering; a difference which compels us to give our sympathy in Cartwright's favour, but not our judgment; unless indeed it could be shewn that Whitgift was influenced by dishonourable motives. Archbishop Grindal too appears amongst his opponents. He was for dealing with "this unhappy faction," he says, "with all expedition, as people fanatical and incurable." Whether his scheme for their recovery were wise or not, it was not wanting in decision. "In my opinion, under your lordships' correction," writing to the lords of the council, "it were not amiss that six of the most desperate of them should be sent to the common goal at Cambridge; and six likewise to Oxford, and some others of them to other goals, as to your wisdoms shall be thought expedient!" \*

15. We remark with pain, in reviewing these events, the facility with which men who espoused

\* Remains 319. P.S. Ed.



CHAPTER  
III.

---

ELIZ.

A. D.

1565—75.

a fresh party turned their keenest weapons upon that from which they had just withdrawn. It seems as if no sense of shame existed; or as if the remembrance of the past was obliterated with every change of principle. But it was still a barbarous age; and the restraints of civilized life were but imperfectly acknowledged. It is strange that Whitgift and Grindal should have behaved with severity to Cartwright, since they themselves had deeply shared in his early scruples, and to a recent period had been his associates in disgrace. But they were not singular. We have seen that Cranmer and Ridley had consented to Hooper's imprisonment, upon a difference so trifling that they were ready on his release to admit him forthwith to a share in the episcopate itself; and lord Bacon, at a still later period, coarsely reviled his benefactor the earl of Essex at the tribunal before which he was vainly pleading for his life. Such was the habit of the times. The delicacy of feeling which belongs to a refined state of society, and a lofty standard of private morals, was then, and long afterward, almost unknown.

16. Archbishop Grindal applied to Cecil, as chancellor of the university, to exert himself that Cartwright should either be silenced or expelled. The matter was laid before the vice-chancellor and the heads of houses, and the immediate consequence was the refusal of his doctor's degree; then his suspension from the professorship of divinity. The chancellor seems to have behaved with great moderation. Having considered the affair with



much "deliberation and meekness," his conclusion was that Cartwright, "not from arrogance or any ill design," but as "the reader of the scriptures, had given notes, by way of comparison, between the orders of the ministry and the times of the apostles, and the present times of this church of England." He therefore thought it sufficient to prohibit him from reading on those "nice questions," and he also wrote to him a kind letter of caution and advice.

CHAPTER  
III.  
ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1565—75.

17. Cartwright, however, was deprived of his professorship, and forbidden to preach in the university, through the influence of Dr. Whitgift, now vice-chancellor.\* And against this proceeding, severe as it was, there is little to object, except the unseemly haste and superfluous bitterness displayed by Whitgift and his friends. They have been severely blamed,† because, without appealing to the authority of the scriptures, they thought it sufficient to assert that Cartwright's doctrines were contrary to the religion established by public authority, and on that account alone to demand a recantation of them. Had the university been nothing more than an open arena of political and theological controversialists, where all comers were at equal liberty to maintain their sentiments, their conduct would indeed have been unjust. But this was not the case. And in what country could such a community exist with safety; or what could such a university become even in quiet times, except a school of uproar and sedition? The

\* Paul's Life of Whitgift, 16.

† Brooke's Cartwright passim.

CHAPTER nation had determined upon a certain ecclesiastical constitution, with respect to which the duties of the universities, and more especially those of their theological professors, were perfectly well defined. They were to educate the youth of England in accordance with its laws,—its fundamental constitution,—both in church and state. However imperfect the church established by law might be, and however wise and perfect Cartwright's project of reformation, it was still impossible that any corporate society which was not already quivering on the verge of revolution, or profoundly wanting in self-respect, could tolerate a professor who lectured upon the duty of overthrowing the church whose sons and members he had undertaken to instruct. What church, what party, not utterly indifferent to all truth and all fixed opinions, has ever tolerated such a proceeding? Cartwright, if dissatisfied, should have at once retired, and challenged other hearers than his pupils, and upon some other tilting ground than the fenced enclosures of a university. If there was a want of forbearance in his opponents, we must admit in this instance the want of high integrity in Cartwright.

18. His sentiments, committed to writing by himself, and submitted to the university in his own defence, included the following propositions. That the names and functions of archbishops and archdeacons ought to be abolished. That the existing ministers of the church, namely, bishops, priests, and deacons, ought to be reduced to the apostolical

institution, (meaning that bishops, as a third order in the church, should be abolished) and that presbyters only should remain to preach the word of God, and to pray; and deacons to be employed in taking care of the poor. That every church ought to be governed by its own ministers and presbyters. That no man ought to solicit, or be a candidate for, the ministry. And that ministers ought to be openly and fairly chosen by the people. "To effect this reformation," he concludes, "every one ought to labour in his calling; the magistrate by his authority; the minister by the word, and all by their prayers."\*

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1565—75.

19. But how was this reformation, granting that it were one, to be accomplished? His opponents were no less in earnest than himself. They believed that episcopacy was ordained of Christ or his apostles; that of all forms of church government it was the wisest and the best. They had suffered much to bring about the reformation now so decried; nor was it likely they would abandon, without a determined struggle, a cause so dear to them, for the sake of a mere experiment; for the presbyterian churches established at Geneva and elsewhere were of too recent a growth to claim the respect which is due to long enduring and well tried institutions. A church upon Cartwright's model was a novelty; and all of his opponents thought no doubt the scheme impracticable, while not a few of them, viewing it as unscriptural and wrong, would have passed through another persecution

\* Strype's Whitgift. Appendix.

CHAPTER such as that from which they had escaped, rather  
 III. than submit to it. For this was the alternative,  
 ELIZ. submission or resistance. Cartwright and his  
 A. D. friends asked, not for the toleration of their opi-  
 1565—75. nions but for their endowment; not that they  
 might be permitted to hold them unmolested, but  
 that they might throw down the existing church  
 in order to establish them. A presbyterian church,  
 occupying the place of the present establishment,  
 and appropriating to itself its funds and dignities,  
 was the meaning of Cartwright's propositions. It  
 is true that he repelled with the indignation, and  
 no doubt with the sincerity, of one much aggrieved,  
 the charge of intending to bring about by force  
 the reformation he was planning: but to his op-  
 ponents this appeared as an inevitable conse-  
 quence; a consequence at least only to be avoided  
 by their unconditional submission. And there  
 were those among them, inconsiderable neither for  
 their numbers nor for their moderation and piety,  
 who would have decided that the episcopal church  
 was cheaply defended at the cost, if necessary, of  
 their own lives, and all the hazards of a civil  
 war.

20. It may seem severe to charge Cartwright  
 and his party with consequences which, in their  
 judgment, would either not arise at all, or only  
 from the ignorance and obstinacy of their anta-  
 gonists. But such apologies, though specious and  
 plausible, must always fail to have the slightest  
 weight with the opposite party. They who set  
 themselves to the subversion of principles which



others cling to, as to life itself, must be prepared to take their full share of responsibility in the terrible collisions which will certainly ensue.

CHAPTER  
III.

---

ELIZ.

A. D.

1565—75.

21. Still Whitgift's severity was impolitic, and quite unworthy of his christian character, even after making a large allowance for the barbarism of the times. He threw the sympathies of pious men, including the chancellor Cecil, lord Burghley, himself, and the great earl of Leicester, warmly into Cartwright's favour. He contributed to force a large party into desperation; and he made Cartwright its martyr and its hero.

22. On the pretext that Cartwright had not taken priest's orders, he charged him with perjury, and procured the forfeiture of his fellowship; which, according to the statutes of his college, required that he should be in holy orders. Cartwright, who was in deacon's orders, maintained that he had complied with the spirit, if not with the very letter, of the statutes, of which the meaning was no more than this; that the senior fellowships should be held by spiritual men for the guidance of the college, to the exclusion of the other professions, law and physic; and that as reader of divinity and a preacher in the university, he had fulfilled the conditions with accurate fidelity. There appears no ground to charge him with the revolting crime of perjury. But even this was not sufficient; he was soon afterwards expelled. In a letter addressed to the chancellor, dated 17 October 1571, he mentions his expulsion, first from the college and then from the university; and implores very



CHAPTER humbly that the affair may be reheard. “ I would  
 II. write,” he says, “ a full account of the matter, but  
 ELIZ. I am afraid of perplexity. I would rather state  
 A.D. the affair in your presence, which would enable me  
 1565—75. to be more brief and distinct. Behold a new and  
 cruel device of the most unjust of men, who omit  
 nothing to consummate my wretchedness ; since  
 both water and fire are forbidden me. They seem  
 to want nothing but a sack that they may destroy  
 me like a matricide. I hear also that I am accused  
 of seditious and schismatic practices ; O baseness ! ”  
 Cartwright went abroad, where he was received  
 with the greatest attention by the leaders of the  
 reformed churches ; but at the solicitation of his  
 friends, among whom were Foxe and the learned  
 Fulke, now as well as himself, and for a similar  
 cause, deprived of his fellowship, but afterwards  
 master of Pembroke hall, he returned to England.  
 His reputation was yet untarnished. Cecil, as  
 lord treasurer, solicited his opinions upon an affair  
 of state in a manner which shews that he was not  
 regarded as a time server. The queen contemplat-  
 ed marriage with the duke of Anjou, a Roman  
 catholic ; and the lord treasurer himself was thought  
 to favour the match. The question he proposed  
 was this, “ Whether it was lawful for one profess-  
 ing the gospel to marry a papist ? ” Cartwright’s  
 answer was explicit. “ I am fully persuaded for  
 my part that it is directly forbidden in scripture.”  
 Happily for the nation the marriage was broken  
 off.

23. The remainder of Cartwright’s life is inter-

woven with the ecclesiastical history of the times. It presents us with the records of a man of high attainments, fervent zeal, and unwearied resolution, devoting himself to suffering and disgrace, in the long endeavour to achieve, as he believed, a second and a better reformation. Such examples deserve to be recorded for the reverence of future ages; and happily the time has appeared when we no longer hesitate to acknowledge exalted worth, though in alliance with principles and opinions we condemn: and such respect at least is due to the memory of Cartwright.

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.  
A. D.

1565—75.

24. The year 1572 was memorable in the history of the puritans. Many severities had been exercised, many of their ministers degraded, silenced, and imprisoned. The church party and their opponents had both alike become stern and unforgiving. Despairing, as they said, of reformation beginning elsewhere, two of the puritan leaders, Field and Wilcocks, published "An admonition to the parliament for the reformation of church discipline." Beza had addressed to the earl of Leicester, the friend and patron of the puritan cause, a letter, in which he urged the necessity of a further reformation in England, and of more forbearance to the discontented party. Gaultier had written to bishop Parker in the same spirit. These letters were printed with the admonition, and contributed not a little to its marked effect. The petition itself exposed the splendours of the hierarchy, and the proceedings of the bishops; and prayed that a church might be established by

1572.

CHAPTER law more consonant with God's word ; that is,  
 III. upon the presbyterian model. It was presented  
 ELIZ. to the house by its authors, Field and Wilcocks ;  
 A. D. a proceeding for which they were immediately  
 1565—75. committed to Newgate ; where their sufferings  
 gave a fresh impulse to their cause. They were  
 visited by the leaders of the party, amongst whom  
 occur the names of Fulke, Humphrey, Wyburn,  
 and Cartwright ; and although every effort was  
 made to suppress the pamphlet, three or four  
 editions were published in as many years.\*

25. Whitgift was summoned by the voice of the church party to answer the admonition, and he is said to have been assisted by archbishop Parker and other eminent divines. His opponents still admit that his method was fair and unexceptionable ; and his admirers then claimed for his work much higher praise, as an unanswerable defence of the reformed church of England. Its merits are great no doubt ; and it would probably still have been a popular treatise upon the subjects it discusses, notwithstanding its many antiquated allusions and personal asperities, had not Hooker soon afterwards won for himself an immortal reputation as the sole champion of our English church ; casting into the shade whatever had been done before him, and leaving nothing to be accomplished on this arena by those who should come after. Yet Hooker appears to have been himself

\* Strype's life of Parker, 413. The ' admonition ' has been frequently but erroneously attributed to Cartwright himself. Brooke's Cartwright, p. 97.

indebted to this famous controversy for something of his method, and for many of his arguments.

CHAPTER  
III.

26. Cartwright now came forward in reply, and braving the certain penalty that must follow, published "A second admonition to the parliament." It opened with an address to his readers, in which he says, "We have cast our accounts, who bend ourselves to deal in these matters, not only to abide hard words, but also hard and sharp dealings for our labour; and yet," he adds, "we shall think our labour well bestowed, if by God's grace we attain but to give some light of that reformation of religion which is grounded on God's word, and to have somewhat opened the deformities of our English reformation, which highly displeaseth God." He then proceeds to state and defend at large the puritan demands,—or rather the demands of those of the puritans who had now decided in favour of an entirely new platform of church government.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1565—75.

27. Whitgift replied to Cartwright, and Cartwright again answered Whitgift; while a host of inferior writers took up the question on both sides; and swelled the tide of battle, and increased the uproar, without adding materially to the force engaged. Thus arose a controversy which, while it lasted, occupied the attention and absorbed the sympathies of all the reformed churches; and which has ever since been referred to as containing within itself the germ of almost every important argument which either party has been able to advance. We interrupt our narrative for a time,



CHAPTER that we may attend to this remarkable discus-  
 III. sion.

---

ELIZ.

A. D.

1565—75.

28. The demands of the admonition, as it was afterwards defended and explained by Cartwright and others, resolve themselves into two great heads. The first of these concerns the constitution of a church. The second relates to the abuses then existing in the church of England. On the latter point, large concessions might have been made without abandoning any one principle of the least importance. And if so, they ought to have been made at once,—cheerfully and without hesitation,—were it only on the principle of yielding things indifferent to the scruples of weak consciences; an apostolic principle, and of the greatest moment, though for the most part held in great contempt in religious controversy. The cross in baptism, and the ring in marriage, once parted with, would scarcely have been regretted, and very soon forgotten. The use of organs was not essential to public worship; nor choral chanting; nor were square caps or surplices. All these were grievous to the puritans. But the wrong done to them, and the mistake committed, lay in this: not that the church party retained these peculiarities, but that it insisted on them, and chained them on the necks of others. A compromise ought to have been attempted. It would in all probability have succeeded perfectly; for such is human nature that indulgences which are no longer denied are seldom asked for; and had the ceremonies so fiercely contested been left as things



indifferent, uniformity would have crept on by slow degrees ; or a mutual forbearance, (in things indifferent a greater blessing oftentimes than uniformity itself) would have healed the division and produced, if not uniformity, at least a real unity, at last. For instance, the anabaptists of modern days, the legitimate successors of the extreme section of the puritans, neither reject an organ nor think it superstitious : and in every class of modern dissenters there is a perceptible tendency to revert again to those sober and now well-tested forms of the church of England, against which their puritan ancestors would never have contended so much in the spirit of martyrs, had it not been that they were threatened with a martyr's fate.

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1565—75.

29. Nor were their demands altogether unreasonable. The abuses then prevailing in the church were such as to shock, if they do not amuse, by their extravagance. Pluralities may have admitted of some excuse ; for able ministers were but few in number ; and vacant benefices were better disposed of, it might be contended, by placing several in the hands of one able person, than either by leaving them void, or bestowing them upon the incompetent. Whitgift was rector of Feversham, master of Trinity college, prebend of Ely, and dean of Lincoln. And his case was not a solitary one : other favoured individuals were loaded with preferment. This, however justified, was an evil precedent, and productive of unhappy consequences. But what defence can be offered for the splendours of the hierarchy ? In an age of state and pageantry, archbishop Parker

CHAPTER exhibited a model of almost regal magnificence.  
 III.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1570—75.

Whitgift, shortly afterwards raised to the primacy on the death of Grindal, surpassed even Parker in stateliness. It is recorded of him by one of his biographers that he travelled with a retinue of a hundred servants, including forty gentlemen in chains of gold. And that nothing might be wanting, he kept “a good armoury for the exercise of military discipline, and a fair stable of horses;” insomuch that he was able at all times to equip both horse and foot, and frequently mustered a hundred of the former and fifty of the latter, “his own servants, trained and mounted.”\* No wonder that prelacy, with its pomp and pride, was the favourite mark for the keen shafts of the puritans!

30. But the admonition and its defenders had wider views, and aimed at something far beyond the amendment of the existing institutions. They repeated their demands for a national church fashioned after the presbyterian model. This, they affirmed, and only this, was agreeable to scripture and the will of heaven, and nothing less could satisfy them.

31. They demanded a national church, endowed with tithes and ecclesiastical emoluments; for this, they said, was in accordance with the will of God as expressed in the law of Moses: yet they rejected the spiritual headship of the sovereign, although it prevailed in the jewish church, because, they affirmed, it was inconsistent with the new testament. They assumed it as an unquestionable

\* Paul’s Whitgift, 97. 105.

truth that the constitution of the christian church was traced out, and the duties of its several officers assigned in scripture, with as much, if not more, clearness than the instructions for building the tabernacle, and regulating the daily service of the temple. If the jew had an exact directory from God in all that concerned his mode of worship, much more the christian; the christian dispensation was in this, as in all points, clearer than the jewish. From whence it followed that to introduce an office into the church, unknown in scripture, was a grievous sin. It was only to be compared to the effrontery of Uzzah, who touched the ark and died.

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1570—75.

32. The assault of the extreme puritans fell heavily on archbishops and high dignitaries; for whatever were their weaknesses the want of candour or of courage, was not one of them. "In the tabernacle," says Cartwright, "the church is expressly set forth. As the temple was nearer the time of Christ (than the tabernacle), so it is a more lively expression of the church of God that now is." He then proceeds to shew that both in the one and in the other every thing was done as God prescribed; and he adds, with sarcastic indignation, "Is it likely that he who appointed, not only the tabernacle and the temple, but their ornaments, would not only neglect the ornaments of the church, but that without which it cannot long stand? Shall we conclude that he who remembered the bars there, hath forgotten the pillars here? Or he who there remembered the pins,

CHAPTER here forgot the master builders ? Should he there  
 III. remember the besoms, and here forget archbishops,  
 ELIZ. if any had been needful ? Could he there make  
 A. D. mention of the snuffers, to purge the lights, and  
 1570—75. here pass by the lights themselves ?”\*

33. This is the clue to the whole argument ; which descends into all the details of church government, and condemns whatever has not the express sanction of scripture. Whatever is not written is erroneous. Upon the other hand, the acts of the early church in the new testament, are not less binding upon other christian churches, in matters of discipline, than its teaching in matters of doctrine. If it be heresy to pervert the doctrines of the bible, it is popery and gross impiety, he contends, to add anything to its precedents of church government and discipline : for this is to charge its author with having given an imperfect revelation.

34. The theory is plausible: its evident simplicity, and the reverence which it seems to pay to the word of God, will always commend it to many admirers. It has never ceased to be urged, from time to time, upon the attention of the christian church ; though it has seldom found in after years an advocate to be compared with Cartwright ; whose mingled wit and wisdom, whose vehement declamation and logical precision, and whose nervous style and manly courage, the expression of a profound sincerity, will ever give his writings, apart from all other considerations, a distinguished place in the literature of his country. Cart-

\* Cartwright's Reply, §2, &c.



wright was the Hooker of non-conformity: his equal in acuteness, though not in penetration; in eloquence, though not in learning his superior: his inferior perhaps only in that profound dexterity and skill in argument which, mingled with an awful reverence for truth, scorns or dreads to take advantage of an adversary's weakness. For, in these high polemic virtues, Hooker is without an equal.

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1570—75.

35. Whitgift replied in a tone equally disdainful, (for the meekness of christian polemics, was sorely wronged on both sides), but with a depth of learning and of patient thought which was a greater tribute to Cartwright's prowess, than the loudest acclamations of his own party. It was evident that the reformation was put upon its trial, and that its friends were conscious of the greatness of the crisis. All the warmth of enthusiasm, all the energy of hope or of despair, was on the side of the assailants. To retain an empire kindles less excitement than to storm a battery. The prelates, if courtiers and men of this world, could only wish for peace; if saints and men of apostolic holiness and zeal, they could still have no other ambition. They had accomplished a reformation the greatest, and, as the results have shewn, the most abiding the church has ever seen: if slothful, it was reasonable they should wish to enjoy its fruits; if zealous for God, to dispense its blessings. But it was difficult to revive in their favour the popular zeal. They had now to control, and not to stimulate, the ardour of the multitude: to repress the desire of change and inculcate submission. And this task, always difficult, is doubly so



CHAPTER in the hands of those who have been once known  
 III. as the leaders in a popular movement. They seem  
 ELIZ. inconsistent as soon as they become practical.  
 A. D. When they no longer innovate, they are charged  
 1570—75. with a desertion of their principles.

36. Whitgift, however, asserted a principle which, if true, was destined to unfold a degree of liberty far beyond the aspirations of the most zealous puritan. He maintained the right of christian churches to determine their own forms of government.\* His statements, if pushed to an extreme, would seem to warrant the conclusion that he thought that church government was in itself a thing indifferent ; that it was a wise and cautious step to consult the scriptures, and to adhere to the examples of the ancient church, but that no absolute command required even this degree of deference, whether paid to the scriptures or the fathers of the church. It was enough for him that an office was useful ; it was not necessary that it should be scriptural. He regarded the end of the institution, namely a good and useful government as the only consideration of primary importance ; and he thought that true wisdom led us to seek for this, not in a servile imitation of what existed at Corinth or Philippi in the days of St. Paul ; nor in the usages of the African church in the days of Cyprian : but in a judicious adaptation of the precedents contained in scripture and in the fathers, to the wants of an English church in the sixteenth century.

\* Whitgift's Answer to a certain libel entituled An admonition to the Parliament, 1572, and " Defence of the Answer &c.," 1574.

37. He replies to Cartwright's attack upon the office of an archbishop thus. "It is manifest," he says, and a more liberal foundation could not possibly be laid, "that Christ hath left the government of his church, touching the external policy, to the ordering of men who have to make orders and laws for the same, as time, place, and persons require; so that nothing be done contrary to his word. We make not an archbishop necessary to salvation, but profitable to the government of the church, and therefore consonant to the word of God." Again: "We are well assured that Christ in his word hath fully and plainly comprehended all things necessary to faith and good life, yet hath he committed certain orders of ceremonies and kind of government to the disposition of his church; the general rules given in his word being generally observed; and nothing being done contrary to his will and commandment."

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.

A.D.

1570—75.

38. The utility of an office, then, justified its introduction, and Whitgift was not anxious, indeed he thought it impossible, to go higher. Christ willed the government of his church in willing its existence; for without government, social or corporate, existence is impossible. He had laid down no precise law, like that of the old testament, by which one uniform, unbending, government could be framed. The church, therefore, was at liberty to make its own choice; the honour of God being its sole aim, and to do "nothing contrary to his word," its single limitation. "What," he adds, "if the name of an arch-

CHAPTER III.  
 ELIZ.  
 A.D.  
 1570—75.

bishop was not in St. Paul's time? doth it therefore follow that the thing signified by the name was not in his time? The authority and thing whereof the archbishop hath his name *was* in Paul's time; therefore the name is lawful; and if it had not been in his time, yet were both the name and office lawful, because it pertains to the external policy and government of the church."\*

39. It belongs to a treatise of ecclesiastical polity to determine the merits of this great and anxious question. We must be contented to remark, that Cartwright failed by an overstatement of his premises, while Whitgift was in practise inconsistent with his own conclusions.

40. Few intelligent non-conformists would now choose to embarrass themselves with Cartwright's bold assertion, that the new testament contains the exact delineation of a christian church. Nor would they affirm that the precise admeasurements and other minute directions which were given to Moses for the construction of the tabernacle, and the ordering of its ritual, find a counterpart in the confessedly few and general precedents to be gathered from the new testament, on the subject of church polity. The history of three centuries has brought, at last, some healing lessons of moderation with it. Amongst the jews, no schism found a place; so clear and comprehensive their instructions. And when the ten tribes revolted, they were soon compelled to reject some portions of the law, in order to conceal the

\* Defence of Answer, 301—470.

schismatic character of their rival temple on mount Gherizim. But no community of christians has yet presumed to claim, as exhibited in its own practice, the precise institutions of a new testament church,—perfect and unaltered,—without calling up a host of opponents eager to deny its claims and challenge its proofs. And every such body has been in turn compelled to decline the contest, or to abandon something of its high pretensions, and confess that the utmost it has been able to accomplish, is but a feeble imitation of the primitive examples of the new testament: an adaptation, but not a transcript.

CHAPTER  
III.  
ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1570—75.

41. It is a question, which the learning and ability displayed on both sides has not entirely set at rest in the minds of many sincere and intelligent christians, whether the new testament bishop was merely a presiding presbyter, *primus inter pares*, or a minister of a superior order, and of a higher rank. Cartwright would have revolutionized the church of England upon this single point. All ministers were equal. Every church was independent. The right of choosing ministers lay exclusively with the congregation. These were first principles, to be maintained at whatever cost.

42. Whitgift, on the other hand, and the powerful party whom he represented, were unreasonably tenacious. Their own argument condemns their conduct. The weapons which wound their reputation most, were sharpened by themselves. For if the constitution of a church were, to so great an extent, committed to their own discretion; if no



CHAPTER III.  
 ELIZ.  
 A. D.  
 1570—75.

sin, no disrespect to scripture, were involved in making those alterations from time to time which fitted it for usefulness; adapting it to altered times and circumstances; why did they resist all change? Why did they oppose a stern and iron front to the demands of their own brethren—not of a few capricious minds, unstable and self-willed; but of hundreds of good men,—of learning scarcely inferior to their own, and in zeal and piety, not a whit behind them? Because some of Cartwright's demands were unreasonable, why should every puritan be treated with contempt? With regard to many of the points at issue, they pleaded, not conscience, but mere convenience. Of that convenience why should not their brethren be sometimes allowed to judge? Why crush a large and earnest minority, whose number, combined with their acknowledged zeal and piety, was a sufficient indication that some deep grievance did really exist beneath a surface too much ruffled, it is true, by tumultuous discontent?

43. There is one consideration which, had it occurred to either party, would have abated something of its warmth by placing the subjects of contention in a far less important light. We mean the tendency of all institutions to mould themselves in practice, so as to accord with the genius and disposition of those amongst whom they flourish. An exact transcript of the primitive churches of the new testament, were it possible to be revised, in London for example or New York, would grievously disappoint the expectations of



its ardent votaries. Names and offices would remain as they were from the beginning, and probably the likeness would be traced in nothing else. The national character could not fail to act with irregular and unequal force upon the different parts of an ancient and foreign institution; and long before it had begun to perform its work with ease, it would in fact have been remodelled. Had the puritans remained within the national church, they would have possessed a vast and salutary influence. Had their zeal and united energies been wisely directed, not to the attainment of doubtful and speculative theories, but to the diffusion of piety, the earthly splendours of the hierarchy which withstood their arguments, would soon have confessed their presence. Worldly-minded prelates would have been compelled, in self-defence, to assume a modest bearing; and needless pomp would have been discountenanced. The middle classes, the bulk of every parish, though not formally admitted to elect their pastors, would, if educated in christian piety, have exercised a wholesome restraint upon the rights of patrons. Without the name or authority of rulers, they must have soon possessed a power, which the most imperious are compelled to respect; the power of public opinion, rightly directed and temperately expressed. The democratic disposition would have worked well and safely in the presence of a legitimate controlling authority. On the other hand, a wise episcopate, though somewhat reduced, and liable to be always

CHAPTER  
III.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1570—75.

CHAPTER checked when its pretensions were unreasonable,  
III. would have experienced no real danger ; and, after  

---

ELIZ. a time, its learning, calmness, and high position,  
A. D. remote from clamour and transient excitement,  
1570—75. would have led all but the restless and visionary  
to confess its worth. The church of England  
might then have borne a closer resemblance to  
her civil constitution ; where the various elements  
meet, not in ceaseless conflict, but in well blended  
proportions, and in joint control ; and therefore in  
harmonious and successful action.

## CHAPTER IV.

1. THE great leaders of the reformation were now hastening from the scene, and their places were filled in succession by other men who were often strangers alike to their sufferings, their simplicity, and their triumphs. A single year, 1575, proved fatal to Parkhurst bishop of Norwich, Pilkington bishop of Durham, Matthew Parker archbishop of Canterbury, and Bullinger the great reformer of Zurich. Peter Martyr, and the wise and saintly Jewel bishop of Salisbury, had already entered into a world of peace. Bishop Horne soon followed, with many others. Thus the cause of the reformation, and of the church of England, was transmitted to another generation. Grindal succeeded Parker in the primacy, and if any argument were wanting to convince the ultra-puritans that an archbishop might exhibit the loftiest virtues, and discharge with exemplary wisdom, zeal, and meekness the most painful duties of the ministry, they had for nine years a conclusive one in the life and example of archbishop Grindal. But the current of the times

CHAPTER  
IV.  
ELIZ.  
A.D. 1575.

CHAPTER bore hard against him. He was thwarted and  
 IV. borne down by an imperious sovereign, whose  
 ELIZ. piety had now visibly waned before the splendours,  
 A.D. 1575. the adulation, and the unbounded power which  
 few women ever coveted more passionately, and  
 none perhaps ever so abundantly possessed. The  
 English reformation had reached its zenith. From  
 this time new men and new principles begin to  
 appear, and the relative position of puritans and  
 churchmen insensibly alters; and two questions  
 appear in the distance which are by and by to  
 absorb the whole attention of either party; though  
 to this period, the one in our church had no exist-  
 ence, and the other had gained but little notice.  
 The first of these embraced those great points of  
 christian doctrine which afterwards assumed the  
 form of the Calvinistic and Arminian controversy,  
 including the nature of the sacraments and the  
 method of justification. The other was the poli-  
 tical question; already opened in fact, though in-  
 cidentally, by Cartwright and his party; namely,  
 the rights of a sovereign in matters of religion,  
 and those of a christian church in civil govern-  
 ment. Into these two channels the overflowing  
 waters of strife soon found their way; and along  
 them they have never ceased to flow.

2. The state of England in regard to moral and  
 religious culture was at this time deplorable.  
 When we read of the thousands who assembled at  
 Paul's cross to listen to the stirring eloquence of  
 Latimer, or of the five thousand voices at the  
 same place, rising like the sound of many waters,

in one tuneful psalm of praise ; we are apt to infer the existence of an amount of scriptural zeal and knowledge in other parts of the kingdom, painfully at variance with the real facts of history.

CHAPTER  
IV.

---

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1575.

3. The number of the Romish clergy who had resigned their preferments at the reformation, appears almost incredibly small. Including bishops, abbots, heads of colleges, and other dignitaries, as well as the beneficed clergy, no writer can muster up two hundred and fifty : bishop Burnet reduces them to one hundred and ninety-nine ; and D'Ewes's journal, a still better authority, to one hundred and seventy-seven,—a number altogether insignificant when distributed among the ten thousand parishes of England and Wales. It would be something more than charity to suppose that such numbers of the Romish clergy accommodated themselves at once to a change so great and sudden without violence to their consciences ; or, which is more probable, without an utter scorn and contemptuous disregard of all religious principle. From such incumbents the reforming bishops had little to expect. To restrain their popish sympathies, and to insist upon a few decent observances—such as public prayers in English, and the reading of the scriptures—was probably all they could attempt ; and without a just severity, even this was often more than they could accomplish.

4. The christian ministry in Romish countries is not an object of ambition. The priests and friars of Italy are chiefly drawn from the lower



CHAPTER IV. ranks of life; and this is still more visible in  
 ELIZ. remoter nations, where the great prizes of their  
 A.D. 1575. church are fewer, and out of sight. A slavish  
 life, busied with a succession of fretful observances,  
 has no attractions. The wise and good recoil  
 from it. But a low and ignorant ministry had so  
 long prevailed that it gave but little offence; and  
 this is to be borne in mind when we read of the  
 meanness of those from amongst whom the mi-  
 nistry of the church of England was at first re-  
 plenished. When archbishop Parker made the  
 primary visitation of his diocese, some of the  
 beneficed clergy were mechanics, others Romish  
 priests disguised. Many churches were closed.  
 A sermon was not to be heard in some places  
 within a distance of twenty miles. To read, or  
 at least so to read as to be intelligible and impres-  
 sive, was a rare accomplishment. A homily was  
 not read for months together in many parishes.  
 Even in London many churches were closed for  
 want of ministers; and in the country it was not  
 easy to provide a minister competent to baptize  
 infants and inter the dead.\* Bishop Sandys of  
 Worcester, preaching before the queen, tells her  
 majesty (with a solemn intimation that “their  
 blood will be required at somebody’s hands,”)  
 that many of her people, especially in the north,  
 were perishing for lack of knowledge. “Many  
 there are,” he said, “that hear not a sermon in

\* Neal, vol. I. Ch. iv, p. 137-8, and Ch. vi, 287, from a manu-  
 script, he says, in his possession. See too Strype, Life of Parker,  
 p. 224, &c.

seven years ; I might say in seventeen.” The bishop of Bangor had but two preachers in all his diocese. In Cornwall there was not a single minister, says Neal, the historian of the puritans, capable of preaching a sermon. The universities afforded little assistance. In 1563 the university of Oxford had but three preachers ; and these were chief men amongst the puritans ; Humphreys, Kingsmill, and Sampson. There was yet no succession of young men in the universities who had been piously brought up in the protestant faith. This evil had been foreseen by Latimer and the fathers of the reformation, and was indeed amongst their chief anxieties. The indiscriminate plunder of church property, which still continued in the reign of Edward VI., was one great cause. The rapacity of those who should have been the church’s guardians, is frequently denounced in the sermons of the reformers. Ridley deplored the lack of “yeomen’s sons” as candidates for the ministry. But they did not live to carry into effect those measures of redress on which they were earnestly intent, and which might have prevented the dishonour of the reformation, and the calamities of a future generation. Thus, the want of endowments hindered many ; and the terms of subscription, and the rigid conformity, which was enforced with needless severity, was a still greater obstacle to many more who might have adorned the ministerial office.

5. Not only schoolmasters and law clerks, but others of a much inferior class, serving men,

CHAPTER  
IV.

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1575.

CHAPTER IV.  


---

 ELIZ.  
 A.D. 1575. traders, and mechanics, scarcely possessing the first rudiments of learning, were admitted into holy orders. They wanted the only qualifications which can render such a ministry useful, or even tolerable; fervent piety and self-denying zeal. They merely debased the ministry without extending its efficiency.

6. This was a state of things which the queen regarded without much uneasiness. Preaching at least seemed to her a sort of spiritual luxury which she was always at liberty to withhold. This was the dogma of the Romish church; and it was one of several points in which the bias of her mind appeared from time to time in a lingering fondness for the religion of her fathers. In the first year of her reign all preaching was forbidden for a time. The unsettled state of men's minds; the uncertainty and contradictoriness of the doctrines taught in the same place, and from the same pulpit; the necessity of proceeding with caution; above all, the mischievous introduction of state affairs into these popular harangues, threatening the safety of the country and the stability of her throne; these were reasons which may for a short time have justified even so violent a measure. But it was evidently one to which no christian church could long patiently submit. Preaching is the ordinance of Christ himself: it is the great commission which he gave his ministers,—to go into all the world and preach the gospel to every creature. The reformers well knew where their strength lay. Bishop Latimer

had, at an early period of the reformation, turned the tide of popular feeling against the Romish bishops, those “unpreaching prelates;”—holding up their incapacity in the pulpit to the derision of the thronging multitudes who now first learned, from this great father of English eloquence, how powerful an engine the preaching of God’s word was to become henceforward. But Elizabeth had no Latimer to guide, or with dauntless courage, to reprove her. Her dislike of preaching continued to increase. One or two preachers in a diocese, she said, were quite enough. Let a homily be read, and the young catechised. The craving for sermons was by no means to be encouraged. Unhappily her notions obtained a mischievous currency, and continued to infect the church of England long after she had ceased to wield a sceptre.

CHAPTER  
IV.

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1575.

7. At once to meet the necessity of the times and to “make full proof of their ministry,” by exercising it in the most effective manner, the puritans instituted their famous prophecyings. The word must be understood as it is used by St. Paul in the new testament; to prophesy, being synonymous with, to preach. It was in this sense only that they used it to designate the character of their assemblies; a remark which, obvious as it is, has often been overlooked, to the serious hurt of the puritan cause and character. Hence they have been described as idle fanatics, who gravely assembled at stated seasons to compound a pro-

CHAPTER IV. phcey, or denounce future woes upon individuals whom they happened to dislike.

ELIZ. 8. Of these prophecyings one of the first and  
A.D. 1575. most considerable was formed at Northampton.\*  
It had the approbation of the bishop ; and was of  
sufficient local importance to ask, and to secure, the  
sanction of the mayor of the town, and the co-ope-  
1571. ration of the county magistrates. The laws of the  
association were drawn up with care under three  
heads : the first contained an outline of the disci-  
pline which those who joined in the undertaking  
imposed upon themselves ; the second regulated  
the method of proceeding in their public meetings ;  
the third was a short confession of their faith.

9. The code of discipline, if reasonable allowance  
be made for the circumstances of the party and  
the manners of the age, presents none of those  
austere features which are generally supposed to  
have marked the habits of the puritans. It is true  
“ the playing of organs in the choir ” is denounced,  
and singing (or choral chanting) is to “ be put  
down ; ” and Calvin’s catechism is appointed for  
the examination of the young on the Sunday  
evenings, to be followed up with a catechetical  
lecture for an hour on the same thesis ;—but be-  
yond these peculiarities there is little in the “ rules  
for discipline ” enacted by the Northampton puri-  
tans, to which an earnest christian of any scriptural  
church would refuse his consent ; and much which,  
if carried into effect, would contribute, even now,

\* Neal I. Ch. v. 215.



to the spiritual welfare of our towns and parishes. Sunday was not to be profaned by walking abroad, or sitting idly in the streets, in the time of divine service ; nor by “excessive ringing of bells ;” but after hearing morning prayer, and singing a psalm in their own parish churches, the people were to resort to the chief church to hear the sermon, except on those rare occasions when there was a sermon in their own. Once in each quarter of a year there was a general communion in every parish, together with a sermon. And previous to these, the minister and wardens undertook to go from house to house to take the names of the communicants and examine into their lives. After the communion, the minister visited every house to learn who had not received the communion, and the reason of his absence. The day of the administration of the eucharist was one of more than usual solemnity. It was celebrated twice in each parish church ; first at five in the morning, with a sermon of an hour, the service concluding at eight : this was particularly designed for servants : and again from nine to twelve for masters and dames. Every Tuesday and Thursday a lecture was held ; and on holidays, a sermon was delivered.

10. Their prophecyings were conducted in imitation, they maintained, of the primitive church, and in close compliance with the apostolic rule : “ye may all prophesy one by one, that all may learn and all be comforted.” (1 Cor. xiv. 31.) The congregation being assembled, the first minister

CHAPTER  
IV.

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1575.

CHAPTER IV. began with prayer, and explained a text of scripture. He was to confute foolish interpretations, and make practical reflections ; but was especially charged not to run out into common-place remarks; he was to conclude with prayer, and within three quarters of an hour. He was followed by another minister, who might add what he thought deficient, or explain what had been left obscure ; but he was forbidden to repeat what had been said already, or to oppose his predecessor, unless he had spoken contrary to the scriptures. He again was followed by a third, who spoke under the same conditions as the second ; and neither of them was to exceed a quarter of an hour. A presiding moderator always concluded the exercise, which lasted from nine to eleven o'clock. There was here a close resemblance, it must be owned, with St. Paul's directions to the Corinthian church: "let the prophets speak two or three, and let the other judge." The whole proceeding was conducted with great solemnity : if any person caused interruption or disorder, the president (say their orders) shall command him, in the name of the eternal God, to be silent ; and after the exercise he shall be reprimanded. When the public meeting had closed, the clergy remained in conference on the subjects which had been discussed. The next preacher was appointed, and his text assigned. These prophecyings were occasional, and do not seem to have interfered with the regular services of the Lord's-day. Nor does it indeed appear that they were held upon the Sunday.

ELIZ.

A.D. 1575.

11. Their confession of faith is a remarkable document, comprehensive, clear, and forcible, and is entitled to a place in the pages of their history. It was subscribed by each member, and was to this effect :\*

CHAPTER  
IV.

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1575.

—That they believed the word of God, contained in the old and new testament, to be a perfect rule of faith and manners ; that it ought to be read and known by all people ; and that the authority of it exceeds all authority, not of the pope only but of the church also ; and of councils, fathers, men and angels.

—They condemned, as a tyrannous yoke, whatsoever men have set up of their own invention, to make articles of faith, and the binding men's consciences by their laws and institutes. In sum, all those manners and fashions of serving God which men have brought in without the authority of the word for the warrant thereof, though recommended by custom, by unwritten traditions, or any other names whatsoever ; of which sort are the pope's supremacy, purgatory, transubstantiation, man's merits, free-will, justification by works, praying in an unknown tongue, and distinction of meats, apparel, and days, and briefly all the ceremonies, and whole order of papistry, which they call the hierarchy ; which are a devilish confusion established as it were in despite of God, and to the reproach of religion.

—And they content themselves with the simplicity of this pure word of God and doctrine thereof,

\* Neal I. Ch. v. 216.

CHAPTER a summary of which is in the apostles' creed, re-  
 IV. solving to try, examine, and judge all other doc-  
 trines whatsoever by this pure word, as by a cer-  
 ELIZ. tain rule and perfect touchstone. And to this  
 A. D. 1575. word of God they submit themselves and all their  
 doings ; willing and ready to be judged, reformed  
 or further instructed thereby in all points of reli-  
 gion.

12. A slender acquaintance with ecclesiastical history, or with human nature, may suffice to conduct us at once to the conclusion that the prophecies would certainly be popular and might possibly be mischievous. But a deeper thought and a larger wisdom is required before we are in a condition to decide as to the course which ought to have been taken by the rulers of the church with respect to them. The future character of the church of England was the real question at issue. Should the reformed church of England expand itself, and generously—or rashly it might be—cast itself on the affections of the people, and adapt itself to the growing passion for religious teaching; a passion which it might hope to lead, and which it was equally wicked and insane to attempt to quench ? This was one alternative. On the other hand, should it risk all hazards, resist every innovation, and subdue by authority rather than conciliate by gentleness and love ? In a word, should the church be made more popular or more imperious ? If the puritans were such as their enemies have represented them, the former course was dangerous ; if they were such as they represent them-



selves, the latter was tyrannical and cruel. To a party bent on mischief the slightest concession is too great. To zealous allies, sincere although irregular, the greatest concessions are sometimes the truest wisdom, as well as the most ordinary justice.

CHAPTER  
IV.

ELIZ.  
A. D. 1575

13. The exercises, or prophecyings, as they were indifferently called, spread through the kingdom with great rapidity. Many of the bishops encouraged them. Grindal, now primate, gave them all the sanction of his venerable name and more venerable office. In ten dioceses the bishops undertook to guide, and in due time stepped forward to defend, them. Their effects both on the laity and clergy were beneficial. In the former they roused a spirit of inquiry; in the latter, of biblical research; in both, of greater knowledge and deeper piety. The exercises were held in general once a month, sometimes once a fortnight. Crowds of the laity attended them, for preaching was rare and the scriptures were in few hands, and the fervent spirit of the reformation had not yet subsided amongst the people. At first laymen were permitted to take a part, but after a while, from some irregularities, this was forbidden and the clergy only ministered. To themselves the advantage was great, for the christian ministry lives and gathers strength in those who exercise it only as it is zealously put forth in fervent and unceasing action. It is a weapon of the highest polish and keenest edge, but rusts when it is laid aside. Ministers and curates were compelled to study in order to take their part in these public discussions.



CHAPTER Commentators were read and expositors consult-  
 IV. ed. The number of able preachers increased ra-  
 ELIZ. pidly. "I know," says the archbishop to the queen,  
 A.D. 1575. in a letter which will come before us, "that  
 where there were not three able preachers, there  
 are now thirty fit to preach at St. Paul's cross;  
 and forty or fifty besides able to instruct their own  
 cures." And he ascribes the increase to the intro-  
 duction of the prophecyings.

14. It was scarcely to be expected that proceedings so novel in the English church should not create opposition. There is always a large class who content themselves with the decent formalities of religion, and condemn its warmth and fervour as so much enthusiasm; there are the stubborn who admit no change, and the timid who, with general longings for improvement, condemn every specific attempt at reformation as ill-timed or injudicious. And even the clerical body has never, in any church, been without its slothful members, who shrink from toil, and condemn the zeal of others chiefly because it reflects upon their own incompetence. Nor were the exercises always free from those real inconveniences which are natural to a new attempt when it assumes the character of a popular movement; for this will ever be the chosen arena of the vainglorious. At some of these meetings, it was said, that confusion and disturbances occurred; some affected to shew their parts, and to confute others who spoke not with equal rhetorical skill. Sometimes heterodox opinions were announced. Ministers who had

CHAPTER  
IV.ELIZ.  
A.D. 1575.

been silenced for their non-compliance with the established worship introduced themselves, and spoke against the liturgy and hierarchy. Some indulged in politics, some denounced individual persons. Amongst the people, religion became a matter of debate and argument. Sometimes a layman took upon him to speak, so that the exercises degenerated into factions and cabals; and in consequence a clamour was raised against them, not without some shew of reason. Such are the grave charges alleged by their opponents.

15. Many of these objections, perhaps all of them, were in some measure just. But if so, the question arose whether the good outweighed the evil; seeing that no institution is without its faults; and that the magnitude of the fault is generally in proportion to the greatness of the project. If the puny lichen clings to the bramble, the strangling ivy grapples with the oak. To condemn a new experiment which has promised great results, because the good it does is not unmixed with evil,—nay because the good is not unmixed with some evils hitherto unknown—the new produce of an untried soil—though one of the most common, is amongst the most irrational of human prejudices.

16. The archbishop set himself to redress these irregularities; he drew up a body of rules and orders for the reformation of the alleged abuses; which, if they had been carried into effect, could scarcely have failed to bring the prophecies

CHAPTER into harmony with the church's discipline; and  
 IV. to have retained the good while guarding against  
 ELIZ. any serious evil. In the first place, the exercises  
 A.D. 1575. were to be held only in such churches and at such  
 times as the bishop of the diocese should, under  
 his hand and seal, appoint. Then, the archdeacon,  
 or some other grave and learned graduate ap-  
 pointed by the bishop, was to preside and mode-  
 rate. Further, the bishop was to appoint the  
 subjects to be discussed, and give his licence and  
 permission to the speakers. And, *ante omnia* (so  
 runs the document, for this appears to have given  
 most offence,) no lay person was to be suffered to  
 speak in public. If any man speaking in the said  
 exercises should glance openly or covertly against  
 any state or person, public or private, or make  
 any invective against the laws or discipline of the  
 church of England, he was not only to be silenced,  
 but the matter was to be reported to the bishop;  
 nor could the speaker who had so offended take  
 part in any future prophecying until he had con-  
 fessed his error, and obtained a new admission  
 and approbation from the bishop. And lastly, the  
 bishops were charged that no deprived or sus-  
 pended minister should be allowed to speak under  
 any circumstances whatever.

17. But it was to no purpose. The prophecyings  
 were already doomed. "For the queen," says the  
 chronicler Strype, "liked not of them;" and the  
 judgment of an archbishop, supported by the  
 experience of his ten suffragans, was of little

weight against the self-will of an imperious sovereign and the intrigues of her courtiers. It was determined they should be suppressed.\*

CHAPTER  
IV.

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1575.

18. The decision deeply affected the archbishop. Almost the last of the reformers, he was, like them, far before his age; and had that prophetic wisdom with which God endows a few great minds. He saw the whole bearing of the subject; and marked the consequences, remote but not the less disastrous, it would involve—the decay of preaching, the alienation of the laity, the growth of sectaries, and to crown the whole, the deadening return to formality, and with it the loss of zeal and scriptural piety. His high position entitled him, unasked, to remonstrate. He did not shrink from the hazardous duty. He addressed a letter to the queen, which incurred, it is true, her deep displeasure; but it has entitled him to the reverence of all posterity.†

19. The letter is prefaced with expressions of deep respect and grateful acknowledgments of his own personal obligations to her majesty. He then goes on to remind the queen of the duties of his office, and that in every age of the church it had been the privilege of its ministers to speak before kings in the faithful language of exhortation and reproof; “and so,” he says, “to come to the present case, I cannot marvel enough how this strange opinion should once enter your mind that

Dec. 20,  
1576.

\* Queen's Letter to the Bishops for suppressing Prophecies, &c. Grindal's Remains, P. S. Ed. 467.

† Grindal's Remains, page 375, for the letter to the queen.



CHAPTER it should be good for the church to have few  
 IV. preachers. Alas! madam, is the scripture more  
 ELIZ. plain in any one thing, than that the gospel of  
 A. D. 1576. Christ should be plentifully preached, and that  
 plenty of labourers should be sent into the Lord's  
 harvest, which, being great and large, standeth in  
 need not of few but many workmen." This ex-  
 postulation is sustained with various arguments  
 drawn from scripture, and by an appeal to the  
 queen's own knowledge of the good effects of  
 preaching. "If your majesty come to the city of  
 London never so often, what gratulation, what  
 joy, what concourse of people, is there to be seen!  
 Yea, what acclamations and prayers to God, and  
 other manifest significations of inward and un-  
 feigned love, joined with most humble and hearty  
 obedience. Whereof cometh this, madam, but of  
 the continual preaching of God's word in that city,  
 whereby that people hath been plentifully in-  
 structed in their duty towards God and your ma-  
 jesty? On the contrary, what bred the rebellion  
 in the north," alluding to a recent outbreak in  
 Yorkshire, "was it not papistry, and ignorance of  
 God's word through want of often preaching? And  
 in the time of that rebellion, were not all men,  
 of all estates, that made profession of the gospel,  
 most ready to offer their lives for your defence?  
 insomuch that one poor parish in Yorkshire, which  
 by continual preaching had been better instructed  
 than the rest (Halifax I mean) was ready to bring  
 three or four thousand able men into the field to  
 serve you against the said



rebels. How can your majesty have a more lively trial and experience of the contrary effects of much preaching, and of little or no preaching?

CHAPTER  
IV.

The one working most faithful obedience, and the other most unnatural disobedience and rebellion.”

ELIZ.

A. D. 1576.

He then defends his own conduct and that of his bishops in allowing none to preach but men of piety, learning, and good judgment. “We admit no man to the office that either professeth papistry or puritanism;” meaning by the latter term, no doubt, the extreme principles of Cartwright and his party. Some wholesome truths follow, which the queen found it hard to digest. He tells her, though always in language of the greatest respect, why preaching was so much decried. Because the age was light and trifling; many had given themselves over, he says, to carnal, vain, dissolute, and lascivious living; and therefore the preaching of God’s word, which to all christian consciences is sweet and delectable, is to them bitter and grievous. Some, he tells her majesty, there are, who dislike the reformation altogether; and, by silencing the preachers, would subvert the reformation, not in open warfare, but by sapping underneath, *non aperto Marte, sed cuniculis*. So, said he, the popish bishops in your father’s time would have had the English translation of the bible called in as evil translated, and the new translation committed to themselves, which they never intended to perform; but God forbid, madam, that you should open your ears to any of these

CHAPTER wicked persuasions, or go about to diminish the  
 IV. preaching of Christ's gospel.

ELIZ. 20. "The reading of homilies," continues the  
 A. D. 1576. archbishop, "hath its use ; but is nothing comparable to the office of preaching. The godly preacher is termed in the gospel that faithful and wise servant who can give to each his portion in due season. He can apply his speech according to the diversity of times, places, and hearers, which cannot be done in homilies. Exhortations, reprehensions, and persuasions are uttered with more affection in sermons than in homilies, and move the hearers more ; besides homilies were never thought in themselves alone to contain sufficient instruction for the church of England. They were devised by the godly bishops in your brother's time only to supply necessity, and for want of preachers ; they are to give place to sermons by the statute whenever they may be had." And he reminds the queen that if sacrilege had not been committed the book of homilies had not been wanted. This was a hard blow, but honesty and truth required it. Sacrilege spoiled the livings—the parochial revenues, which had been set apart in purer ages, and before the dawn of popery, for preaching and teaching. First the abbeys, and then the crown seized upon these appropriations ; and now, he says, they are dispersed to private men's possession without hope of restitution." "At this day," he proceeds, "for one church able to support a learned preacher, there are

CHAPTER  
IV.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1576.

at least seven churches unable to do so. If every flock might have a preaching pastor, which is rather to be wished than hoped for, then were reading of homilies altogether unnecessary ; but to supply the want of preaching of God's word, which is the food of the soul, growing from the necessities aforesaid, both in your brother's time and in your time, certain godly homilies have been devised that the people should not be altogether destitute of instruction : for it is an old and a true proverb, better half a loaf than no bread." He then argues at length in behalf of the prophecies : having fully explained the method in which they were conducted and the pains he had taken to prevent their abuse, he defends them by the precedents of Samuel at Naioth and Elijah at Jericho in the old testament, and the authority of St. Paul in the new. "That exercise of the church in those days St. Paul calls *prophetiam* and the speakers *prophetas*, terms very odious to some in our day, because they are not rightly understood. For indeed *prophetiæ*, in that and like places of St. Paul, doth not, as it doth sometimes, signify prediction of things to come, which gift is not now ordinary in the church of God ; but signifieth there, by consent of the best ancient writers, the interpretation and exposition of the scriptures."

21. He concludes in a manner solemn and touching. "I am forced with all humility, and yet plainly, to profess, that I cannot with safe conscience, and without the offence of the majesty of

CHAPTER IV. God, give my assent to the suppressing of the said exercises : much less can I send out any injunction for the utter and universal subversion of the same. I say with St. Paul, ‘I have no power to destroy, but only to edify ;’ and with the same apostle, ‘I can do nothing against the truth, but for the truth.’ If it be your majesty’s pleasure, for this or any other cause, to remove me out of this place, (viz. his archbishopric) I will with all humility yield thereto, and render again to your majesty what I received from the same. I consider with myself ‘that it is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God.’ I consider also (quoting from Cyprian) ‘that he who acts against his conscience, is building for hell.’ And what should I win if I gained, I will not say a bishopric, but the whole world, and lose mine own soul ?”

22. Finally he implored the queen, in matters ecclesiastical, of doctrine and discipline, to consult the bishops and divines of her realm. “For these things,” said he, (sheltering himself again under the authority of an ancient father) “are to be determined not in a palace, but in a church or a synod, *in ecclesia, seu synodo, non in palatio.*” Her majesty did not disdain to consult the judges on points of law ; he implored her, in matters of religion, to pay the same deference to her bishops. Here again the fathers served him well. “Ambrose had given the like advice to Theodosius,” and to the “good emperor Valentinian,” and he quotes his words. He makes this further request ;



that the queen would be pleased in spiritual things not to pronounce so resolutely and peremptorily, but always to remember that, in the cause of God, the binding decision after all must be the will of God, and not of any earthly prince whatever. “In God’s matters all princes ought to bow their sceptres to the Son of God.” He bids her to remember that, in her pomp and bravery, she was after all but dust and ashes,—“a mortal creature who must soon appear before the awful judgment-seat of the crucified.” “Take heed,”—he concludes, with keen and searching penetration, and in a tone which, if it did not humble the queen before her God, would, he must foresee, be visited in anger on himself, and make him feel how bitter is the revenge which pride, wounded but not subdued, inflicts,—“take heed that you never once think of declining from God, lest that be verified of you that is written of Joash, who continued a prince of good and godly government for many years together; and afterwards, ‘when he was strengthened, his heart was lifted up to his destruction, and he neglected the Lord.’ You have done many things well, but except ye endure to the end you cannot be blessed. If you turn away from God, then he will turn away his merciful countenance from you. And what remaineth to be looked for but only a terrible expectation of God’s judgments, and heaping up wrath against the day of wrath!”

23. This remonstrance was presented to Elizabeth by the earl of Leicester, who, together with

CHAPTER

IV.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1576.



CHAPTER the lord treasurer Burghley, was much in favour  
 IV. of the archbishop's views and principles. An  
 ELIZ. ominous silence followed, and eight days after the  
 A. D. 1576. archbishop wrote to lord Burghley to ask the  
 reason of it, and to give utterance to his anxiety.  
 In short, Grindal was suspended from his office;  
 his archiepiscopal see was placed under sequestra-  
 tion for six months; and the venerable prelate  
 was confined a prisoner to his own house. This  
 1557. occurred in June 1577. In November he was  
 summoned to appear in person before the lords  
 in the star chamber; and to make his humble  
 submission to the queen. The first he was  
 unable to comply with, being laid up with an  
 excruciating internal disease; the second he  
 declined to do, because he had done nothing  
 wrong. His friend lord Burghley drew up and  
 submitted to him a message, urging him to  
 make his submission, and suggesting the proper  
 form, but Grindal refused to make use of it; and  
 the utmost that could be extorted from him were  
 general expressions of dutiful respect, coupled  
 with much sorrow that he lay beneath her  
 majesty's displeasure.\* 'The queen would now  
 have deprived him altogether, but the unpopula-  
 rity of such a measure prevented its adoption. A  
 convocation was held at St. Paul's in January  
 1581. 1581, when the clergy at first refused to enter  
 upon any business, or so much as grant a subsidy  
 (a power which then pertained to them), until the  
 archbishop was released. It was at last agreed

\* Strype's Grindal, page 348, &c.

that doctor Matthew, dean of Christ church, afterwards archbishop of York, should draw up in Latin a petition to her majesty, imploring her to restore the archbishop to the full exercise of his authority. The bishops of the province of Canterbury addressed a letter to her to the same effect. But he never regained the favour of Elizabeth; and it is uncertain whether he was restored to the full exercise of his rights and ecclesiastical jurisdiction. He was now old and blind, and feeling how little he was permitted to do for the cause he had at heart, he was unwilling to retain the name without the office of a bishop. He tendered his resignation, which it is probable Elizabeth would have received had not a mightier potentate stepped in and removed him from a world of strife. Grindal died July 6, 1583.\*

CHAPTER  
IV.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1581—3.

1583.

24. The name of Grindal was revered by his contemporaries,—those to whom zeal and apostolic piety were dear. At his death, Spenser embalmed his memory in some of his sweetest verses, and not only the clergy but the great body of the people deeply bewailed his loss. Posterity has done him great injustice. Our popular historians have passed him over with neglect, or spoken only to condemn. He is described as a weak man, whose want of energy laid open the church to the inroads of schismatics; and these later times, occupied with heroes and idols of their own, have been contented to receive, and to repeat, the ignorant

\* Fuller, Ch. Hist., book ix., ch. 10.

CHAPTER IV.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1583.

slander. Whoever shall search the annals of the church of England, happily not wanting in such materials, for a list of those bishops who have revived the apostolic character, and displayed in their lives the pastoral graces which St. Paul delineates, will not omit the name of Grindal. That his firmness and courage should be called in question must appear strange to those who bear in mind his contest with the court. Of his wisdom let the reverence of his own age bear witness, and the calamities which his neglected counsels brought upon another. Of his freedom from violence and the warping influence of faction, the evidence is this,—that while the courtiers of Elizabeth reviled him as a puritan, the followers of Cartwright reviled him as a persecutor. To his piety, his letters, his sermons, above all his life, bear witness. His love of truth, as in all good men of high example, was greater than his love of victory. The Zurich letters in a single incident explain his character; in confidential intercourse with Foxe, he points out a passage in the martyrology in which a slight injustice had been done to the arguments of the Romish party, and desires to have it removed. For many years he was in possession of a large episcopal revenue. He lived unmarried, but he died poor: for his charities were boundless. But it is enough to say of him, that he was the worthy successor of Cranmer, and that he sustained the part of John the Baptist in the court of queen Elizabeth. He has, it is true, been called the Eli

of the English church, but the likeness exists only in his old age, his blindness, and his misfortunes.

CHAPTER  
IV.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

25. It is evident from the archbishop's letter to the queen, that he considered the character of the church of England, as a preaching church, in danger. It was not for the prophecyings only that he pertinaciously contended, but for the right of christian bishops to send forth a free, unfettered, ministry, "to teach and to preach" in obedience to our Lord's command. The queen and her courtiers, on the other hand, depreciated the ministry of the word, partly from ignorance of its value, partly from political apprehensions of disquietude, occasioned by the rashness of a few indiscreet preachers who were ever dabbling in affairs of state, but most of all from that dislike of earnest and spiritual religion which began deeply to mark her court. She gained a disastrous triumph. Preaching was discountenanced: it fell into decay. The puritans assiduously cultivated an art which their enemies despised. They seized the rusty weapon, and with it smote their opponents. Both parties suffered; for the extreme of coldness in the one, produced an artificial fervour in the other, and the sermon undervalued in the cathedral was doated upon in the meeting house. But inasmuch as the error arising from excess was less injurious than that arising from the contempt of a divine ordinance, the church party suffered most. The dictum of queen Elizabeth, that one or two preachers were sufficient for



CHAPTER a county, obtained a mischievous currency, and re-  
 IV. ceived an almost literal interpretation. Her suc-  
 ELIZ. cessor on the throne repeated it in substance, and  
 A. D. 1583. discouraged preaching to the utmost of his power.  
 We became an unpreaching church. Eloquence,  
 powerful at the senate and the bar, was banished  
 from the pulpit. Then followed the drowsy audi-  
 ence and the deserted pew, and at length the pro-  
 found spiritual lethargy of the eighteenth century.  
 There were great divines, and there were writers of  
 sermons of high and deserved repute; but preach-  
 ing as an art,—as the noblest and most legiti-  
 mate exercise of eloquence,—had departed from  
 amongst us; and an alienation of the hearts of the  
 common people took place from which we have  
 never yet recovered. With her usual versatility  
 Rome began once more to cultivate what she had  
 formerly denounced. An Englishman still reads  
 with a blush, and a foreigner with exultation, if not  
 with incredulity, the irony with which the accom-  
 plished abbé Maury contrasts the great preachers  
 of Lewis XIV. with those of Charles II. But the  
 pulpit had not then attained its lowest depression.  
 Towards the middle of the last century the dul-  
 ness of sermons had become a vulgar proverb; and  
 a polite essayist,\* himself a clergyman, describes  
 a good preacher as a kind of antiquated luxury  
 once in great request; while a popular teacher†  
 of rhetoric complains that a minister of the church  
 of England would not raise his eye or lift his hand

\* Dr. Vicesimus Knox. Essays.

† Dr. Blair, Lectures on Rhetoric.



to set off the finest composition in the world. The dread of enthusiasm was the paralysis of the pulpit. So low had fallen that ordinance of Christ, which had once overthrown the vast empire of idolatry, and then shaken the papacy in its strongest holds. The decay of religion attended the decay of preaching with equal and melancholy steps ; and the period in which the pulpit was most despised was precisely that, in which God was most forgotten. But from any participation in the guilt of this long series of calamities the sacred memory of Grindal at least is free.

CHAPTER  
IV.ELIZ.  
A.D. 1583.

## CHAPTER V.

CHAPTER

V.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

1. ON the death of archbishop Grindal, Whitgift succeeded to the primacy in September 1583.\* He was a man of great learning and of undoubted zeal. But his government was harsh, and his spirit intolerant; and his principles led him to enforce an uniformity the most exact, by measures the most severe. Amiable, it is said, in private life, he used a power that was almost absolute with a severity that was nothing short of despotism; and the twenty years during which he ruled at Lambeth, were years of sorrow to the puritans.

2. The queen was always ready to urge her prelates to new acts of severity against those who resisted her authority; and she found in Whitgift a mind, neither obsequious indeed, nor disposed to flattery, but naturally, as well as from education, prone to demand submission as a duty, and to obtain it by force rather than by forbearance. Thus the primate was a willing agent to the queen,

\* Paul's Life of Whitgift, p. 37.

for their views were similar, and their object was the same,—namely by the exercise of punishment to produce conformity; and thus too the power of the crown, as far as it regarded ecclesiastical affairs, was soon vested almost entirely in the archbishop. Elizabeth's secular advisers had some reason to complain; and in fact we find that Burghley and Walsingham were often driven to remonstrate. They would have checked the archbishop in his severities; but in ecclesiastical matters his power was greater than their own, since he merely carried into effect those principles which the queen espoused not less warmly than himself.

CHAPTER  
V.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

3. The question of the sovereign's right to interfere in matters of religion had not yet been decided in England; or, perhaps it would be more correct to say, it had not yet been called in question. The great problem, in which the rights of conscience and the rights of law were to adjust their respective boundaries, was not to be solved until another century and two revolutions should have thrown some further light upon it. A nation, like a family, is governed in its infancy by a power which, with reference to itself, is necessarily arbitrary. The patriarchal form of government is not merely the most ancient, but in certain stages of society the best. In fact it is in some cases the only one that is practicable. In process of time a nation, like a family, approaches its maturity, and demands a greater freedom. And this is the crisis of a nation's fate, just as it is of

CHAPTER household happiness. If the parent is unyielding,  
V. and the children undutiful, misery ensues ; and  
ELIZ. the house divided against itself is laid prostrate.  
A. D. 1583. In an empire, when wealth has increased and  
civilization spread, there is the same consciousness  
of power,—the power of self-government,—and  
with it the same impatience of restraint. The  
crisis has arrived which requires the utmost skill  
and wisdom in those who govern, and not less the  
forbearance of those who obey. The maxims  
which were once useful are now found inapplicable  
on both sides. The restraints which were once  
necessary have become intolerable. The man  
cannot repose in the cradle of his infancy ; and  
yet the monarch accustomed to implicit submis-  
sion cannot brook the rising spirit of independent  
familiarity with state affairs ; a spirit, however,  
which marks the approaching manhood of his  
people. Nothing can be more unjust than to  
bring the several actors in the scenes we are de-  
scribing to the tribunal of what are now termed  
constitutional principles. Of those principles,  
they were profoundly ignorant. They arose out  
of the collisions which afterwards ensued, or of  
which these, in the reign of Elizabeth, were  
amongst the very first. To send a puritan to  
prison on account of his religion, we should  
now consider a flagrant act of tyranny, simply as  
an invasion of the rights of conscience ; and we  
should condemn it upon this ground alone. But  
no puritan thought so in the reign of queen  
Elizabeth. The right of magistrates was un-

questioned, to punish false doctrine as we punish theft, and if necessary to put heretics to death as we convict for felony. No language can be stronger than that which Cartwright himself makes use of. "Magistrates," he says, in his reply\* to Whitgift's defence of the church of England, "ought to enforce the attendance of atheists and papists on the services of the church ; to punish them if they do not profit by the preaching they might hear ; to increase the punishment if they gave signs of contempt ; and if at last they proved utterly impenitent, to cut them off that they might not corrupt and infect others." Whitgift acted upon the same principle. The fault laid to his charge, by his own party, was that he pushed it too far and had recourse to it too often ; by the puritans, that he was unjust and a tyrant, not in carrying out the principle, but simply in applying it to *them*. The censure which justly belongs to him is that, occupying a station so exalted, he was only upon a level with his age ; that he exhibited an ordinary mind resorting in times of difficulty to ordinary expedients ; that he learned nothing from the wise moderation of men like Cecil and Walsingham, and Leicester and Lord Bacon, nothing from the meek example of his predecessor ; that he was narrow-minded, severe, and obstinate. These, it is true, are heavy charges against a name otherwise venerable and worthy of respect ; but one of the true uses of history is to display the infirmities of good men, and to shew how pernicious

CHAPTER  
V.ELIZ.  
A. D. 1583.

\* Reply &amp;c. p. 51.



CHAPTER V. cious they may be. For it is with men, as with principles and with institutions—the corruption of the best things produces the worst consequences.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

4. In Elizabeth the nation placed implicit and unbounded confidence. She was then what in history she still remains, the most popular of English sovereigns. With all her faults, she was the anxious and devoted parent, as well as the lordly mistress, of the commonwealth. Few of either sex ever possessed in an equal, none perhaps in a higher, degree, that attribute of greatness which not only governs the multitude without an effort, but reduces other minds, in some respects greater than itself, to prompt obedience; making it a post of honour to be submissive. The consequence was, that her will was law; her government was patriarchal; the people revered and loved her as a mother; and she for her own part expressed the honest feelings of her soul, when, being importuned to marry, she replied that she was already married to the state, and her subjects were her children. In her hands the law itself was tractable. She alone personified the state and represented it. She employed martial law when it pleased her, and sometimes on very trivial occasions,—as, for instance, when the streets of London were overrun with vagabonds. She uttered a commission, commanding that upon intimation given by the justices of peace in London, or the neighbouring counties, her provost marshal should take the offending

persons, "and according to justice of martial law execute them upon the gallows or gibbet openly." CHAPTER  
 "I suppose," says the historian Hume, in relating V.  
 this, "it will be difficult to produce another in- ELIZ.  
 stance of such an act of authority in any place A. D. 1583.  
 nearer than Muscovy." The importation of the  
 pope's bulls was forbidden under the same penalty  
 of martial law; and more justly, for he had ful-  
 minated an excommunication upon the queen,  
 and released her subjects from their allegiance.  
 But all foreign books and pamphlets were forbid- Bull of  
 den likewise, and martial law was still the penalty. Pius V.  
 Yet it does not appear that the harshness of these A. D. 1569.  
 proceedings gave the least dissatisfaction; for  
 which it will be difficult to assign any other reason  
 than that which is no doubt the true one,—the  
 unlimited confidence reposed by the nation in  
 the judgment and the patriotic intentions of their  
 sovereign.

5. Such considerations are to be borne in mind, when we read of Elizabeth's severity to her puritan subjects. They were not especial objects of persecution, but simply, in her view, delinquents who set at nought her authority, and ought therefore to be punished. This, alas, did not mitigate the depth of their sorrows; nor ought it to abate our pity and respect. In one point of view it even adds to our sympathy, for it must have added to their trials, that they were suffering, not from a vindictive persecution, but from what their own countrymen regarded as the operation of an ordinary law.

CHAPTER 6. Before Grindal's death, a terrible earnest had  
 V. been given in the burning of two Dutch anabap-  
 ELIZ. tists at Smithfield. The venerable Foxe was still  
 A. D. 1583. living, and wrote to the queen imploring that the  
 reformation might not be stained with blood : but  
 his entreaties failed.\* Several Romish priests  
 were executed soon afterwards, not for popery so  
 much as for their allegiance to a sovereign who  
 had dethroned Elizabeth, and offered her kingdom  
 as a lawful prey to catholic princes. A circum-  
 stance which occurred in 1573 gave the queen  
 (whose great infirmity it was to be suspicious) a  
 handle for severity against the whole body of the  
 puritans. Birchett, a wild enthusiast if not a  
 lunatic, persuaded himself that it was lawful to  
 kill those who opposed the truth of the gospel : he  
 rushed into the Strand out of the Temple, where  
 he was a student, and stabbed a person whom he  
 mistook for Hatton afterwards lord keeper of the  
 seals, because "he was an enemy of God's word,  
 and a maintainer of papistry." There was some  
 discussion on the question how he ought to be  
 dealt with. Should he be burnt for heresy ; hung  
 as a felon ; or put to death by martial law ? The  
 last alternative was chosen. But the poor wretch  
 was now at least insane : he killed his keeper with  
 one blow, again intending, he said, to have dis-  
 patched Hatton ; and the next day, after his right  
 hand had been struck off, he was hanged in the  
 Strand.† The law in these barbarous times made  
 little or no allowance for mental aberration : it  
 regarded the act, while it overlooked the motive.

\* Fuller iii. b. ix. 507.

† Neal i. v. 241.

It was cruel to put the law in force against the person of a madman, but it was a far greater cruelty to charge a participation in his madness upon the puritans, and treat them as the instigators of his crime, or his insanity. Several ministers were soon afterwards deprived. One, who had been chaplain to Lord Bacon, and was now the incumbent of St. Clement's in the Strand, was tried at Westminster for his nonconformity. It was proved he had baptized a child without using the sign of the cross, and that in the marriage-service he had omitted the ring. Refusing to subscribe, he was committed to close confinement, where he shortly died in poverty and great distress. A plot was soon got up, as it afterwards appeared, by one of the servants of archbishop Parker, in which it was pretended that the puritans, encouraged by the earl of Leicester, intended to assassinate both the lord treasurer and the archbishop. The archbishop fell into the snare,\* and the supposed conspirators were apprehended, amongst whom were three eminent puritan divines. But the evidence was contemptible. They were at once released, and the circumstance would not have deserved our notice, except that it tends to shew the extraordinary panic which prevailed with regard to the motives and secret practices of the puritan party. Other ministers were silenced; some for trivial causes, others for preaching against the hierarchy: some because they did not wear the surplice; and others because they endeavoured to enforce a stricter

CHAPTER  
V.ELIZ.  
A. D. 1583.

\* Strype's Parker, p. 466.

CHAPTER V. discipline in the admission of communicants to the Lord's table. That many of them were men scrupulous, and even absurd, in their tenacity for trifles, is certain. One was confined in the gate-house prison, for asserting that "keeping the queen's birthday as a holiday, was to make her an idol;" and many of their objections were just as frivolous.

ELIZ.  
A. D. 1583.

7. It would be painful to recount the numerous cases of hardship and oppression—faithfully registered and transmitted to posterity by the sufferers and their friends—which now followed. The storm which had muttered around the venerable head of Grindal was not likely to spare inferior subjects. His death was the signal for the commencement of a system of intolerance under which puritanism, for a period of twenty years, suffered a persecution which, though neither to be compared with those of pagan nor of papal Rome, was still a disgrace to the reign of Elizabeth, and infamous to the memory of those who shared in it. It consigned to poverty, confiscation, imprisonment, and sometimes death, those who, with a piety the most fervent and a loyalty unimpeached, were guilty, as their greatest crime, of a conscience too scrupulous, or a stubborn self-will, bristling (often with very harmless menaces) when treated with contempt and cruelty.

8. Whitgift was scarcely seated in the primacy when the queen issued her commands that he should restore the discipline of the church;\* which she said was much decayed through the

\* Fuller iii. ix. 69; Neal i. vii. 312.



connivance of some prelates, the obstinacy of the puritans, and the power of some noblemen. The archbishop issued forthwith his instructions to the bishops of his province, generally known as the three articles. The first enjoins upon the clergy an acknowledgment of the queen's supremacy in all causes ecclesiastical as well as civil; the second demands conformity to the book of common prayer; and the third an assent to the thirty-nine articles.\* But besides these conditions it was enjoined that all preaching, catechizing, and praying, in any private family where strangers were present, should be "utterly extinguished," and that no minister should preach or teach except he conformed to the whole service and administered the sacrament four times a year; and that the habits should be worn. Many of the puritans had hitherto satisfied themselves with a partial or occasional conformity, and the bishops had connived at their irregularity. This indulgence then was now withdrawn: and the effect was seen in the immediate suspension or voluntary retirement of some hundreds of the puritan clergy.† Remonstrances and petitions poured in from themselves and their parishioners. The council, the lord treasurer Cecil, the archbishop, and the queen, were by turns importuned. But no redress was granted. On the contrary, the storm increased in its severity. The sufferings of the nonconforming clergy were very great. From several counties, from the cities of London and Norwich,

CHAPTER  
V.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

\* Neal reckons up 233 in six counties, from MSS. in his possession, "besides great numbers in Peterborough, London, and other counties." i. vii. 215.

† Ibid.

CHAPTER the voice of suspended ministers was heard. Their  
 V. \_\_\_\_\_ griefs at least were real, whatever judgment we  
 ELIZ. may form as to their scruples in conforming.  
 A. D. 1583. In touching language they commend "their poor families," "their distressed consciences," and "the cries of their people who were as sheep having no shepherd," to the compassion of the privy council. And they offer terms of conciliation; namely, to subscribe the doctrinal articles of the church, and the other articles, "so far as they are not repugnant to the word of God." And they promise further, if their subscription be dispensed with, to make no disturbance in the church or separation from it. It was not likely, even if it had been possible, that the ruling party would consent to these conditions. Either the bishops or the puritans must give way. The church must be remodelled, or the dissatisfied must submit; and many of their demands have, to the great majority of their fellow countrymen, both then and ever since, appeared unreasonable. To eject such men in the last resort may have been inevitable: but the severity would have been more wholesome if preceded by some acts of kindness, some earnest and sincere endeavours to promote an accommodation. The persecutions they suffered were such as no obstinacy upon their part can justify; and which errors such as theirs did not deserve.

10. A petition from the magistrates of Suffolk deserves attention. It is a remarkable document, and painfully instructive.\* "The laborious ministers of the word," they say, "are marshalled with

\* Strype's Annals, iii. i. 264.

CHAPTER  
V.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

the worst malefactors, presented, indicted, arraigned, and condemned, for matters, as we presume, of very slender moment: some for leaving the holidays unbidden; some for singing the psalm, *Nunc dimittis*, (instead of chanting it); some for leaving out the cross in baptism, &c. Having recited the grievances of their own party, they proceed thus;—"by law we proceed against all offenders; we touch none that the law spareth, and spare none that the law toucheth; we allow not of papists; of the family of love; of anabaptists or brownists. No: *we punish all these.*" "And yet," they add, "we are christened with the odious name of puritans." The magistrates of Suffolk did not perceive that, in their eagerness to defend themselves, they justified the persecution of which they complained, by their own example. "The family of love, anabaptists, brownists, and papists—we punish all these."\* It would be difficult to say in what respects some of these seceders were more deserving of punishment than the most harmless of the church puritans themselves. When we read such documents we are struck with the inconsistency and cruelty of each party in its turn. Our sympathies rest with neither; and we are almost disposed to look upon their mutual strife and alternate sufferings, as the spectator looks upon the changing fortunes of a painted battle in a panorama—with curiosity, but without emotion.

\* Strype's Annals iii. i. 264.

## CHAPTER

V.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

11. The family of love, the brownists, and the anabaptists were the first seceders from the church of England at home. About this time they begin to occupy a considerable space in history. Their sufferings, borne with fortitude, and rather coveted than shunned, would alone have made them famous: for upon them the brunt of the puritan persecution fell.

12. The family of love is represented by all parties alike in unfavourable, often in revolting, colours. But the portrait is drawn by their enemies, and with every disposition to exaggerate its faults. We find it exceedingly difficult to account for the real or pretended indignation which their presence everywhere occasioned; except indeed upon the principle that, inasmuch as they were the smallest and weakest of the sectaries, it was a more easy triumph to chase them from the flock: for such is human depravity, that a reputation for courage is sometimes sought by injuring and insulting those who are least able to resist. To the charge of creating a very unnecessary schism they seem fairly exposed. Beyond this their guilt is very questionable. Their views, indeed, of christian doctrine are stated with the obscurity in which enthusiasts love to involve themselves; and it is by no means an easy task to ascertain their real meaning. They were the fathers of that mystic system which Jacob Behmen completed at the beginning of the last century; a system which, to a devout but uninstructed mind, seems to promise much, but is found worthless

upon trial. At first it charms by its apparent profundity. It bewildered for a time the acute understanding of John Wesley; a circumstance which alone would be sufficient to save it from contempt. Soaring amidst the highest mysteries it becomes dazzled and confounded: the intellect is confused; and the reveries of a distempered imagination pass at length for the suggestions of the Holy Ghost. The title assumed—the family of love—afforded a ready topic of abuse. The quaint yet often generous Fuller cannot forbear a passing scoff.\* Their founder was Henry Nicholas of Amsterdam; and again his name suggests a comparison with the Nicolaitans of old, (Rev. ii.) who “were hated by God,” he says, “for their *filthiness*.” But this grave insinuation is utterly without support. It has often been revived, (and in general by ignorant persons of careless, if not licentious, minds) whenever a christian communion has insisted upon the doctrine of that divine love which God by his spirit diffuses in the soul, and of that mutual and warm affection which believers owe to one another. “These familists,” he adds, “besides many monstrosities they maintained about their communion with God, attenuated all scriptures into allegories; and under pretence to turn them into spirit, made them empty, airy, nothings.” The latter part of the charge does not seem to be ill founded. Their lives were pure, and yet their creed was mystical

CHAPTER  
V.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

\* Ch. Hist. iii. ix.



CHAPTER V.  
 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1583. and their doctrine antinomian,—a strange inconsistency, yet happily of not unfrequent occurrence : for the conscience may be clear while the understanding is perplexed. Nicholas, their leader, defended their morals and their doctrines from the press : and as regards the former with success.

13. The familists did not escape the watchful vigilance of the privy council. They were summoned before it, and commanded to abjure their “detestable heresies :” the result of the interview is unknown. In the year 1580, a proclamation was issued by the queen against them. It would be difficult to find within so small a compass, in any state paper, so much abuse. They are charged with teaching damnable heresies ; they are said to be absurd and fanatical ; they feign to themselves a monstrous new kind of speech ; their books are lewd, heretical, and seditious ; and their sects are dangerous and damnable. Search is to be made for their books ; and those who shall continue to print or distribute them are threatened with imprisonment, and such other bodily punishment as heretics deserve. But the single specific charge is that they hold themselves alone to be elect and saved, and condemn all other churches ; and that they refuse to take an oath before the magistrate to their own hurt : “so that by their own confession they cannot be condemned : whereby they are more dangerous in any christian realm.”\*

\* “A proclamation against the sectaries of the family of Love. Given at our mannour of Richmond in the two and twentieth year of our reign,” republished in “Liturgical Tracts.” Lumley, London, 1848.

They afterwards suffered from time to time under the general pretext of holding private conven-  
 ticles, until they melted away, and were at length absorbed in the larger communities of the non-conformists.

CHAPTER  
 V.  
 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1583.

14. The brownists took their name from Robert Brown, a clergyman of good family, nearly connected with lord Burghley. He was brought up at Cambridge, where his preaching was much admired by the common people; but his unchastened zeal led his superiors thus early to presage his future mischievous career. After travelling abroad he returned home the inveterate opponent of the church of England, and preached for a time at Norwich amongst the German refugees who formed a large proportion of its inhabitants. Endeavouring to proselyte his own countrymen, he was imprisoned by the bishop. At the instance of Burghley he was at length released, and the lord treasurer's kind offices were used to restore his young kinsman to the affections of a too angry father; who had resolved to disown his son unless he renounced his schismatic principles. Brown, who appears to have been chiefly remarkable for carrying through life the heat and rashness of untamed childhood, could soon boast that he had been the tenant of two and thirty prisons, in some of which he could not see his hand at noon-day. Fuller, who had often seen him, describes him as of an imperious nature, ready to take offence on trivial occasions if his opinion was not received as an oracle. Nor was

CHAPTER V.  


---

 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1583. his conduct remarkable for sanctity. Probably through lord Burghley's influence, he retained his preferment (the parish of Achurch, in Northamptonshire) through life; but his reputation seems to have been low. He had in short, says Fuller, a wife with whom for many years he never lived, and a church wherein he never preached. He survived to an extreme old age; and died without honour in the jail of Northampton, to which he had been committed for striking the constable of his parish, in a fit of passion, in the year 1630.\*

15. Yet this man was the founder of a sect from which the church of England received, for thirty years, the most determined opposition. His followers shared all his enthusiasm without any of his fickleness. While he professed the utmost abhorrence of episcopacy, he retained a valuable preferment in the church he denounced; and probably became indifferent at length to the cause he had so eagerly espoused. But the brownists, passing by their leader, rushed upon danger and - courted persecution. They taught that it was sinful in the highest degree to remain in communion with the church; that it was in truth, no part of the true church of Christ, but the church of antichrist and very synagogue of Satan; that it should be opposed, denounced, and utterly subverted. It was an age in which strong language was seldom far removed from violence of conduct. The moderation was almost unknown that teaches disputants to confide the last issue,

\* Fuller iii. ix. 66.

not to the force of arms but to the strength of arguments. The daring challenge which the brownists offered, was looked upon by the other party as tantamount to an act of sedition if not of treason. Even Cartwright felt compelled, now in the decline of life, to resume his pen; and while Fuller taxed his learning to prove that the brownists were but the donatists revived, Cartwright denounced their presumption in maintaining that the church of England was not a living member of the true catholic church of Christ. A singular, and yet in some respects an honourable, task; to combat in old age against the excesses of those opinions which it had been the business of his life to propagate! The assemblies of the church of England, he argued, had Christ for their head and their foundation, though defective, he still thought, in many points of discipline. Still they were true members of the church catholic, and all the foreign churches gave them the right hand of fellowship. It was an awful step to excommunicate a single person; more awful still to excommunicate a congregation; then, how great the presumption of those who dared to denounce at once the entire national church of England. A vineyard may have lost its fence, or a city its walls, and yet the vineyard may be fruitful and the city may maintain its rights. Thus Cartwright wrote in his old age; would that he had written thus in his youth! Barrow, a leader among the brownists, answered, complaining that Cartwright and

CHAPTER

V.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1543.

CHAPTER V.  
 ELIZ.  
 A.D. 1583. his friends were setting themselves against their own disciples; that they were afraid of the consequences of their own principles now fully carried out. The consistency of Cartwright is a question to this day unsettled; and one still argued with all the bitterness of party strife. His moderation, in his later years, has never met with its due reward; for it should be remembered that he was himself a sufferer, when he thus took up his pen on behalf of the church from which he was an outcast.\*

16. But the anabaptists were the most numerous, and for some time by far the most formidable, opponents of the church. They are said to have existed in England since the early times of the Lollards; but their chief strength was now derived, and their numbers reinforced, from Germany. While Luther was still living this sect had assumed a formidable and dangerous character. Under the guidance of the enthusiastic Munzer and his associates the German anabaptists disclaimed the authority of magistrates and laws, demanded for the saints supremacy on earth, and announced a carnal millennium. They broke out into a ferocious civil war, which was only suppressed after much bloodshed. Among other tenets they held that the baptizing of infants was an invention of the devil; and they received the title of anabaptists because they insisted on *again* baptizing all their converts, although in infancy they had once

\* Brooke's Cartwright, 299—307.



submitted to the rite. But even in Germany all anabaptists were not rebels and fanatics. Many, upon the contrary, were men of zeal and piety. In England they attempted no violence, beyond the solitary acts of here and there a madman whose insanity sought importance by assuming their detested name. Yet the anabaptists are spoken of by all the writers of this period with horror. It seems to have been assumed that an anabaptist was of course licentious and a rebel. No pains were taken to ascertain the fact: to doubt the validity of infant baptism was to incur forthwith the penalties which even then belonged rather to treason than to schism. A body of christians among whom the piety of Hughes, the learning and zeal of Marshman, and the eloquence of Robert Hall, found in after ages their congenial home, was then regarded by all men with aversion, by many with indescribable alarm. Their rude tenacity in maintaining the peculiarities of their creed, and their stern contempt of their adversaries; a contempt that often arrayed itself in something of a prophetic garb, while they denounced the vengeance of God upon their opponents, must be allowed their full share of influence in provoking the hostilities of a barbarous and superstitious age. But after every deduction, the sufferings of the anabaptists are a stain upon the annals of Elizabeth and the fame of our forefathers.

17. Some of the puritan writers complain of the indulgence shewn to papists, and contrast it

CHAPTER

V.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

CHAPTER with their own hard usage. But in truth all the  
 V. opponents of the queen were treated with horrible  
 and vindictive severity: many Romish priests were  
 ELIZ. executed with a revolting barbarism; greater num-  
 A. D. 1583. bers were exiled and imprisoned. English law, if  
 we do not prostitute the name in applying it to  
 such transactions, knew little but revenge and  
 cruelty. The political trials of this reign, it has  
 been well observed by a great living writer, the  
 historian of the English constitution, are, with  
 scarcely an exception, disgraceful to humanity.  
 And all religious offences were then political.  
 Justice, like a bird of prey, was ever on the  
 wing; and if it stooped or swerved a little from  
 its course, it was to slake its appetite for blood  
 upon some harmless victim as it passed along in  
 quest of greater prey.

18. Whatever may have been Calvin's share of  
 infamy in the burning of Servetus the Socinian, he  
 does not stand alone. In 1584, one John Lewes  
 was burned at Norwich for denying the Godhead  
 of Christ, and other heresies. In the next year  
 John Hilton, a priest, was required to make a  
 solemn abjuration of the same opinions: this he  
 did in the presence of the convocation; and it is  
 probable his office alone saved him from the death  
 of Lewes. He did penance by standing at St.  
 Paul's cross during the sermon, bearing the sig-  
 nificant faggot on his shoulder. Coppin and  
 Thacker, two clergymen of Suffolk, were imprison-  
 ed five years, and afterwards hanged at Bury St.  
 Edmunds as brownists; Brown's writings being

first burnt in their presence. The persecution CHAPTER  
 raged for ten years. In 1592 a congregation of V.  
 brownists being discovered in London, fifty-six ELIZ.  
 were imprisoned; where they died, says their in- A. D. 1583.  
 dignant historian Neal, like rotten sheep. Their  
 chief leader and martyr Barrow, a gentleman of  
 good family, addressed a supplication to parlia-  
 ment, in which he says; "These bloody men" (the  
 high court of commission) will allow us neither  
 meat, drink, fire, lodging; nor suffer any whose  
 heart the Lord would stir up for our relief to have  
 any access to us. Seventeen or eighteen have  
 perished within these noisome jails within these  
 six years; some of us had not one penny about  
 us when we were sent to prison, nor anything to  
 procure a maintenance for ourselves and families  
 but our labour; not only we ourselves, but our  
 wives and children, are undone and starved. After  
 reciting some of their worst oppressions, he con-  
 cludes with an appeal worthy alike of a patriot  
 and an Englishman, and in the fearless spirit of  
 St. Paul himself:—"that which we crave for us  
 all is the liberty to die openly, or live openly in  
 the land of our nativity; if we deserve death let  
 us not be closely murdered; yea, starved to death  
 with hunger and cold, and stifled in loathsome  
 dungeons."\* The latter petition alone was heard.  
 Barrow and Greenwood, with several others, were  
 brought before the archbishop of Canterbury and  
 other members of the court of high commission,

\* Neal i. Brooke, art. Barrow and Greenwood.

CHAPTER V.  


---

 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1583.

but they refused to take the oath, that is, to convict themselves upon their own extorted testimony. They were then indicted for publishing seditious books and pamphlets, tending to the slander of the queen and government. They denied the charge of disloyalty, shewed no regret and sought no mercy : their quarrel, they said, was not with the queen and her government, but with the hierarchy and the church. They were of course convicted. Ballot, one of the number, confessed his fault, and, with two others who were only accessories, was reprieved and sent back to prison, where two of them died ; the third was banished. Barrow and Greenwood were condemned to die. They were brought in a cart to Tyburn, in order that the sight of the gallows might terrify them into submission, and alarm their followers : but they remained unmoved, and were taken back to Newgate. A fortnight afterwards they were carried a second time to Tyburn, and there hanged. With their last words they prayed for the queen, expressed a joyful confidence in God, and triumphed in the cause for which they died. Tyburn itself never witnessed a more wicked execution, or one more senseless and impolitic.

19. These enormities have never been permitted to stand out in English history in all their dark and hideous deformity, and in consequence some lessons of high importance have been lost. Church-writers tread gently, as if they feared the ground would give way beneath them. The faults of

Whitgift and his party they assume to be the faults of episcopacy and of the church of England ; at least their cautious dexterity in exculpating the former has had the ill effect of transferring the odium of these infamous proceedings to the latter. Were the church of England infallible and unchangeable as that of Rome pretends to be, their conduct would have the justification of necessity ; at present it contributes only to the injury of the cause they advocate. The persecutions of Whitgift and his party are only connected with modern episcopacy by that process of sentimental logic which confounds antiquity with authority, and volunteers to take upon itself the vices and the crimes of its forefathers in proof of its own legitimacy. Nonconformists, on the other hand, while protesting, as well they may, with indignant vehemence against these atrocities, have blunted the force of their remonstrance by the facility with which they excuse the guilty violence of the sectaries during the short period when they held the reins of power in the next century. For the writer who takes up his pen to extenuate the faults of one party, or dwells exclusively on the provocations of the other, is a pleader and not a judge, a partizan and not an historian ; and beyond the limits of his party his voice will not be heard, nor ought it to command respect.

20. While the seceders were thus harassed, the church puritans were not permitted to escape. Conformity was rigidly enforced, and for the detection of delinquents new measures were devised.

CHAPTER

V.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.



## CHAPTER VI.

CHAPTER VI.  


---

 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1583.

1. In the month of December, 1583, the queen issued a new commission at the earnest solicitation of the archbishop.\* Forty four commissioners were named, of whom twelve were bishops, and the rest chief officers of state. Three of these had power to act ; whereof the archbishop of Canterbury, or one of the bishops mentioned in the commission, was always to be one. The jurisdiction of the court extended over the whole kingdom, and its power was enormous. During the queen's pleasure the high commissioners were authorized to inquire into all heretical opinions, seditious books, false rumours, or slanderous words ; they were to correct, reform, and punish all who wilfully abstained from divine service established by law, all heresies, schisms, et cetera : they were to cite before them, and deprive, such of the clergy as maintained any doctrine contrary to the articles ; and to punish all grievous offences punish-

CHAPTER  
VI.ELIZ.  
A. D. 1583.

able by the ecclesiastical laws ; including amongst graver crimes all outrages, misdemeanours, and disorders in marriage. So wide the sweep of this terrible tribunal. Its method of proceeding varied with the necessities of the case. If the culprit could not be convicted under "the oath of twelve good and lawful men," a jury might be dispensed with, and the court had power to convict by "witnesses alone." If witnesses were wanting, "all other means and ways you can devise" (such are the terms of the commission) left room for the rack, and the oath not less dreaded, *ex officio mero*. And they had a distinct authority not only "to examine such persons as they suspected, upon their corporal oaths," but to punish those "who refused the oath, by fine or imprisonment, according to their discretion." Under the grinding pressure of this frightful and ponderous machine, which was designed to crush the puritans, all the liberties of England must have perished ere long had it not been swept away with indignation by a parliament of Charles the first. The tribunal, even in the arbitrary times of Elizabeth, was held to be unconstitutional. The oath *ex officio*, in particular, was viewed with abhorrence, as contrary not only to the liberties of England, but to the law of nations and the instincts of nature. It was a universal maxim, it was argued, that no man is bound to accuse himself. No canon or general council of the church, for the first thousand years of its existence, had resorted to such a measure. Even pagan emperors had disowned and

CHAPTER VI.  


---

 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1583. countermanded it, when it was employed by pro-consuls and inferior magistrates against the primitive christians. The pope and the inquisition itself admitted it only in cases of heresy, whereas it was now levelled against every paltry misdemeanour. And lastly, it had been formally repealed in the reign of Henry the eighth, and again declared unlawful by statute in the first year of queen Elizabeth. Such were the objections then made to this ill-omened tribunal;\* and amidst the deepest disapprobation its proceedings began.

2. As a guide to the commissioners, the archbishop drew up twenty-four articles on which clerical delinquents were to be examined upon oath. They were framed with an ingenuity from which no honest puritan could escape; and, if he refused to answer them, and declined the oath, he was immediately committed for contempt of the court, and deprived of his benefice. One article may be quoted as a specimen of all the rest. "19. Item. That within the time aforesaid (that is, for the space of these three years, two years, one year, half a year, three, two, or one month last past) you have advisedly and of set purpose preached, taught, declared, set down or published by writing, public or private speech, matter against the said book of common prayer, or of something therein contained, as being repugnant to the word of God, or not convenient to be used in the church; or something have written or uttered, tending to the depraving, despising, or defacing of some things

\* Fuller Ch. Hist. iii. ix.

contained in the said book. Declare what, and the like circumstances thereof, and for what cause or consideration, you have so done.”\*

CHAPTER  
VI.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

3. A loud and bitter cry was heard from the distressed puritans. Despairing of relief from the bishops, they applied to the privy council. Several petitions, addressed to them by the suspended ministers of Kent, Suffolk, and other counties, were forwarded to the archbishop by Beal, the clerk of the council; a proceeding with which the archbishop was much displeased. He complains to the lord treasurer that Mr. Beal had used intemperate speeches: “He told me, in effect, that I would be the overthrow of this church, and the cause of tumult.” A prophetic message, even though delivered intemperately! The privy council themselves then remonstrated with the primate, including Aylmer bishop of London in their pointed censure. The remonstrance bears the signature of eight of the privy council, Burghley, Warwick, Howard, Hatton, Leicester, Shrewsbury, Croft, and Walsingham; some of whom were themselves members of the court of high commission. They request both their lordships to stay and temper their subalterns, in their hasty proceedings against the ministers. They describe the lamentable state of the church in Essex: “a great number,” they say, “of zealous and learned preachers are there suspended from their cures, and their places left vacant, or filled with persons neither of learning or good name.” On the other hand, “a great num-

† Neal i. vii. 331, for the 24 Articles at large.

CHAPTER

VI.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1583.

ber of persons notoriously unfit, charged or chargeable with great and erroneous faults, drunkenness, filthiness of life, gamesters at cards and such like, are quietly suffered to remain." They inclose with the letter, a catalogue of the names of sundry persons—that is, first, of persons reported to be learned, zealous, and good preachers, who are deprived and suspended; secondly, of unfit persons suffered to continue without reprehension or any other proceeding taken against them; thirdly, of pluralists not resident. "Against all these sorts of lewd, evil, unprofitable, and corrupt members, we hear," say they, "of no inquisition, nor of any kind of proceeding to the reformation of those horrible offences in the church; but of great diligence, yea and extremity, used against those that are known diligent preachers;" and they add, "we do most earnestly desire your lordships to take some charitable consideration of these causes, that the people of the realm may not be deprived of their pastors, being diligent, learned, and zealous; though in some point ceremonial they may seem doubtful, only in conscience not in wilfulness."\*

4. The archbishop answered,† in a firm though somewhat subdued manner, that he believed the information of the privy council would prove unfounded and their charges unjust; that of the deprived ministers in Essex, he personally knew but few; that if scandal could be proved against the conforming clergy they should be punished, though

\* Fuller iii. ix. 36.

† Ib.



few or none of them had been presented, or com-  
 plained of as evil-doers, by the churchwardens, whose duty it was upon oath to make such pre-  
 sentments; and that upon conference with the  
 bishop of London he would speedily return a more  
 exact answer. While this affair was pending the  
 lord treasurer wrote him on the subject of his  
 twenty-four articles, and in no measured terms.  
 Burghley had "recommended to his grace's favour,  
 two ministers, curates of Cambridgeshire, to be fa-  
 vourably heard." His grace replied, that they were  
 contentious, seditious, and irregular. Burghley,  
 on their return to him, "charged them sharply;"  
 when they "denied the charges, and asked for a  
 fair trial and fitting punishment." Upon further  
 enquiry the lord treasurer discovered that they had  
 been subjected, not to a fair and open tribunal, but  
 to the inquisition of twenty-four articles, and that  
 even of these they were refused a copy. Cecil re-  
 minded them that they were "in no danger if they  
 answered to the truth;" to which they replied, that  
 the questions were so many and so different that  
 they were unwilling to answer them "for fear of  
 captious interpretation." "Upon this," adds the  
 indignant lord treasurer, "I sent for the register  
 who brought me the articles; which I have read,  
 and find so curiously penned, so full of branches  
 and circumstances, that I think the inquisition of  
 Spain use not so many questions to comprehend  
 and entrap their preys. I know your canonists  
 can defend these with all their particles. But  
 surely, under your grace's correction, this juridi-

CHAPTER  
 VI.  
 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1583.

CHAPTER VI. cal and canonical sifting of poor ministers is  
 VI. not to edify and reform. And in charity, I think  
 ELIZ. they ought not to answer all these nice points  
 A. D. 1583. except they were very notorious offenders in pa-  
 pistry or heresy. Now, good my lord, bear with  
 my scribbling; I write with testimony of a good  
 conscience. I desire the peace of the church. I  
 desire concord and unity in the exercise of our  
 religion. I fear no sensual and wilful recusant.  
 But I conclude, that, according to my simple  
 judgment, this kind of proceeding is too much  
 savouring the Romish inquisition, and is rather a  
 device to seek for offenders than to reform any.”\*

5. It is not to be supposed that a man of Whitgift’s character would incur these censures unmoved. He prepared an answer; and those who would do justice to his motives are bound to listen to his apology. Besides, in this his own defence of his own measures all that can be said in behalf of severities under which puritans writhed and from which statesmen recoiled, is summed up with whatever force of argument the case allows.

6. The archbishop’s defence is contained in two letters addressed to the lord treasurer. He complains that the accusations brought against him were general; and could only be answered by a bare denial; but that if he or his colleagues were charged with particular acts of an oppressive or an illegal nature, he doubted not but that he could render a reply. His own proceedings against the nonconforming ministers had neither been so ve-

\* Fuller iii. ix. 36—55.

hement, nor so general, as some pretended. Great injury had been done to him in this respect: "if indeed," he says, "any offence be, it is in bearing too much with them, in consequence of which they troubled the church and withstood their primate." Papists, he said, (in answer to the treasurer's remark that they rejoiced in these severities when they saw them exercised by protestants upon each other) were indeed encouraged; because they saw such persons, who with themselves despised the authorities of the English church, encouraged in their disorderly conduct. "Assure yourself," he adds, "the papists are rather grieved at my proceedings, because they tend to the taking away of their chief argument, that is, that we cannot agree amongst ourselves; and that we are not of the church because we lack unity." Touching the twenty-four articles, "he cannot but greatly marvel at his lordship's vehement speeches against them. He had caused them to be drawn up according to law by those best learned in the laws; by men who hated alike the Romish doctrine and the Romish inquisition." He had taken the ordinary course pursued in other courts; "as," he says, "in the star chamber and other places. And it was the usual one in the court of arches with which he had the best experience." The rules of evidence were then ill defined; and the civil courts set an example of most oppressive and horrible tyranny in their conduct towards the accused. In this same year Throgmorton, a gentleman of Cheshire, was charged, on the evidence of an intercepted letter, with a plot in favour of the

CHAPTER  
VI.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1584.

CHAPTER VI.  


---

 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1584.

queen of Scots and against the queen's life. While under the torture of the rack he confessed his guilt. The confession he soon retracted, but repeated it on an assurance of the queen's pardon ; was sent to the scaffold, and there declared, what was probably true, that his admission of guilt was a mere fiction for the purpose of escaping a second application of torture. Yet it is not without surprise that we read the following sentence from the pen of one whose penetration was so acute, and whose sincerity so unquestionable, as that of Whitgift : " and without offence be it spoken, I think these articles more tolerable and better agreeing with the rules of justice and charity, and less captious, than those in other courts ; (meaning those in which the accused and the accuser confront each other) ; because there men are often examined at the relation of a private man (that is, on the evidence of an individual) concerning private crimes *et de propria turpitudine* ; whereas here, men are only examined of their public actions in the public calling and ministry ; and because the one toucheth life and the other not. And therefore, I see no cause why our judicial and canonical proceedings on this point should be misliked." If the articles were strained, the fault, he added, was in the judge, not in the law ; which hitherto had been administered with moderation. With regard to the ministers suspended, most of them were meanly qualified for their offices, open breakers and impugners of the law, young in years, proud in conceit, contentious in disposition. How could the peace of the church, how could

unity in religion, be restored, if a few young men should be countenanced against the whole estate of the clergy, persons of the greatest account for learning, years, and piety? In conclusion, he had done nothing which he did not think in his conscience and duty he was bound to do; and careless of the evil tongues of the uncharitable or the displeasure of man, he was resolved to persevere.—Such was the substance of the archbishop's defence, which gave little satisfaction to lord Burghley. "Your grace's proceeding," he replies, "I think is, I will not say 'rigorous' or 'captious,' (expressions, at which Whitgift had taken offence), but I think it is scant charitable." This is the settled verdict of posterity; whether Whitgift was sustained by the sanctions of the law or not, his proceedings were "scant charitable;" and all men now acquiesce in the justice of the remark with which he concludes the correspondence. "I have no leisure to write more, and therefore I will end; for writing will but increase offence; and I mean not to offend your grace. I am content that your grace and my lord of London, where I hear Brown is"—one of the two Cambridge ministers for whom he had interceded—"use him as your wisdom shall think meet. If I had known his fault I might be blamed for writing for him: but when *by examination only, it is meant to sift him with twenty-four articles, I have cause to pity the poor man.*"\*

7. Whitgift rejoined without abating anything

\* Fuller iii. ix. 55.



CHAPTER of his austerity. He said he had undertaken the  
 VI. defence, and the rights, of the church of England ;  
 ELIZ. to appease the sects and schisms therein ; and to  
 A. D. 1585. reduce all the ministers thereof to uniformity and  
 due obedience. “ And herein,” he adds, “ I intend  
 to be constant, and not to waver with every wind.”  
 Whether obstinacy or resolution dictated the sen-  
 tence, the crowded prisons and the deserted pulpits  
 of England, through many a succeeding year of his  
 primacy, proved that it was at least no empty  
 threat.

8. Through the influence chiefly of the earl of  
 Leicester, a conference\* was held between the  
 archbishop, assisted by the bishop of Winchester  
 on the one part, and the puritans, represented by  
 Sparke and Travers—afterwards famous in puritan  
 history—on the other. Leicester, Walsingham,  
 and lord Grey, were present as assessors. The con-  
 ference—if it deserve the name—continued two  
 days, but it produced no important results. The  
 temper of the primate may be gathered from his  
 correspondence ; that of the puritans may be in-  
 ferred from an anecdote otherwise not of much im-  
 portance. The archbishop had no sooner opened  
 the conference, than Dr. Sparke, after a few in-  
 troduutory words, insisted on beginning the pro-  
 ceedings with prayer, and forthwith “ framed  
 himself to begin to pray.” The archbishop inter-  
 rupted him, declaring that he should not turn

\* Neal gives an account of it (from a manuscript in his possession),  
 i. vii. 341 ; and see in Brooke's *Lives of the Puritans*, art. *Travers* :  
 who also refers to a MS. register of the proceedings.

the place into a conventicle. We cannot acquit Sparke of an unbecoming freedom ; and Whitgift probably saw, or suspected, in his conduct, something very different from pure devotion, namely, an effort to assert his pretensions as a presbyter, and to place them on a level with those of an archbishop. But a conference thus begun was not likely to end in any compromise or amicable adjustment. The puritans objected to private baptism, and especially against its administration by women or laymen. This scruple has been often treated as trivial and captious, but an important question in theology lies beneath the surface ; viz., “whether children unbaptized perish,” and “whether outward baptism saves the child that is baptized ?” They objected against the use of sponsors and of the cross in baptism : against private communion : against the reading of the apocrypha and the wearing of the surplice ; and lastly, against an ineffective ministry, pluralities, and consequent non-residence. When the conference closed, each party carried away the opinions or the prejudices they brought with them to the discussion. Travers was a nonconformist to his death ; and Whitgift was still the enemy of the puritans. It produced, says an old writer, no effect on the disputants, little on the auditors, yet as much on all as any judicious person ever expected. “The probability is,” observes sir James Macintosh upon this occurrence, “that the conference ended leaving the convictions of both parties as it found them, or rooted more firmly.

CHAPTER  
VI.ELIZ.  
A.D. 1585.

CHAPTER VI. Private meditation may enlighten,—in a public dispute the object is not truth but victory.’’\*

ELIZ. 9. A refractory spirit, and much insubordination  
A.D. 1585. (to a degree indeed inconsistent with all order and discipline) no doubt existed amongst the clergy. How to reduce it to submission, whether by force or by concession, or by a mild and considerate exercise of power mingled with forbearance, was the question which ought to have been calmly weighed by the rulers of the church. Unhappily the roughest methods were adopted, and apparently with little or no regret. The spirit of Aylmer and Whitgift was the vulgar one to which harsh measures present themselves, not as a last but as a first alternative; and they plunged into them with alacrity. That there were, amongst the puritans, many good and humble men whose conscientious nonconformity was distressing to themselves, they refused to believe. It was evident there were turbulent and factious spirits whom no reasonable concessions would appease,—and no party, struggling for its rights and suffering from oppression, is without them,—but such were not the majority. And the great fault of the primate and his coadjutors was, that they confounded the evil and the good, and practised a degree of severity upon the best of their opponents, which the worst of them scarcely merited. Aylmer, in his visitation this year, suspended, in  
1584. Essex alone, thirty-eight ministers for refusing to wear the surplice and similar offences. They were men highly esteemed by their parishioners; and

\* Hist. of Eng. in loco.

their forced silence was the occasion of deep and mutual distress. An incredible number were thus silenced, or even deprived of their parishes, in various counties.\* It is said by puritan writers, that at one period towards the close of this reign, no less than a third of the whole beneficed clergy of England were thus suspended: and to most of them this was a sentence, which, as its lightest evil, involved destitution and penury. The sufferings of such a number of ministers, men of high character and extraordinary zeal and diligence, was alone enough to shew that the dissatisfaction was deep and general. If there was danger in permitting diversities, was there none in repressing them? Might not a schism arise from their secession, which should tear up the church to its foundations? Were the questions at issue of vital consequence? And was it not wiser to provide for the effervescence of a well-meaning zeal, however troublesome, within the bosom of the church, rather than to cast off those fiery energies which might, and probably would, otherwise be arrayed against it? Above all, ought not christian meekness and moderation to be shewn, even to the obstinate, much more to the misguided?

10. But no weight was given to these considerations. The only choice was between strict conformity, and fines, dungeons, and ejectionment. One minister, Mr. Knight, for not wearing the surplice, suffered six months' imprisonment, and was fined

CHAPTER  
VI.

ELIZ.

A.D. 1585.

\* Neal i. vi. 287.

CHAPTER VI. a hundred marks.\* Another was suspended on  
 ELIZ. the same account. “His hungry sheep that had  
 A.D. 1585. no shepherd,”—for so his parishioners described  
 themselves,—addressed a letter to him entreating  
 him to conform; but he could not do so, he re-  
 plied, with a safe conscience, and was deprived.  
 Amongst a multitude of others scarcely less de-  
 plorable, the case of Eusebius Paget, minister of  
 Kilkhampton,† in the diocese of Exeter, claims  
 attention. When first presented to the living, he  
 had expressly informed his patron and the bishop  
 that he could not use some rites, nor comply with  
 some directions, in the service book; still he was  
 inducted. He is described as a learned, peaceable,  
 and quiet divine, indefatigable in his work, tra-  
 velling through the neighbouring country, and  
 preaching in dark places the plain principles of  
 religion. But he was brought before the high  
 commission upon several charges; some of which,  
 if proved, amount to indiscretion, and not one of  
 them to heresy. He preached, and not without rea-  
 son, that “the late queen Mary was a wicked woman  
 and a Jezebel.” With more questionable zeal and  
 equal courtesy, “he called ministers that don’t  
 preach, dumb dogs.” Then, he disallowed the use  
 of organs, and taught that holydays were but the  
 traditions of men, which we are not obliged to  
 follow. Another charge was, that he had said  
 the pope might set up the feast of jubilee as well  
 as the feasts of easter and pentecost. His false

\* Neal i. vii. 342.

† Neal i. vii. 349. Brooke’s Lives, art. Paget.



doctrine consisted merely in having taught that  
 “the sacraments were but dull elements, and did  
 not avail without the word preached ;” and that  
 “Christ did not descend into hell both soul and  
 body.” The former of these propositions admits,  
 if it do not require, a sound interpretation. And  
 with regard to Christ’s descent into hell—meaning  
 thereby, as no doubt he did, the place of torment,—  
 no inconsiderable number of the English clergy,  
 with bishop Pearson as their guide, have always  
 strongly inclined to the same opinion. His dis-  
 loyalty was argued from the single fact that he  
 did not, in his prayers, mention the queen’s “su-  
 premacy in *both* estates ;” which is at least an ad-  
 mission that he allowed it in one of them ; he  
 allowed her supremacy as a civil magistrate.—He  
 pleaded in his defence, that when he undertook  
 the charge of his parish, he received a promise  
 from his ordinary that he should not be urged to  
 a compliance with those ceremonies for neglecting  
 which he was now accused, “and that although he  
 had omitted some things mentioned in the book,  
 he had introduced no novelties of any kind : his  
 faults were those of omission ; nor did he now  
 refuse to adopt the common prayer book, here-  
 after, could his scruples be satisfied. He modestly  
 asked to be permitted to confer either with his  
 own bishop, or with other persons to be named  
 by the commissioners themselves, for the solution  
 of his doubts ;” which, said he, “ I seek not for  
 any desire I have to keep the said living, but  
 only for the better resolution and satisfaction of

CHAPTER  
VI.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1585.

CHAPTER VI. my own conscience, as God knoweth." This was refused; he was immediately suspended,—and  
ELIZ. preaching afterwards, deprived. The hardship  
A.D. 1585. of the case was thought to be increased by the haste with which the commission proceeded in the first instance; and, further, by the fact that he had obtained from the archbishop a remission, if not a release, from the censure of suspension before he had ventured to resume his ministry. This release he believed to be a sufficient warrant for preaching, the archbishop himself being the chief commissioner. But the court was stern, and his living was declared vacant and disposed of to another. Having a large family, he set up a school. But the relentless court of high commission pounced once more on their mangled victim, requiring him to take out a licence; and, as a previous condition, to subscribe to the articles. This he declined to do, and was at once reduced to beggary. The great naval commander, sir John Hawkins, was his friend. From a letter he addressed to his patron we quote a few sentences: "I was never present at any separate assembly from the church, but abhorred them. I always resorted to my parish church, and received the sacrament according to the book (that is, in a kneeling posture). I thought it my duty not to forsake the church because of some blemishes in it. I am turned out of my living by commandment. I afterwards preached without living or a penny stipend; and when I was forbid I ceased. I then taught a few chil-

dren, to get a little bread for myself and mine to eat; some disliked this, and wished me to forbear; which I have done; and am now to go as an idle rogue and vagabond from door to door to beg my bread." His sufferings, or at least his silence, was prolonged through Whitgift's life. It is some consolation to record, that upon the primate's death he was reinstated in the ministry, and presented to the living of St. Agnes in Aldersgate. Kindness accomplished what severity had essayed in vain. A virtuous and godly minister was restored to usefulness and honour, and died in conformity with the church of England.

CHAPTER  
VI.  
ELIZ.  
A.D. 1585.

11. Pages might be filled with similar details: but the protracted sufferings of Cartwright, still the great leader of the party, and possessing all the advantages which superior skill and wisdom in controversy and the countenance of the great and wealthy could impart, claim especial notice. Whether they prove more pertinacity in Cartwright, or severity in Whitgift and his associates, is now a stale dispute which we shall make no endeavour to revive. They are events which belong to history; and the hardships of the master of puritanism may be taken as a specimen, only too correct, of the hardships of its meaner disciples. If Cartwright was thus harassed, what may have been the unknown sorrows of less cautious or less favoured men?

12. Upon his expulsion from the university, already noticed, Cartwright retired to the con-

CHAPTER VI.  
 ELIZ.  
 A.D. 1585.

continent.\* For some time he lived at Antwerp, and presided over the English congregation there; while the most distinguished scholars of Europe, amongst whom were Beza and Junius, thought themselves honoured by his friendship. Beza had indeed long since spoken of him, in one of his letters, as a young man of the highest promise, and, for his age, probably the greatest scholar in the world. After a while he was invited by the French protestants in Guernsey and Jersey to their assistance. He drew up a form of discipline for their use, and established their church on the Genevan model; but he shortly returned to Antwerp. After an exile of eleven years his health failed; and his life being in imminent danger, he wrote to the privy council, requesting permission to return home. His friends, Leicester and Burghley, not only mentioned his name with honour in the house of lords, but interceded with the queen. But their efforts were vain; the permission to return was not granted; when Cartwright, on the advice of his physicians, and resolving to lay his bones at least in his native land, appeared in England. He had no sooner reached his native shores, than Aylmer bishop of London had him apprehended and imprisoned. Happily for Cartwright, Aylmer, in the hurry of his zeal, had forgotten to wait for the queen's permission; and it was not at all of Elizabeth's temper to suffer these freaks of despotism in her subjects, however much disposed

\* Brooke's Life of Cartwright.

CHAPTER  
VI.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1585.

to them herself. Cartwright was released; and Aylmer endeavoured to propitiate the queen in a letter to lord Burghley. "I leave it to God and your wisdom," he says, "to consider in what a dangerous place of service I am. But God whom I serve, and in whose hands are the hearts of princes, can and will turn all to the best, and stir up such honourable friends as you are, to appease her highness's indignation. In the mean time, my good lord, I will vow myself to you, as my chief patron under God and her majesty. And surely you shall find me neither undutiful nor unthankful." Were anything wanting to complete our disgust, it would be the fact that the man who penned this servility had been himself a puritan, and had written against the bishops in a strain of insolent vulgarity\* which Cartwright disdained.—"Come off, you bishops; away with your superfluities, let your portion be priest-like, not prince-like;—could the bishops ruffle in their robes, keep their great horses, and have their thousands yearly, with all the rest of their superfluity, if the queen were not their bulwark, and took not care of them while they care not for her?"

13. Whitgift, though severe, was not vindictive; and he was probably ashamed of Aylmer's cruelty. It was from the primate Cartwright received his release, though not until he had been several months in prison. The interview between the

\* In his "Arbour for faithful Subjects," published soon after the queen's accession.



CHAPTER two great leaders seems to have produced a good  
 VI. impression upon each. Cartwright behaved with  
 ELIZ. respect and modesty, and Whitgift for a time was  
 A. D. softened into kindness. The earl of Leicester  
 1585—90. wrote, as Cartwright's friend and patron, a letter  
 full of gratitude to Whitgift, and even ventured to  
 ask that he might once more be allowed to preach.  
 This request the archbishop declined to grant  
 without longer trial, and until he should be  
 "better persuaded of his conformity." Leicester,  
 however, had founded an asylum, or hospital, at  
 1585. Warwick, and he appointed Cartwright to the  
 first mastership. Here he resided in peace for  
 some years; and if the friendship and patronage of  
 a majority of the greatest statesmen of an age so  
 rich in statesmanship were a security against per-  
 secution, it might have been reasonably concluded  
 that the remainder of his days would have passed  
 in quietness. Among the friends or patrons of this  
 puritan divine, were the earls of Leicester, War-  
 wick, Bedford, and Huntingdon, lord Bacon, lord  
 Burghley, sir Francis Knollys, and sir Francis  
 Walsingham. A man so honoured must have  
 possessed some rare combination of attractive  
 qualities.

14. His leisure was worthily employed. The  
 Romish party, perceiving with dismay the effect  
 produced by the translation of the bible into  
 English, issued a version of their own. It was a  
 translation of the new testament from the Latin  
 vulgate, but was in fact designed to depreciate  
 the English translation, and to insinuate the cor-

ruptions of the church of Rome. It is known to scholars as the Rhemish translation.

CHAPTER  
VI.

15. It was considered among protestants a work of dangerous tendency ; and the rather, on account of the notes and annotations it contained : and the question of Cartwright's high accomplishments, both as a scholar and a divine, is entirely set at rest by the fact that he was solicited to undertake its refutation. One of his biographers asserts that the queen applied to Beza, requesting him to undertake the work ; and that he referred her majesty to Cartwright as a much fitter person. It is certain that the earl of Leicester and secretary Walsingham urged him to this important task, and that the latter sent him the noble present of a hundred pounds towards the purchase of books, with the promise of further assistance were it wanted. The clergy of the city of London and those of Suffolk intreated him to proceed ; above all, the university of Cambridge, or, at least, a number of its principal divines, addressed a letter to him filled with expressions of reverence and regard. " We are earnest," they say, " most reverend Cartwright, that you should set yourself against the unhallowed designs of mischievous men, either by refuting the whole book, or at least some part thereof . . . you see to what an honourable fight we invite you. Christ's business must be undertaken against Satan's champions. We stir you up to fight the battles of the Lord where the victory is certain, and the triumph and applause of angels will ensue. Our

ELIZ.

A. D.

1585—90.

CHAPTER VI. prayers shall never be wanting to you. Christ  
 ELIZ. without doubt, whose cause is defended, will be  
 A. D. present with you. The Lord Jesus must increase  
 1585—90. your courage and strength, and keep you very  
 long in safety for his church's good. Farewell.  
 Your loving brethren in Christ." The paper bore  
 the signature, amongst others, of Dr. Whitaker,  
 master of St. John's and regius professor, and of  
 Dr. Fulke, master of Pembroke college, whose  
 testimony receives a double worth from his pro-  
 found acquaintance with this particular contro-  
 versy. In the year 1583 he had published his own  
 learned "Defence of the English translation of  
 the holy scriptures, in reply to Gregory Martin's  
 slanders." Martin was a reader of divinity in the  
 English college of Rheims, and in the previous  
 year had published what he called, "A discovery  
 of the manifold corruptions of the holy scriptures  
 by the heretics of our days, specially the English  
 sectaries."

16. With a stretch of power seldom exceeded  
 in the most arbitrary times, or under governments  
 the most despotic, Whitgift forbad him to proceed.  
 It is a curious instance, no less of his resolute  
 temper than of his unbounded power, whenever the  
 queen's prejudices coincided with his own. Her  
 ministers of state at this time were strongly in  
 favour of the puritans. Walsingham and Leicester  
 had requested Cartwright to undertake the refu-  
 tation, and provided him with money for his ex-  
 penses. Burghley sanctioned it. Yet Whitgift pre-  
 vailed, and the mightiest statesmen were foiled;

and Walsingham was made to understand that although he was minister of state he was not minister of religion. Whitgift's motives have been variously construed. He no doubt was apprehensive that puritan notions might be introduced under the cover of a commentary; that, professing to aim at Rome, Cartwright might have struck at Lambeth. But the same authority which suppressed the book would have been better directed, if necessary, in controlling its revisal. The affair gave rise to much discussion: some affected to question Cartwright's learning; some commended the archbishop's care, and some blamed his jealousy. The time had not yet come when a sense of justice, forcing its way through all considerations of policy or convenience, branded the oppressive act with the stamp of mere tyranny. Cartwright afterwards resumed the work, but his death prevented its completion. At length it was published in an imperfect state in the year 1618. "A book," says Fuller, "which, notwithstanding the aforesaid defects, is so complete that the Rhemists durst never return the least answer thereunto."

CHAPTER  
VI.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1585—90.

17. But Cartwright's troubles were not over. His preaching at Warwick provoked the hostility of the bishop of Worcester, who summoned him in the consistory court, and charged him with instilling the peculiarities of Genevan churchmanship. He was permitted, however, to return to Warwick, where he soon afterwards drew up an able treatise against the brownists. Meanwhile his patron the

CHAPTER VI.  
 ELIZ.  
 A. D.  
 1585—90.

earl of Leicester died, and in a short time Cartwright was summoned before the court of high commission. The charges were of the usual complexion. That he had neglected the rubrics; that he had established the discipline of foreign churches; that he had shewn his dislike to the ecclesiastical laws and government; and in particular, that he had written all or some part of "The book of discipline;" and that he knew, or had credibly heard, who were the authors of "Martin Mar-prelate."—The book of discipline was a form or directory of presbyterian worship, published abroad a few years previously, but lately translated into English; and Martin Mar-prelate the title of a series of satirical pamphlets, which caused no little commotion for several years by their ludicrous and malicious scurrility. Whatever Cartwright's share may have been in the former of these productions, his whole character forbids us to suspect him of any connection with the latter; had he not denied, as he uniformly did, all knowledge of the authors, or indeed approbation of the pamphlets.

17. Cartwright nobly refused to take the oath *ex officio*, which might have compelled him to criminate his friends as well as to become his own accuser. His committal to the Fleet followed of course. He appealed in vain to the archbishop, the lord chancellor, and his tried friend lord Burghley. To add to his sufferings, his devoted flock at Warwick were left untaught; while the agonizing pains of the gout and stone, increased by his confinement, filled up the mea-



sure of his sorrows. After repeated solicitations, he implored, in a *fourth* petition to lord Burghley, that he might be imprisoned in the house of some friend in or near the city, under proper securities. One of his children died, and he asked to return home, giving sufficient bail to appear when called for. But his petitions were disregarded. Burghley at length remonstrated with the queen, and was “bold to tell her majesty that he thought the bishops took a very ill and unadvised course.” Together with other divines, amongst whom were Dr. Whitaker, Travers, Chadderton, and Knewstubs, the heads of the puritans, he was charged by the commissioners with holding irregular synods, or classes, in London, Cambridge, and other places, with the view of promoting the introduction of presbyterianism. The discipline they endeavoured to introduce was denounced as prejudicial to her majesty and the realm in several particulars;—as for instance, that it proposed the abolition of the rights of patronage, placing in the congregation the election to vacant parishes; and that in ecclesiastical causes the final appeal was made not to the queen, but to the church assembled in a general synod. And the prisoners were accused of wishing to make the prince himself amenable to censure and excommunication. Still refusing the oath, they were handed over to the star chamber on Whitgift’s motion, and were from time to time interrogated before one or other of these tribunals. For it is to be observed,

CHAPTER  
VI.  
ELIZ.  
A.D.  
1585—90.

CHAPTER VI. that the high commission did not lose its grasp, though it called in the star chamber to its assistance. At length public opinion was aroused ; magistrates petitioned against the iniquity of punishing without a trial, and of condemning without a hearing ; parliament was restless, and the privy council angry ; terms were offered to the prisoners, and rejected with disdain. A petition to the queen from Cartwright and his fellow sufferers produced a tardy effect, and at last they were released from prison,—it has been said, at Whitgift's intercession. But even this faint praise appears to be undeserved. A letter from Cartwright, dated 21 May, 1592, and addressed to the lord treasurer, "in dutiful remembrance of so great a benefit," obliges us to confess that to the humanity of the statesman was due an act of justice which the harshness of the prelate had denied.

ELIZ.  
A.D.  
1585—90.

18. Cartwright was now old and hastening to the grave. He returned to his beloved charge at Warwick, and, still under a bond for his good behaviour, continued to preach. On the Sunday before his death, as if with something of a prophetic forecast, he preached from Ecclesiastes xii. 7, "Then shall the dust return to the earth, and the spirit shall return to God who gave it." His biographer relates that on the Tuesday morning following, he spent two hours prostrate on his knees in humble and importunate prayer ; and that, having finished his devotions, he said that "he had found unutterable comfort and happiness,

and that God had given him a glimpse of heaven, before he was called to enter that blessed state.” He died within a few hours afterwards, Dec. 27, 1603, aged 68 years. His great antagonist Whitgift expired within three months. They were members and ministers of the same church : upon doctrinal points there was no important difference between them. The one saw the imperfections of the church of England, the other felt the dangers of innovation. They were attached to the same cause, and alike desirous of establishing a national church in England on protestant foundations ; and had conciliation been attempted, there seems little reason to doubt that Cartwright, without forsaking his principles, would have been won over to a more submissive spirit, and to a zealous co-operation with men of real piety—and there were many such among his professed opponents. He attached too much importance to his peculiar opinions of church discipline, and those opinions we conceive were often wrong ; and in the early years of his public life he was not free from the universal vices of his times,—intemperance and personality in controversy. But as age mellowed and persecution broke down his spirit, a noble love of truth, a generous and forgiving temper, a contempt of suffering, and a fervent piety to God, break out with increasing lustre ; and while learning, eloquence, and high talents, associated with exalted religious principles, and these displayed with consistency through a long life of persecution, shall continue to be revered, the name of Cart-

CHAPTER  
VI.ELIZ.  
A.D. 1585.

CHAPTER VI. wright will be uttered, by good men of every party,  
with profound respect.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1585—90.

19. No record of the persecutions which the puritans underwent in the reign of Elizabeth can omit the names of Smith, Penry, and Udal. The former, illustrious for his talents; the other two, for enduring, with the patience of martyrs, the most dreadful sufferings; and all alike for a glowing, though sometimes misdirected, zeal and sincere piety. Henry Smith\* was a person of good family and well connected; but having some scruples, he declined preferment, and aspired to nothing higher than the weekly lectureship of St. Clement Danes. On a complaint made by bishop Aylmer, Whitgift suspended him, and silenced for a while probably the most eloquent preacher in Europe. His contemporaries named him the Chrysostom of England. His church was crowded to excess, and amongst his hearers, persons of the highest rank, and those of the most cultivated and fastidious judgment, were content to stand in the throng of citizens. His sermons† and treatises were soon to be found in the hands of every person of taste and piety; they passed through numberless editions; some of them were carried abroad and translated into Latin. They were still admired and read at the close of nearly a century, when Fuller collected and republished them. Probably the prose writing of this the

\* Brooke's Lives, Art. Henry Smith.

† God's arrow against atheists; Sermons at St. Clement Danes; Six sermons, with prayers, &c. Printed by John Beale, 1621.

richest period of genuine English literature, contains nothing finer than some of his sermons. They are free, to an astonishing degree, from the besetting vices of his age—vulgarity, and quaintness and affected learning; and he was one of the first English preachers who, without submitting to the trammels of a pedantic logic, conveyed, in language nervous, pure, and beautiful, the most convincing arguments in the most lucid order, and made them the groundwork of fervent and impassioned addresses to the conscience. The lord treasurer was his friend; and that he was restored to his lectureship and to the church of England after a brief suspension, we owe, among many obligations of a similar kind, to the name of Cecil.

CHAPTER  
VI.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1585—90.

20. Udal\* was charged with being the author of a seditious libel, entitled “A demonstration of discipline,” in which the prelates were coarsely handled, too much in the style of Martin Mar-prelate. The passage fixed upon as the ground of the indictment, occurs in the preface, and is certainly unjust and scandalous. “Who can, without blushing, deny you (the bishops) to be the cause of all ungodliness, &c. You care for nothing but your dignities, be it to the damnation of your own souls and infinite millions more.” Had the punishment due to a libeller been awarded to the author, posterity would have confirmed the judgment. But his fault sinks into insignificance when compared with his sufferings; we admire his patience, and forget his libel. He refused to criminate himself

\* Fuller iii. ix. 135. Neal i. viii. 399. Udal’s Life. Whitgift’s do.



CHAPTER VI.  
ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1585—90.

before the high commission, and was then tried for sedition, at the assizes at Croydon, and convicted, though without any sufficient proof that he was the author of the work in question; it being generally supposed that he had only contributed the preface. A form of recantation was laid before him; but neither arguments nor threats could induce him to subscribe it with his name. Yet he drew up a qualified submission, acknowledging that as to the book the authorship of which was imputed to him, though he “could not disavow the cause and substance of the doctrine debated in it,” yet he “confessed the manner to be such, in some parts, as might worthily be blamed;” and he prayed most humbly her majesty’s forgiveness. He was respited to the next assizes, when he was placed at the bar in irons, with the rest of the felons, and condemned to death. He delivered a paper, in which he protested against the sentence on several grounds, any one of which ought to have saved him from so ignominious a fate. It had not been proved, he said, that he was the author of the book in question. The witnesses had not confronted him in open court. He was condemned on the evidence contained in certain papers and reports of depositions. The jury had been directed by the judge to find a verdict only on the fact whether he was the author of the book; they were cautioned from inquiring into the intent of the writer; whereas, according to the statute, the felony consisted in the malicious intent. The

jury too were wrought upon, partly by the promise that the verdict should not endanger the prisoner, and partly by fear; "as appears from the grief manifested by some of them ever since." "And supposing," he adds, "I were the author of the book; let it be remembered that for substance it contains nothing but what is taught and believed by the reformed churches in Europe: so that, in condemning me, you condemn all such nations and churches as hold the same doctrine. If the punishment be for the manner of writing, this may be thought by some, worthy of an admonition, or fine, or some short imprisonment. But death for an error of such a kind cannot but be extreme cruelty against one who has endeavoured to shew himself a dutiful subject and a faithful minister of the gospel." And he concluded his address with this solemn premonition; "If all this prevail not, yet my Redeemer liveth, to whom I commend myself, and say, as Jeremiah once said, in a case not much unlike mine, 'Behold, I am in your hands to do with me whatsoever seemeth good unto you; but know you this, that if you put me to death, you shall bring innocent blood upon your own heads, and upon the land.' As the blood of Abel so the blood of Udal, will cry to God with a loud voice, and the righteous Judge of the land will require it at the hands of all who shall be found guilty of it."

21. Whether the court were awed by this appeal, or, which is more probable, by the protest that preceded it, it hesitated to carry the sentence

CHAPTER  
VI.

ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1585—90.

CHAPTER VI. into immediate effect. Elizabeth herself hesitated ;  
 ELIZ. for Udal was a learned man, of blameless life, re-  
 A. D. 1590. markable for his devotion, and an able preacher. A Hebrew grammar, which he composed while in prison, attests at once his learning and his composure of mind. He had many friends, and multitudes flocked to visit him in prison. James, king of Scotland, the eager expectant of her throne, wrote a letter of intercession to Elizabeth on his behalf, earnestly requesting his pardon as a personal favour. The merchants of London entreated that he might at least be banished ; and offered a chaplaincy in the Mediterranean as an asylum for him. Meantime, a higher Judge had, in mercy, reversed the unrighteous sentence, and while his fate was in suspense, Udal died in the Marshalsea prison, worn down with sorrow, at the  
 1592. close of the year 1592. We may believe the historian who tells us, that his friends were glad that he should die in peace, and that the wisest of his foes were well contented that he escaped their vengeance. He was buried at St. George's, Southwark ; the ministers of London flocking to the funeral ; and by their presence protesting against the iniquitous sentence with which a preposterous tyranny had vainly endeavoured to destroy his principles, while it cut short his life. It was remarked that his grave was near to that of bishop Bonner ; a few feet of earth separated the bodies of two men, of principles so far asunder. Time has long since shewn that might is on the side of him who suffers, not of him who torments.

22. The fate of Penry was yet more barbarous. He was a young Welsh clergyman, of ardent zeal, and possibly but little discretion : and his capacity was of that ordinary mould which seeks for truth at the antipodes of error. Preaching was depreciated by his opponents ; an error which he corrected by asserting that it was the only means of salvation ; that a homily was no preaching, and that mere readers were no ministers. He was committed to prison, but released after a month's confinement ; and then fled to Scotland, where he remained upwards of two years. During his absence, he drew up a petition to the queen, which might well have provoked, by its rude and offensive language, a much gentler monarch. It was seized among his papers, and he was indicted for seditious language, and was convicted of felony in the court of king's bench, upon the matter contained in this petition, although it was but a rough outline, and certainly had not in any sense been published. Penry was a brownist, and declaimed upon his trial with all the vehemence of his party against " those remains of antichrist " of which he was anxious, he said, " to have the world cleared ; " namely, the dignitaries of the church of England. But after his conviction he did not attempt to defend the petition ; it was confused and unfinished, he said, containing the sum of certain objections made by others against her majesty and her government, which he intended at some future period to examine, but that he had not so much as looked into them for the last fourteen months.—But he

CHAPTER  
VI.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1592.

CHAPTER VI.  


---

 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1593. retracted nothing of his principles. "If my blood were an ocean, and every drop were a life to me, I would, by the help of the Lord, give it all in defence of the same."—"Death, I thank God, I fear not. I know that the sting of death is taken away,—life I desire not, if I be guilty of sedition . . . . but imprisonments, indictments, arraignments, and death are not weapons to convince the conscience that is grounded on God's word." In vain he asked for a conference; in vain his wife presented a petition to the lord keeper. The warrant for his execution was signed; and amongst the signatures, the first, be it said with shame, was Whitgift's. The instrument was immediately sent to the sheriff; and the very same day, while the prisoner was at dinner, he received his summons to the scaffold: that afternoon he was carried in a cart to the gallows and hung, without being allowed, as he desired, to address the assembled crowd, or to profess his faith towards God or his loyalty to the queen. He was but thirty-four years old; but the zealots of the high court party triumphed in their victory. "By his death," exclaims an old writer, the neck of the fiery nonconformists was broken."\* It is more certain that they concealed their grief and nourished their hatred, and that the next generation took, for this and other enormities, a terrible and equally wicked revenge.

\* Wood, *Athenæ Oxon.* i. 229; Brooke's *Lives* ii. 49.



## CHAPTER VII.

CHAPTER  
VII.ELIZ.  
A.D.

1593—95.

1. IT belongs to the secular historian to relate how the seeds of English liberty were taking root and gathering strength during the long reign of Elizabeth. The house of commons became the constitutional arena on which the strife of political principles was carried on; and we begin to perceive the existence of those two great parties, the one inclined to prerogative and the support of ancient usages and institutions, the other to an extension of popular rights, which have survived to our own times unaltered in their essential character; and upon the equipoise and true balancing of which, our national safety seems, under divine providence, in a great measure to depend. Puritanism naturally found its advocates amongst those who were least inclined to acquiesce in the monarch's high claims to absolute power. Their early connection with the puritans, more perhaps than any other cause, contributed to unite them into a compact body, and to impress

CHAPTER VII. them, when thus united, with certain features which have never been erased. To the puritans the country or whig party owes the extraordinary vigour of its youth, and not a few of the principles of its maturer age.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1595.

2. The puritans had already laid their griefs before the parliament. We have mentioned that in 1572, Field and Wilcox, eminent men amongst them, assisted by some others, had drawn up and presented a petition, entitled "An admonition to the parliament;" to which, by way of appendix, and to shew how far the foreign churches agreed with them, were added a letter which Beza had lately addressed to the earl of Leicester urging further reformatations, and one from Gualter to bishop Parkhurst on the same subject. The attempt was rash: in those days it was thought seditious and revolutionary; for the admonition petitioned for a church upon the presbyterian model, and the subversion of episcopacy. Elizabeth considered that whatever concerned the royal prerogative was forbidden ground, and under this description she included every thing that related to religion. Field and Wilcox were committed to Newgate, indicted under the statute of uniformity, and sentenced to a year's imprisonment.\* The admonition was repressed by royal proclamation, and parliament was forbidden to proceed in the discussion of such questions. On several occasions the queen interfered to controul

\* Neal i. v. 224, and Price's History of Non-Conformity, i. 231.

the debates ; and at length sent for the speaker, and charged him sharply “ that henceforth no bills concerning religion should be received into the house of commons, unless the same should be first considered and approved of by the clergy,”—that is, by her council and herself, for the conforming clergy now moved only at her bidding. Peter Wentworth was one of the most eloquent members of the house of commons, and he was a puritan. He took up the cause with the mind of a statesman ; and, rising above the particular case under discussion, aimed at nothing less than the establishment of freedom of speech in the commons house of parliament as the means of rational liberty in England. “ There is nothing,” he said, “ so necessary for the preservation of the prince and state as free speech ; and without this it is a scorn and mockery to call it a parliament house, for in truth it is none, but a very school of flattery and dissimulation, and so a fit place to serve the devil and his angels in, and not to glorify God, and benefit the commonwealth.” And with a still more hazardous boldness, asserting the supremacy of the law above the sovereign himself ; “ the king,” he continued, “ ought not to be under man but under God and the law ; because the law maketh him a king ; let the king therefore attribute that to the law which the law attributeth to him ; that is, dominion and power. For he is not a king whom will, and not the law, doth rule ; and therefore he ought to be under the law.” The house interrupted him in alarm ;

CHAPTER  
VII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

CHAPTER VII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

he was committed to the sergeant's custody, and the next day to prison, "for the violent and wicked words yesterday pronounced by him touching the queen's majesty." Wentworth was not at all surprised : he had anticipated the worst ; and was prepared for it. "I have weighed," he said, "whether, in good conscience and the duty of a faithful subject, I might keep myself out of prison, and not to warn my prince from walking in a dangerous course. My conscience said that I could not be a faithful subject if I did more respect to avoid my own danger than my prince's danger. I was made bold and went forward, as your honours heard." A month's reflection, or the wiser advice of her ministers, convinced the queen that her severity was impolitic. Wentworth was released. Constitutional principles must be regarded as having obtained a great triumph, and the house of commons a position which it has never lost. But happily there was no rupture, nor even a coldness, between the commons and herself. "Elizabeth," says an able teacher of modern history, "could always give way in time to render her concessions a favour. Unlike other arbitrary princes, and unlike chiefly in this particular, she did *not* think it a mark of political wisdom always to persevere when her authority was resisted. She did not suppose that her subjects, if she yielded to their petitions or complaints, would conclude that she did so from fear ; she did not conclude, that if

she became more reasonable, they must necessarily become less so.”\* CHAPTER  
VII.

3. A few years afterwards, in 1584, the commons themselves undertook the cause of the puritans. They presented an address to the upper house, containing sixteen particulars. Six were directed against insufficient ministers, pluralities, and destitute parishes, of which there was said to be a great number, especially in the north of England and in Wales. They proceeded to request that more liberty might be conceded to the consciences of the clergy; that they might not be troubled for the omission of some rites or portions prescribed in the book of common prayer; that some common exercises and conferences, such as the suppressed prophecyings, might be permitted; and that the power of the bishops in grave matters might not be deputed to commissaries and officials, but executed by themselves in person: that excommunication should not be inflicted for small matters, especially upon godly and learned preachers, who were neither convicted of open crimes nor apparent errors in doctrine: and they prayed that the court of high commission, except for some notable offence, should no longer have the power of summoning and arraigning the clergy beyond the limits of the diocese in which they dwelt.† ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

4. These, with a single exception, were reasonable demands. How far the clergy might be in-

\* Professor Smyth, *Modern Hist. Lect. XIV.*, where the reader will also find Wentworth's speech as above.

† Fuller iii. ix.; Neal i. vii.; Strype's *Whitgift*, 160—190.



CHAPTER VII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

dulged in a partial conformity, even supposing them sincerely attached, upon the whole, to the communion of the English church, was then, as it still continues to be, an anxious question. The rude hand of arbitrary power, and the contemptuous spirit of insubordination, feel no difficulty. Gentler spirits, imbued with a deeper love of justice, hesitate and pause. If the enactment must be rigidly obeyed, it should be framed so as to sit easily upon a scrupulous conscience,—nay, upon an independent spirit. Otherwise the energy of the christian minister is lost, to say nothing of his integrity. Again, since every society, whether secular or ecclesiastical, forced or voluntary, must be governed by its peculiar laws, which, from the variety of men's minds, will necessarily press with unequal weight upon its various members, and which therefore cannot be alike acceptable to all; it follows that each member must be content to sacrifice much of his inclination,—nay, it may be, something of his conscientious principles, of his abstract ideas of what, in particular instances, would be the most fitting and the best,—for the sake of mutual co-operation, and all those other advantages which result from united as opposed to solitary action. Each of the contending parties, the prelates on the one hand and the puritans on the other, admitted the force, not of these joint considerations, but merely of one or other of them singly. Neither would admit the truth contained in what the other party advanced; and of course while the prelates insisted only on the duty of

submission, and the puritans only on the hard-  
ships of canonical obedience, discussion served  
but to increase the distance, and aggravate the  
quarrel.

CHAPTER  
VII.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1595.

5. In the house of lords the discussion turned chiefly on the question of non-residence; which archbishop Whitgift defended with an argument which, had pluralities been limited to the poorer clergy, would have been triumphant. There were in England, he said, four thousand five hundred benefices not valued at more than ten, and most of them at less than eight, pounds per annum. How could able pastors, such as the petitioners required, be provided for such livings? Moreover, he affirmed, (and the practical wisdom of the assembly in which he spoke would attach its full importance to the observation) that whatever was pretended to the contrary, England at that very time possessed more able ministers than ever; "yea, that we had more than all christendom besides." The lord Grey admitted the assertion as to the number of learned ministers, but he attributed their increase not to the bishops but to God. Burghley, the lord treasurer, took a middle course; and would have allowed pluralities, provided the livings were near each other; "at least in the same diocese, and not one in Winchester and another in the north." The archbishop and the clergy were alarmed, and petitioned the queen to interfere that the proposed bill against pluralities might not pass into a law. The certain consequence, they said, of such a measure would be,

CHAPTER  
VII.ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

the decay of learning, the renewed spoiling of the church, the taking away the set form of prayer in the churches, and in short a return to confusion and barbarism. "Our neighbours' miseries," they add, "might make us fearful." And in truth the confusion then prevailing among the foreign churches was the great argument which induced the moderate and reasonable of all parties to submit to grievous inconvenience, as at least preferable to the hazards of a change. The matter, for the present, went no further.

6. The archbishop and clergy, whether dreading the interference of the house of commons, or being convinced that some reform was wanted, laid before the queen six articles, which fell far short of what the parliament had contemplated, but were probably more suited to the difficulty of their own position, and the necessities of the times. But they were promoted with but languid zeal; nor was it till thirteen years afterwards they were carried into effect. In 1597 they were confirmed in convocation, and afterwards in 1603 introduced among the canons of the church. At the close of the session, the speaker ventured to express a firm hope that her majesty would, by strait commandment to her clergy, insist on the removal of such abuses as had crept in by the negligence of the ministers. The queen's answer is by no means complimentary to the ecclesiastical rulers. After censuring the dissatisfied spirit of those who loved to slander the church, she admits that faults and negligences existed, "all which," she adds, in the

style so peculiarly her own, "if you, my lords of the clergy, do not amend, I mean to depose you. Look you, therefore, well to your charges."

CHAPTER  
VII.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1580—86.

6. Once more the puritans betook themselves to the house of commons. The favour with which it regarded them, and the influence they possessed in it, shew how strongly their principles had taken root amongst the burgesses and citizens of England. For the lower house was now a representative body; and the interests, perplexities, and grievances of its constituents began to find an echo within its walls. Early in 1587, the puritans laid a petition before the house, in which once more they set forth their grievances. They complain of pluralities, non-residence, a slothful clergy, and a non-preaching and tyrannical episcopate. But the same rashness which had hitherto marred their prospects, and brought discredit even on their best intentions, still attended them. In the present temper of parliament, and probably of the queen herself, the redress of real grievances would scarcely have been withheld. We may venture further, and assert that it could not have been denied, if their own conduct had not silenced their best friends, the men of moderate counsels. On the 27th of February, a member presented to the house on their behalf, a bill which prayed that all the ecclesiastical laws in force should be annulled; and that a service book, which he then submitted to the house, should take the place of the book of common prayer. Bishops and archbishops were declared unlawful; the jurisdiction they possessed

1587.

CHAPTER VII.  


---

 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1587. was to be vested in an assembly of ministers and elders ; the rights of patronage were abolished ; and, in short, an ecclesiastical revolution was announced.\* The puritans crowded around the house of commons, and filled the passages, besieging its members for their votes, and its officials for information. The hopes and expectations of the party seem to have been extravagantly raised. Five hundred clergymen, it is said, approved of the proposed changes, and declared their approbation by signing the petition, or expressing their consent publicly ;—a fact which has been variously interpreted : some writers regarding it as a proof of the lenity of the bishops, notwithstanding the heavy charges brought against them, that men so disaffected were allowed to remain in the bosom of the church ; others, as a proof how needful concession had become, seeing there were so many delinquents who could no longer be awed into submission. It certainly proves the growth of extreme puritanism ; and so far is an evidence that Whitgift's coercive measures had failed. Not uniformity, but a deepening dislike of episcopacy, however modified, was rapidly diffusing itself. Whatever concession might have done ten years before, its day had passed, and it was powerless now. Indeed it was no longer sought : subversion, not concession, became henceforth the cry of the puritans.

7. The queen resented the introduction of the bill : how indeed could she do otherwise ? “ It was prejudicial to the religion established, to the

\* Neal i. vii. 377. Strype's Whitgift, i. 490.



crown, to her government, and her subjects ;” above all “ it was an invasion of her prerogative ;” and several members of the house, who had supported the bill, were committed to the Tower. Had it been adopted by the legislature it would have involved churchmen in the same oppressions which the puritans found to be intolerable. It is strange that neither party seems at present to have conceived the possibility, or indeed to have understood the meaning, of toleration. Each side thought it could exist only by the destruction of the other. The possibility of an establishment surrounded by dissenting institutions, independent but not hostile, the peaceful theory of the generous Doddridge and of Dr. Chalmers in later days, had not yet crossed the mind of man ! No such compromise was sought or offered. It was for victory they strove on both sides : a victory that included the extermination of the vanquished.

8. A feeble effort was made once more on behalf of the puritans in 1592.\* Two bills were introduced to relieve the consciences of the non-conforming clergy, and to restrain the violence of the court of high commission ; especially the monstrous tyranny which, it seems, it still continued to practise, of depriving those who took the oath *ex officio* upon their own confessions ; and of imprisoning those who refused it, as obstinate or contumacious. The bill was supported by sir Francis Knollys, and by Mr. Morrice. The latter held an office in the gift of the crown ; the

CHAPTER  
VII.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1587.

\* Neal i. vi. 425.

CHAPTER VII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1587.

former was a statesman of the highest reputation; and both were puritans. But the queen had sufficient power to stop the proceedings: she sent for sir Edward Coke, the speaker, and commanded him on his allegiance not to read the bill should it be laid before the house. Morrice was seized in the house itself; dismissed from his office (he was chancellor of the duchy of Lancaster); disabled from practising in his profession as a common lawyer; and kept for some years a prisoner in Tutbury castle. Holding a judicial office from the crown, the queen no doubt regarded him strictly as a servant, and punished him as a contumacious one. The house of commons did not resent the double insult offered to them in the person of their speaker, and of one of their leading members. Circumstances had recently occurred which afforded the queen an excuse for the resumption of arbitrary power, and placed the cause of the puritans at the greatest disadvantage. It was but four years since the enthusiasm of the people had been deeply stirred by the appearance of the armada upon the coasts of England. It was now at length evident that the protestant cause and the national independence had been in the greatest jeopardy; and, besides, the life of the most popular of English sovereigns had been repeatedly threatened by assassins. Popular enthusiasm is always in extremes; it often passes from one side to another at a single bound. Something of this kind now appears to have taken place. Otherwise it is hardly possible to reconcile the placidity with which the nation looked on, and

saw the house of commons insulted, and a vast number of its favourite ministers silenced or imprisoned, with its uneasiness a few years before under circumstances by no means so critical and alarming. The nation thought, no doubt, that a season of repose was wanted ; that no factious voice, no difference of opinion, ought to be heard ; that a firm united front ought to be opposed to the intrigues of the papacy and the jesuits ; and of those foreign powers who regarded with equal jealousy and wonder the bursting power and greatness of an empire which they had hitherto regarded with indifference, often with disdain.

CHAPTER  
VII.ELIZ.  
A. D. 1588

9. Two other causes must be added, which go far to explain the reaction that had taken place, and the want of sympathy now shewn by the nation at large towards the puritans and their friends in parliament.

10. One of these was the ill-timed publication of the Martin Mar-prelate pamphlets. Nothing could be more abusive than their language, or more suspicious than the time and mode of their appearance. The year 1588 was signalized by the overthrow of the armada, and the execution of Mary queen of Scots. The object of the former was undisguised ; it was the subjugation of the realm to popery and to a foreign power. Whatever may have been the verdict of history with regard to the latter, it was then universally allowed by the common people, and by all the puritans, that Mary suffered a righteous sentence, having com-

1588.

CHAPTER VII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1588.

passed the death of their own sovereign. Yet Martin Mar-prelate seized the inauspicious moment for the issue of a series of anonymous libels against the queen and bishops, of the most atrocious character. Who or what the writers were, rests, like the authorship of Junius, in profoundest mystery. The censorship of the press was in Whitgift's hands; it is scarcely necessary to add that it was severely exercised. Yet the Martin Mar-prelate tracts scoffed at his threats, denounced his office, and yet escaped his vigilance. Every modern advocate of the puritans condemns them, as most unworthy of their cause; and the great puritan leaders, when they first appeared, spoke of them, let it in justice be remembered, with deep dissatisfaction. But their influence on the common people was great notwithstanding; and in consequence they brought down a weight of suspicion and dislike from the ruling powers, which fell heavily upon the whole body of the puritans. Martin Mar-prelate's press was shifted from place to place. Its secrecy of course added to its importance; while the vexation of Whitgift and the court, expressed in fruitless denunciations and in vindictive punishments, increased its mischievous popularity. A proclamation was issued for the discovery of the authors, which, from whatever cause, is rather remarkable for its moderation. The defamatory libels are described "as drawn up in railing sort, and beyond the bounds of all good humanity; as designed to introduce dangerous changes in the form of doctrine in public service; and with a rash

and malicious purpose to dissolve the state of the prelacy, being one of three antient estates of this realm under her highness." All men are forbidden to retain the libels in their possession; but if within a month any person who knew their authors or publishers, should discover the same, he was assured that for his former concealment he should not be molested or troubled.\* But the libels were still published with impunity. The press was tracked from Surrey to more than one parish in Northamptonshire; thence to Coventry; from Coventry to Woolston; from Woolston to Manchester; where it was seized, in Newton-lane, by the earl of Derby.† The earliest formal struggle upon record in our English history, of the press against the government; and one in every way instructive! The triumph of the court party was, after all, equivocal; for what honour could be gained by the seizure of a printing press? Its mischievous importance had, in a great measure, been owing to themselves. Libels of this kind, if unnoticed, lose half their power: treated with contempt, they sink into insignificance: and the mischief was already done; for the libels had spread far and wide, but the real authors, the guilty parties, were never discovered.

11. But to those who are engaged in religious controversies, or who contend for the removal of abuses in the church of Christ, the

\* A proclamation against certain seditious and schismatical books, and libels. London: printed by Barker, 1588.

† Fuller iii. ix. 100.



CHAPTER  
VII.

---

ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1588—92.

Martin Mar-prelate pamphlets convey a solemn lesson. Their insolent and seditious spirit,—terribly visited at the time upon those they were meant to serve,—has been, however unjustly, charged upon the puritans ever since by most of their opponents. They have never ceased to be spoken of as conveying the real meaning of their party, and honestly confessing to its secret intentions. The vindictive passions they have elicited among high churchmen, are only, if at all, inferior to those to which they once gave expression among ultra puritans. Martin's forty pamphlets were answered by at least an equal number, scarcely less truculent or less contemptuous of the christian virtues of forbearance, truth, and charity. They remain in history, on both sides, a melancholy record of the wickedness and folly of approaching religious controversy in a malignant spirit. Granting that the cause be right, what is it else than to fight the battle of the prince of peace with weapons snatched out of the armouries of hell?

12. Another circumstance which tended greatly, though most unjustly, to discredit the puritan cause, was the insane attempt of a madman and his two associates to subvert the government. Calmly viewed, and at this distance of time, the affair seems utterly unworthy of a place in history; for history does not record the melancholy aberrations of the insane: she teaches by example, and from such examples nothing can be learned.

William Hacket\* had given evidences of insanity from his youth : he was violent and a fanatic ; he boasted of his intercourse with heaven by visions and revelations ; and attested his veracity whenever it was questioned with direful oaths and execrations. He thought himself invulnerable ; and challenged any one who pleased, to test his Achillean properties, and wound or kill him. As his disease increased, he successively proclaimed himself the sovereign of Europe, the saviour of the world, and at length the Deity himself. Had not the villanies of Joseph Mormon, and the frenzy of Joanna Southcote, in our own age, taught us that no pretensions of this nature seem utterly preposterous to a certain class of minds, it would have been inexplicable that even *two* converts should have been gained by such a pleader, and to such a cause. Edmund Coppinger, a person of good descent, undertook to be his “prophet of mercy ;” and Henry Arthington, a Yorkshire gentleman, his “prophet of judgment.” They proclaimed, from a cart in Cheapside, the advent of Hacket’s reign ; which they said was supreme in all things, both spiritual and temporal. The crowd was great ; but they gained no converts amongst the people, who saw them all three committed to Bridewell the next day, with perfect indifference : though some few even then conceived Bedlam the most proper place for them. Hacket was tried for high treason and hanged,

CHAPTER  
VII.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1592.

\* Fuller iii. ix. 114. Conspiracy for a pretended reformation, &c. by Richd. Cosin, LL.D. published by authority. 1592.

CHAPTER VII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1592.

uttering at the last expressions which would indeed have been horribly blasphemous, had there been the slightest reason to suppose that the unhappy wretch was conscious of their meaning. Coppinger died in prison the next day ; having, it was said, starved himself to death. Arthington confessed his folly and was pardoned.

13. It does not appear that the slightest ill-consequences followed this mad outbreak. The people looked on with detestation, or with contempt ; nor was it pretended that the queen's authority had been for an hour impaired, far less imperilled. But Hacket and his associates had been smitten with a love of the Geneva discipline, as it now began to be termed ; and amongst other reformatations, they had resolved upon the destruction of the church of England. It was discovered too, or at least asserted, that Cartwright had corresponded with Coppinger. A letter from Coppinger, but one of no consequence, was found in Udal's possession : but Cartwright proved that he had refused to see him (for he was himself a prisoner), and that he had warned his friends from the first to be aware of Coppinger, as a man in a state of mental derangement. One fact alone is sufficient for his justification : no steps were taken to convict him as an accomplice. Dark suspicions were thrown out ; and Dr. Cosin, the dean of arches, and principal official to Whitgift, wrote a treatise, in which he insinuated that the conspiracy was encouraged by the party, and that it was a repetition of the outrages of the German anabap-

tists. Other writers have continued to repeat the slander; "for," as Fuller quaintly observes, "it is the glutinous nature of all assertions to stick where they light." The same historian adds, that the puritans were so hated at court in consequence, that for many months together no favorite durst present a petition to the queen on their behalf. Cosin argues at length against the supposition of the insanity of Hacket and the others, in a strain equally discreditable to his heart and his understanding.

CHAPTER  
VII.

ELIZ.  
A. D. 1592.

14. While the government was in this temper, an act was passed which was never known to confer the slightest benefit on the church of England, while it has given occasion to its adversaries to load it ever since with the bitterest invectives. It is a mournful instance of panic legislation. Its provisions could not be enforced but at the certain risk of an odium such as no institution could sustain amongst any people who had escaped from a state of barbarism, and were not restrained by martial law or the instant terrors of the Romish inquisition. Resistance was certain; and one would gladly think that the law was intended merely as an empty menace. It enacted\* that if any person above the age of sixteen years should obstinately refuse to repair to some church or chapel, to hear divine service and common prayer, he should be, on conviction, committed to prison, without bail or mainprize, until

\* An act to retain the queen's subjects, &c., 35 Eliz. c. 1. It was directed against the Brownists and Barrowists, &c. Price's Hist. Non. Con. i. 405.

CHAPTER VII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1592.

he should conform and make public confession of his conformity in terms prescribed by the statute itself. Refusing to conform, the delinquent was banished for life; and, returning home without the queen's licence, was liable to suffer death as a felon. The same penalties were incurred by a month's absence from church without some lawful cause; by persuading others to deny or impugn her majesty's power and authority in causes ecclesiastical; or by inducing others to abstain from church, or to be present at conventicles. The law was chiefly aimed against the Brownists and Romanists. Cartwright, and such as he, who still conformed, were not affected by it. But it is a dangerous experiment to goad a restive conscience; and the act before us was pregnant with calamities. It excited opposition in those who had hitherto yielded at least a formal acquiescence. It placed every man in whom the first seeds of dissatisfaction had been sown, upon trial before his own conscience; and pride, if no higher motive, would compel a verdict against conformity. There was no room for affection beneath an iron discipline; no merit in love and allegiance to the church, when the absence of it amounted to a felony. Thus warmth of feeling, and the enthusiasm that inspires the feeblest cause with life and makes it triumph at last, passed over to the puritans. A cold and military discipline, heartless, exact, and formal, remained with the party who, unhappily for its highest interests, controlled, in this affair, the destinies of the church of England.



## CHAPTER VIII.

1. CHAPTER  
VIII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1592. **W**HATEVER differences had hitherto separated the puritans and prelatists, doctrinal questions had no place amongst them. It was not till near the close of the reign of Elizabeth, that any serious points of doctrine were agitated on which, in fact, there did not exist a perfect harmony between the contending parties. There are other matters, inferior in interest and importance only to the vital doctrines of revelation, on which an unceasing warfare has long raged among the various bodies of English christians, upon which no note of difference had yet been sounded. Whether it be accepted in proof of tenderness of conscience, or of mere pertinacity, it is certain that the grounds of the struggle in which the puritans suffered so long, and often so unjustly; were trifling when compared to other grievances which have rent the church of Christ. Of the questions which have arrayed almost every class of dissenters in hostility against the church of England, or still more unhappily her own members against

CHAPTER each other, those which have since assumed the  
 VIII. foreground were yet unknown. With regard to  
 ELIZ. the method of salvation, the nature of the sacra-  
 A. D. 1592. ments, the character of the christian ministry, and  
 the national establishment of religion, there was  
 no dispute. The controversy, when it had raged  
 for forty years, was still confined to the ceremonial  
 of religion, and to the purity not of its doctrines  
 but of its external fabric.

2. Painful disputes have now for a long time  
 existed, even amongst orthodox christians, upon  
 some doctrines the importance of which it is  
 scarcely possible to overstate. A discussion of  
 these points belongs to theology, and not to his-  
 tory; nor is it possible, without a long induction  
 of proofs and arguments, to do full justice to the  
 points raised, and the questions at issue on either  
 side. The reader will sufficiently understand the  
 controversy to which we refer when we say that  
 it concerns chiefly the method of a sinner's jus-  
 tification in the sight of God. Whether it is by  
 faith in Jesus Christ alone, or by faith and good  
 works conjoined. The advocates of the former  
 view charge their opponents with dimming the  
 lustre of the gospel, and embarrassing the pe-  
 nitent with conditions which Christ himself  
 has not imposed. They maintain that the prin-  
 ciples they so unceasingly condemn, tend to  
 self-righteousness, are subversive of evangelical  
 obedience, and therefore injurious to man and  
 dishonourable to God. On the other hand, it is  
 asserted that these evangelical principles, if not

inconsistent with a high and pure morality, are at least in no degree conducive to it; that they tend to inflame the imagination rather than to influence the conduct; and, in a word, to make religion more an affair of sentiment than practice. The charge brought by the former party against the latter is, that the truths they teach, though important in their place, are not the gospel of Jesus Christ. The latter reply, in general, with imputing enthusiasm to their opponents. Round these centres other great questions of christian doctrine revolve in their respective orbits. But the points we have mentioned thus briefly are the pivot, in either case, of a system upon which the rest depend. The doctrine of a divine influence with regard to its necessity and extent; and that of the depravity of human nature, whether entire or partial, are closely affected, it must be evident, by the manner in which the doctrine of justification is previously determined. In short, a system of theology, with vast and various consequences, depends, by necessary consequence, upon the determination of this one point;—as Luther perceived when, in words which have become proverbial, he singled out the doctrine of justification by faith only as the token of a living or a sinking church.

3. But these controversies had not yet arisen, nor had the opinions of those who oppose the doctrine of justification by faith only,—the entire depravity of man by nature, and the consequent necessity of a conversion or renewal of the soul

CHAPTER  
VIII.ELIZ.  
A. D. 1592.

CHAPTER  
VIII.

---

ELIZ.  
A. D. 1592.

by the Holy Spirit, introducing him into a new state,—in which he enjoys the divine favour, and inherits the promise of eternal life,—at this time found expression either amongst the puritans or their adversaries. Indeed their perfect consent upon every doctrinal point stands out in the most striking contrast with their vehement disputes upon almost every question of church policy. Those of the puritans who carried matters to the greatest length, and even forsook in after times the communion of the church of England, retained her doctrinal peculiarities, and long insisted on subscription to her doctrinal articles as a test of orthodoxy. As they slowly retired, they carried with them, as a treasure of the highest worth, the substance of her forms, and the vital principles which pervaded them. Many of them thought that the vehicle was too gross, the form too cumbrous, for the precious truth enshrined in it; but none of them affected to deny that the doctrines of the church of England were the pure doctrines of the gospel; nor did they charge her with being, in this sense, an unfaithful witness to the truth of God. Rude and fierce was their assault upon “the pope at Lambeth,” yet they did not challenge Whitgift himself with unsound doctrine. In their bitterest moments, when they reviled the church of England as the church of anti-christ, they applied their censure no further than to what they thought its antichristian practices; they never charged it with antichristian doctrines. If, as may possibly have been the case, a few ex-

pressions drawn from the writings of the most violent or least scrupulous of the puritans, should seem to be inconsistent with this assertion, they produced no impression; and were unheeded by the great leaders in the controversy. In a summary of the points which went to make up the quarrel, such charges, if such were made, may safely be thrown out of the enumeration as the mere expression of petulance and folly: they made no impression: the poisoned weapon fell harmless to the ground.

4. The system of divinity embraced by the church of England, and indeed by all the reformed churches, was that which has since been known as moderate calvinism. But the title is incorrect as regards the church of England; because, in the first place, her system was not derived through Calvin, nor based on his authority; and in the second, it differed from the system which he taught in some points of great importance. Had the founders of the reformed church of England sought for the sanction of a name, it is probable that Bullinger, not Calvin, would have been their leader; and his *Decades*, rather than Calvin's *Institutes*, would have been made their text book. For Bullinger was better known in England, and his fame was high for wisdom amongst us, while the name of Calvin was still obscure. The convocation of 1786 ordered that every minister, under the degree of B.A. or M.A. and not licensed, should provide Bullinger's *decades* in Latin or English, and every week read at least as much as would

CHAPTER  
VIII.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1592--95.



CHAPTER equal one sermon. In 1785, Aylmer issued the  
 VIII. same order to the London clergy ; probably the  
 ELIZ. most learned at that period of the clerical  
 A. D. 1595. body. The principles of the reformation and  
 its doctrines, were settled, and finally deter-  
 mined, without reference to Calvin.\* Still it was  
 improbable that a work of such extraordinary  
 merit as the “Institutions of the Christian Reli-  
 gion,” should not make an impression wherever  
 it found its way ; or that it would not find its  
 way into every protestant university in Europe.  
 It was read both at Oxford and Cambridge, with  
 the sanction of the university ; and from this cir-  
 cumstance sprung up the first dissensions in the  
 English church upon the doctrines of the chris-  
 tian faith. The controversy arose at Cambridge,  
 where the two professors of divinity were opposed  
 to each other on the doctrines (since then so  
 often perverted into an occasion of strife and dis-  
 cord), of election and final perseverance. An in-  
 ferior member of the university rashly took up the  
 quarrel in a strain which increased the mischief ;  
 denouncing from the pulpit of St. Mary’s, Beza,  
 Calvin, and the foreign reformers by name, and  
 condemning their writings in the mass. He was  
 censured by Whitgift ; and quitted the university  
 in disgust.

5. Whitgift, with the design of appeasing the  
 disturbance, drew up the famous Lambeth arti-  
 cles,—which have, unhappily, occasioned greater

\* Even Heylin, always anxious to exaggerate the evil influences of Calvinism, repeatedly makes this admission. Hist. Reformat. i. 134, &c. and Introd. to Laud. Sect. 4.

and more lasting schisms than they were meant to heal. They were nine in number ; expressed in Latin, and approved, though with a certain degree of hesitation, by Hutton archbishop of York, Fletcher bishop of London, Young of Rochester, by Dr. Whitaker of Cambridge, and some other divines. They were as follows :\*

CHAPTER  
VIII.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1595.

Oct. 1595.

i. God from eternity has predestinated some persons to life ; some he has reprobated to death.

ii. The moving or efficient cause of predestination to life is not the foreseeing of faith, or of perseverance, or of good works, or of anything which may exist in the person predestinated, but the will and pleasure of God alone.

iii. Of the predestinated the number is certain and fore-ordained, it can neither be increased nor diminished.

iv. They who are not predestinated to salvation shall necessarily be condemned for their sins.

v. True, living, and justifying faith, and the Spirit of God justifying, is not extinguished, does not fail, or vanish in the elect, either finally or totally.

vi. A man truly faithful, that is, endued with justifying faith, has the full assurance of faith, of the remission of his sins, and of his eternal salvation by Christ.

vii. Saving grace, by which they might be saved if they would, is not assigned, communicated, or granted to all men.

viii. No one is able to come to Christ unless it

\* Fuller iii. c. ix. 147 ; Strype's Whitgift, 463.

CHAPTER VIII. be given him, and unless the Father draw him,  
and all men are not drawn by the Father, so that  
they may come to the Son.

ELIZ. ix. It is not placed within the power or will of  
A. D. 1595. every man to be saved.

These articles were transmitted to Cambridge by the archbishop, with an injunction that they should be received by the university, and form the standard of its teaching upon the controverted points. This was done, he said, simply to explain the undoubted meaning of the church of England, and not with any intention of imposing new statutes or interpretations.

6. But the result proved that his measures had been rashly taken. In the first place, divines, even of the school of Calvin, were not prepared to receive with implicit submission the dogmas enunciated in the Lambeth articles. These awful and mysterious questions are treated by the great Genevan with a reverential solemnity which strongly contrasts with the sententious dogmatism of the archbishop. His paper is drawn up in a style of composition much affected by men of clear but narrow minds; and with a hardness in its brief and positive assertions such as, in general, marks a religion wanting in that which is in truth the soul of piety, deep reverence and humility. Charity has lost much by these compendious summaries; in which a decision is given within the compass of a sentence, or the clause of a sentence, upon points on which the wisest and best men are most prone to hesitate; while, in re-

gard to theological truth and accuracy, nothing whatever has been gained.\* It will always be found, indeed, that something has been pared away from the grandeur of divine truth as revealed in scripture before it could be fitted into the cramped and narrow mould of a human system. Besides, the Lambeth articles pronounced with confidence upon several points on which the church of England had maintained a profound silence; and these were the most difficult and painful in the controversy. To go no further, the first article asserts a doctrine neither taught nor implied in the standards of the English church, the doctrine of reprobation. The wise caution of our reformers, in abstaining from dogmatic assertions on this and some other fruitful sources of unprofitable strife, and confining the national creed as much as possible to the essentials of salvation, is alone sufficient to counterbalance all their faults, and to claim for them the highest

CHAPTER  
VIII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

\* The following passage from the Rev. E. Bickersteth's *Christian Student* is well deserving of attention. "The leading divines in queen Elizabeth's reign must be considered as making rather a more distinct and explicit statement of Calvinistic doctrine than their predecessors. Calvin's Institutes were read in the schools by order of convocation. Indeed an ultra-Calvinism, which appears to have been maintained by some, rather than the scriptural divinity of our first reformers, led, as might be expected, to opposing statements in doctrine. . . . There was, however, in the author's view, a serious evil in thus attempting to fathom the unfathomable mind of Jehovah. Where angels probably adore in silent submission, men with too little humility, and with presumptuous curiosity, have either opposed or evaded his plain declaration, or not stopping in the words of scripture, scrutinized the mind and character of the only wise God. How just was bishop Carleton's view of this! 'In the matter of predestination, I have ever been fearful to meddle: it is one of the greatest and deepest of God's mysteries. We are with reverence to wonder, and with faith and humility to follow that which God has revealed on this point, and there to stay.'" (Bickersteth, p. 235.)

CHAPTER place in our regards. But it was well for the  
 VIII. church, in this instance, that Elizabeth, with her  
 ELIZ. royal prerogative, interfered. She was much dis-  
 A. D. 1595. pleased, she said in a letter which Cecil wrote by  
 her command to the archbishop,\* that any per-  
 mission had been given by his grace and his bre-  
 thren for such points to be disputed; the matter  
 being tender and dangerous to weak, ignorant  
 minds: and she commanded him to suspend his  
 articles, and to put a stop to the discussion of the  
 subject from the pulpit. Under all the circum-  
 stances, it was a judicious exercise of the power  
 entrusted to the secular head of the church. But  
 such is the carelessness of party writers, that the  
 queen's interference is often represented as the  
 condemnation by authority of calvinistic tenets:  
 whereas she wisely abstained from expressing a  
 judgment upon the truth of the articles, and spoke  
 only of their difficulty; following in this the mo-  
 deration of our seventeenth article, on the doc-  
 trine of predestination,—and we may add, of Cal-  
 vin himself, than whom no man has spoken more  
 strongly upon the danger and folly of approaching  
 these discussions in an unguarded temper, or with-  
 out the deepest reverence.†

7. Thus the matter for the present was stifled,  
 but the embers smouldered, and broke out from  
 time to time in fierce conflagrations. It happen-  
 ed too, by one of those strange transitions, the  
 result of obscure and complex movements, which  
 wear, in the eyes of posterity, an appearance of  
 absurd and violent inconsistency, that within a

\* Neal i. ch. viii. 457.    † Instit. Lib. iii. cap. 21.



few years, Whitgift's ultra calvinism became the heritage of the ultra-puritans; whose successors, in the unceasing conflicts they waged with the church of England, learned habitually to fall back upon Whitgift's articles, in proof of their own lineal descent from a lofty parentage. And it must certainly be confessed, that if he embarrassed the puritans while he lived, with his needless severities; he has made them some amends by perplexing the church of England ever since with painful controversies. But to this subject we shall have occasion to revert hereafter.

CHAPTER  
VIII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

8. The nature of the sacraments, another fruitful source of controversy in later times, had not yet disturbed the church of England. With one accord, it was held that they were signs and seals of grace, and that the reception of the grace conveyed, depended upon their right reception. Between the puritans and the highest of the church party there existed upon these points no difference whatever. The tone and services of the book of common prayer were objected to, it is true; but this was not the ground of objection. Kneeling at the eucharist was a grievance, not because the remonstrants were dissatisfied with the teaching of the church of England, as to the qualities remaining after consecration in the bread and wine; nor because they disputed as to the nature of the blessings connected with the sacrament; but simply because they thought the posture superstitious, and that it became them to protest against a usage practised in the church of

CHAPTER  
VIII.

---

ELIZ.

A. D. 1595.

Rome, and by her perverted to idolatry. So too, they objected to the cross in baptism. The sacrament, they said, was complete without it; and they denied the right of any church to add to the Saviour's institutions; besides that the sign of the cross was in itself an especial offence to them. They disliked the office, and the name, of of godfathers and godmothers. But it was not because they had any scruples as to the pledges made by the sureties on the child's behalf; but because they thought the parents, or natural guardians of the child, the fittest persons to make them. Seceders from the English church in later times have found their greatest grievances in these offices, and in the doctrines they are supposed to inculcate. But here the puritans, down to the close of queen Elizabeth's reign, had raised no complaints.—Their objections extended no further than to questions of form and manner and church discipline.

9. Indeed the unanimity of the reformed churches throughout Europe, upon the nature of the sacraments, is not a little remarkable. It was one of the great questions of the reformation. It was the hinge of the whole controversy with Rome. Every martyr was examined upon this, many of them on no other, point. The primitive christian in the days of Trajan, might have escaped the sword of the executioner, if he would have scattered a few grains of incense upon the next pagan altar. Our English martyrs would have been spared the horrors of the stake, had they been willing to pay a similar respect to the altars of

the papacy, and adore the real presence in the consecrated bread. And it is monstrous to suppose that a considerable body of men of sense and learning, (assuming on their behalf neither integrity nor holiness) should have squandered their lives in defence of opinions they had not investigated; or that all their powers had not indeed been fixed, with an energy intense and deep in proportion to their own peril, upon the inquiry on the result of which depended, if nothing else, the alternative of a life of honour or a death of agony and shame. Thus, if there be a theological subject which can properly be said to have been exhausted by the researches of former times,—to have been placed by our forefathers in such a flood of light that no additional ray has been seen to gleam upon it,—we are disposed to place the sacraments, as expounded by the reformers, in that predicament. The obscurity that remains appears to be inherent; whatever light wisdom and piety and massive learning can shed upon them, seems to have been imparted.

CHAPTER  
VIII.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

10. It would not be difficult to shew that all the foreign churches taught, with scarcely a perceptible shade of difference, the doctrines of our own. The presbyterian church of Scotland expresses herself in language entirely consonant with that of the English church, whether in our office for baptism, our articles, or our catechism; and in language of equal strength. "We assuredly believe that by baptism we are engrafted into Christ Jesus, to be made partakers of his justice, by which our sins are covered and remitted: and

CHAPTER

VIII.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1595.

that also in the supper, rightly used, Christ Jesus is so joined with us that he becometh the very nourishment and food of our souls. But all this, we say, cometh of true faith, which apprehendeth Christ Jesus, who only maketh his sacraments effectual unto us." Thus she speaks in her confession,\* which was first exhibited to, and allowed by, the three estates in parliament, at Edinburgh, in the year 1560; again ratified at the same place, and on the same authority, in 1567; and finally subscribed by the king and his household, at Holyrood house, in 1581. Seventy years afterwards, when the presbyterian divines assembled at Westminster to remodel the church of England, and to carry out the most fervent aspirations of Cartwright in his younger days, the doctrine of the sacraments was still the same. Of baptism they say: "By the right use of this ordinance the grace promised is not only offered, but really exhibited, and conferred by the Holy Ghost, to such, whether of age, or infants, as that grace belongeth unto, according to the counsel of God's own will, in his appointed time."† And of the eucharist to the same effect: "Worthy receivers, outwardly partaking of the visible elements in this sacrament, do also inwardly by faith, really and indeed, yet not carnally and corporeally, but spiritually, receive and feed upon Christ crucified, and all benefits of his death; the body and blood of Christ being then not corporeally or carnally in, with,

\* Harmony of Protestant Confessions.

† Westminster Confession, ch. 28.

or under the bread and wine, yet as really, but spiritually, present to the faith of believers in that ordinance, as the elements themselves are to the outward senses.”\*

CHAPTER  
VIII.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1595.

11. These may be received as the views of the puritans themselves; including that extreme section, who would have overthrown episcopacy, and established in England a presbyterian or an independent church. For the church of Scotland naturally felt, and, indeed, formally expressed, its sympathy with the English puritans: and the Westminster divines, when the puritans properly so called had died out, succeeded in their place. Lord Bacon may be taken as a fair and, all must admit, a competent representative of the church party. Though averse to Whitgift's severity, and, in common with the other courtiers and statesmen of the day, not well pleased to be overshadowed by the splendours of the hierarchy, still he was no puritan; he thought their scruples needless, if not schismatical. He has left on record a confession of his faith which might, for the doctrines it contains, have been written indifferently by Cartwright or by Whitgift,—by an imprisoned puritan or by the head of the church of England. For its singular force and beauty, it well deserves a place in a religious history of those times. And it will confirm the position we have endeavoured to establish, that theological differences on points of doctrine had no share in the disputes which then rent the church of England.†

\* Westminster Confession, ch. 29.

† “The sufferings and merits of Christ, as they are sufficient to do



CHAPTER  
VIII.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1595.

12. The authority of the christian ministry,—the source from whence it is derived, and the channel along which it flows,—has now for a long time been agitated with incessant heat amongst the various classes of religionists in England. It was not, however, one of those points upon which the puritans entertained any peculiar sentiments; or differed from their opponents of the other party. This root of bitterness had not yet sprung up: who were, and who were not, accredited ministers of Jesus Christ, was a point upon which a perfect agreement as yet existed between them. It was in the year 1589 that Bancroft, then chaplain to Whitgift, but afterwards bishop of London and archbishop of Canterbury, preached a sermon at St. Paul's cross which at once opened a new strife.

away the sins of the whole world, so they are *only effectual* to those which are regenerate by the Holy Ghost; who breatheth where he will of free grace; which grace, as a seed incorruptible, quickeneth the spirit of man, and conceiveth him *anew a son of God, and a member of Christ*: so that Christ, having man's flesh, and man having Christ's Spirit, there is an open passage, and mutual imputation, whereby sin and wrath was conveyed to Christ from man, and merit and life is conveyed to man from Christ: which seed of the Holy Ghost first *figureth* in us, the image of Christ slain or crucified, *through a lively faith*, and then reneweth in us the image of God in holiness and charity; though both imperfectly, and in degrees far differing, *even in God's elect*, as well in regard of the fire of the Spirit, as of the illumination thereof, which is more or less in a large proportion, as namely, in the Church before Christ, which yet, nevertheless, was partaker of one and the same salvation with us, and of one and the same means of salvation with us. The work of the Spirit, though it be *not tied to any means* in heaven or earth, yet it is *ordinarily* dispensed by the preaching of the word, the administration of the Sacraments, the covenants of the fathers upon the children, prayer, reading; the censures of the church, the society of the godly, the cross and afflictions, God's benefits, his judgments upon others, miracles, the contemplation of his creatures; *all which, though some be more principal*, God useth as the *means of vocation* and conversion of his elect; not derogating from his power to call immediately by his grace and at all hours and moments of the day, that is, of man's life, according to his good pleasure."—Works, vol. ii. p. 470. Edit. 1826.

He maintained in his sermon, that bishops were, by the institution of God himself, an order in the christian ministry superior to priests and deacons, and distinct from them; and that they governed the church and the inferior clergy, *jure divino*, by a right inherent to their office, and derived from God alone. The denial of these truths, he said, was heresy.\*

CHAPTER  
VIII.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1595.

13. Episcopalians attach grave importance and high dignity to the office of a bishop. They trace it to the purest ages of the church, and discover, as they conceive, unequivocal tokens of its existence as a separate, and a superior, order in the ministry during the apostolic age itself. And, in general, they believe that our Lord, addressing himself through St. John at Patmos, to the seven angels of the churches that were in Asia, recognized in them the superior claims of the episcopate, and gave to their offices the awful sanction of his own approval. Thus far all episcopalians agree, and all are willing, in the sense here explained, to admit the apostolic institution, and the divine right, of the episcopacy; since the head of the church sanctioned, if he did not expressly found, it; and whatever powers it is intrusted with, are derived from his commission.

14. The subject was viewed in this light at the period of the reformation. The antiquity of the office, its validity and its importance, were questions upon which there was no dispute. Beza and Calvin admit them on behalf of the foreign churches; who dispensed with the episcopate, for

\* Strype, Whitgift, 292. Neal i. 389.

CHAPTER VIII.  
 ELIZ.  
 A. D. 1595.

the most part, with extreme reluctance, and in submission to a necessity which they could not, or thought they could not, control. And they justified their presbyterian forms of government by the plea, that they did in fact retain the thing, if not the name: that a primitive bishop was but *primus inter pares*, the first among his brethren; endowed with powers which they too virtually possessed as presbyters, but from the exercise of which they voluntarily abstained for the sake of common order and united action. And this view of the episcopate prevailed extensively in England, not only amongst the puritans, but with many of the prelatie party.

15. Others, regarding the office with still deeper reverence, considered the bishop a superior officer, not only in the rank he held, but in the commission he bore; they regarded the tripartite distribution of the christian ministry as that which Christ enjoined, and his disciples set on foot and practised. They thought it necessary to the perfection of a christian church; and consequently regarded the foreign churches, and the church of Scotland, as hastily constructed, and deviating, perhaps rashly, from the ancient practice and the primitive constitution of a church. But this was the extreme length to which they carried their dissatisfaction. They did not hold episcopacy to be one of the essentials of a church; but they held it to be one of the chief ornaments and strongest points of a well constructed one. Their moderation may be seen in the offices of the English church. If there be “a power peculiar unto

bishops," as Hooker speaks, it is unquestionably that of ordaining deacons and presbyters. Yet the church of England associates presbyters with the bishop in the solemn rite of ordaining others by laying on of hands. A signal instance of moderation; because the practice of primitive antiquity did not necessarily require it. It was the custom of some antient churches, not by any means of all of them. We can regard it only as a voluntary concession; a concession that involved, however, no real disparagement of the episcopate. For, as Hooker well remarks, there are no examples in the history of the church of Christ in primitive ages, of ordination performed by presbyters alone, without the presence of a bishop; but there are numerous examples of ordinations by the bishops only, without the assistance of a presbyter. Still Hooker does not hesitate to admit that there may be sometimes "very just and sufficient reasons to allow ordination without a bishop:" there may be "the exigencies of necessity," where "the church must needs have some ordained, and neither has, nor possibly can have, a bishop to ordain them." In such a case the ordinary institution of God, he says, "has often given, and may give, place."\* Hooker wrote under the eye of Whitgift, and these moderate sentiments we must suppose were still those of the primate, and of the church of England. But Bancroft's views extended much further. He viewed the episcopate in such a light as to maintain that no church could exist without

CHAPTER  
VIII.ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

\* Eccl. Pol. book vii. 14.

CHAPTER VIII. it; no orders were valid which bishops had not conferred: and of course no obedience, no respect was due to those, however devout or however gifted, who exercised the functions of the christian ministry, unless by their authority. This amounted, in fact, to an attack upon the foundations of all churches that were not episcopal: the inference was contained within the premises, and the time came when it was avowed. The cautious policy of queen Elizabeth was not likely to embrace new and extreme opinions. Her ministers were too far-sighted not to perceive at once that the blow which was meant to stun the puritans would recoil against some of her majesty's best allies abroad. At first the queen was rather pleased than otherwise, and checked the zeal of Knollys, who called her attention to the probable ill consequences of these novel statements; but her more cautious advisers seem to have prevailed, and Bancroft's theory of the episcopate was suffered to fall into neglect. Whitgift is said to have remarked, that he rather wished than believed it to be true. This would be consistent with his character: in which, with a severity which was its greatest blot, were mingled an integrity and an honesty of purpose that would not stoop to reach their aims by any means which seemed to him unworthy of his office and his cause.

16. Hitherto episcopal ordination had not been considered as of the essence of the ministerial commission; indeed there are several remarkable instances in which presbyterian ministers were not only beneficed in the church of England, but



enjoyed its distinctions and filled some of its highest posts. The case of Whittingham dean of Durham is well known. He was presented to the deanery, soon after Elizabeth's accession, in 1563, having received orders from the reformed church at Geneva, in the presbyterian manner. It does not appear that his want of episcopal ordination would have rendered him obnoxious, had it not been for the zeal with which he espoused the puritan opinions upon the subject of the vestments. At length in 1577, Sandys archbishop of York cited him upon several charges, but the principal was his Genevan ordination. Whittingham however asserted the rights of the church of Durham, and challenged the archbishop's power of interference. He then made his appeal to the queen, who directed a commission to hear and determine the objections alleged against him. The president was Hutton dean of York, who is said to have expressed his preference for presbyterian rather than Romish orders in strong language.\* Sandys had sufficient influence to obtain another commission, and of this the lord president was a member. When the question of his ordination had been argued, the lord president exclaimed, "I cannot agree to deprive him for that cause alone: this," he said, "would be ill taken by all the godly, both at home and abroad; that we allow of popish massing priests in our ministry, and disallow of ministers made in a reformed church."

CHAPTER

VIII.

ELIZ.

A.D. 1585.

\* Strype's Annals 523. Neal i. vi. 285.

CHAPTER VIII. The commission was again adjourned, and here the business dropped; for the next year the dean of Durham died.

ELIZ.

A. D.

1585—90.

14. The range within which ordination was considered valid in the church of England in the age succeeding the reformation, is shewn more strongly in the case of Travers, Hooker's celebrated coadjutor at the Temple. Whittingham had been ordained by the church of Geneva, a national institution, the church of a foreign state with which England was on terms of amity; Travers may have been ordained deacon according to the forms of the church of England; (for he had a divinity degree from Cambridge;) but he was a member, from the first, of the presbyterian church at Wandsworth, in 1572. Going abroad, he was ordained a presbyter at Antwerp, by the presbyterian synod there in 1578.\* Yet we find him associated with Hooker, as preacher at the Temple, in 1592. During this long interval, then, his presbyterian orders had been allowed. He was also private tutor in the family of the lord treasurer Cecil. When at length silenced by Whitgift, it was objected to him, first, that he was not a lawfully ordained minister of the church of England; secondly, that he had preached without a licence; thirdly, that he had violated discipline and decency, by his public refutation of what Hooker, his superior in the church, had advanced from the same pulpit upon the same day. Had the first ground been

1592.

felt by his opponents to have been impregnable, the other charges would probably have been omitted, and Travers would have been dismissed, no doubt, in a summary way. But it would seem that the stress was laid chiefly on the two latter articles ; and indeed Travers was prepared with an answer to the first, and with an answer which he did not fail to use.

CHAPTER  
VIII.

ELIZ.  
A. D. 1592.

15. An act had passed in the thirteenth year of queen Elizabeth, under which he was sheltered. It recognizes the validity of foreign orders ; and indeed conveys to us historical evidence that ministers ordained by presbyterian synods were at that time beneficed in the church of England. The anomaly which admits a Romish priest but excludes a presbyter of the Scottish church, did not then exist. It was sufficient that the conforming minister should declare his assent, and subscribe, to the articles of the church of England.\* Travers,

\* The following is the act at length :—"Anno XIII. Regina Elizabetha. A. D. 1570. Chapter 12.—An act for the ministers of the church to be of sound religion.—That the churches of the queen's majesty's dominions may be served with pastors of sound religion. Be it enacted by the authority of this present parliament, that any person under the degree of a bishop, which doth or shall pretend to be a priest or minister of God's holy word and sacraments, by reason of any other form of institution, consecration, or ordering, than the form set forth by parliament in the time of the late king of most worthy memory, kind Edward the sixth, or now used in the reign of our most gracious sovereign lady, before the feast of the nativity of Christ next following, shall, in the presence of the bishop, or guardian of the spiritualities of some one diocese where he hath or shall have ecclesiastical living, declare his assent, and subscribe to all articles of religion which only concern the confession of the true christian faith, and the doctrine of the sacraments, comprised in a book imprinted, intituled, Articles, whereupon it was agreed by the archbishops and bishops of both provinces, and the whole clergy in the convocation holden at London in the year of our Lord God one thousand five hundred and sixty two, according to the computation of the church

CHAPTER VIII. in his petition to the privy council, pleads the force of this statute, and declares that many Scottish ministers were then holding benefices in England beneath its sanction. Attempts, it is true, have been lately made to shew, that as the church of England recognized none but episcopal orders, the act of the thirteenth of Elizabeth cannot possibly refer to presbyterian ministers. But how far this assumption is correct, the passage we have cited from Hooker, and the case of dean Whittingham, to go no further, will at once enable the reader to decide.

ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

16. And though silenced at the Temple, Travers was still thought fit for high service in the church. Doctor Loftus, archbishop of Dublin and chancellor of Ireland, invited him to Dublin, and conferred upon him the office of provost of queen Elizabeth's new and royal foundation. This Travers accepted, and as head of Trinity college the world is indebted to him for the education of the illustrious Usher, archbishop of Armagh. Civil war, the bane of Ireland, at length drove the provost from his post; the times were against him; he grew old and poor. Usher still revered his teacher, visited him in person,

of England, for the avoiding of the diversities of opinions, and for the establishing of consent touching true religion put forth by the queen's authority; and shall bring from such bishop or guardian of spiritualities in writing, under his seal authentick, a testimonial of such assent and subscription; and openly on some Sunday, in the time of the publick service afore noon, in every church where by reason of any ecclesiastical living he ought to attend, read both the said testimonial, and the said articles; upon pain that every such person which shall not before the said feast do as is above appointed, shall be ipso facto deprived, and all his ecclesiastical promotions shall be void, as if he then were naturally dead."



and offered him presents of money; which, it is said, were thankfully declined.

CHAPTER  
VIII.

17. These are the facts of history, on which various reflections will occur. It is enough for our present purpose to suggest, that, bearing in mind the narrow and often acrimonious temper of the puritans, and of Travers himself, upon all, even the most trivial, matters of church government, they were treated, in this point at least, with singular generosity. A minister, of powers such as those which Travers possessed,—himself ordained by foreigners, and by a church which owned another discipline,—permitted from year to year to advocate in the Temple church an entire change of structure and of polity in the English branch of the church catholic, and to do this in contradiction of his superior co-minister,—that superior no less a man than Hooker,—is an instance of dignified forbearance to which few churches can afford a parallel. It is needless to remark, that whatever motives contributed to this gentle treatment, neither fear nor indifference (which so often put on the cloak of forbearance) had the slightest share in them. The friendship of the lord treasurer may have contributed its influence, however, in behalf of Travers.

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1595.

18. Bancroft's sermon was evidently provoked by the violence of the Mar-prelate libels, and of the ultra-puritans. It was one of those acts of retaliation where violence on one side is met with violence upon the other. But even granting



CHAPTER  
VIII.

---

ELIZ.  
A.D. 1595.

all that Bancroft asserted as to the divine right of episcopacy, the conclusion that the denial of his position was a heresy, was unsupported by scripture and needlessly offensive. But a time was approaching when the guarded moderation of the reformers was no longer to be had in reverence; the more extravagant and exclusive the dogma, the more certain its success.

19. The endowment of religion by the state, another pregnant source of disagreement in later times, did not hitherto contribute to alienate the affections of churchmen and puritans. Upon the arguments by which this union is defended or opposed it is unnecessary to enlarge; nor would such a discussion be appropriate. It is sufficient to observe, that at the close of the reign of Elizabeth the controversy had not yet arisen. It was not one of the many points upon which puritans and prelatists contended with each other. Whether they were right or wrong; whether they were more enlightened, or more ignorant, than ourselves; whether their political opinions were too strongly imbued with the theology of the old testament, or ours too careless of the sanctions of the word of God—still the fact is beyond dispute. Each party held that it would be monstrous and sinful in the state not to endow and sustain the church. That the church should scruple to accept the succours of the state, does not appear to have occurred as amongst the possible difficulties of the most uneasy conscience. And the establishment that either party had in view was of the most ex-

clusive character. Prelatists excluded puritans, and puritans prelatists. During Whitgift's reign it was accounted necessary to exclude any but a strict conformist,—it was a duty to repel and punish him. How Whitgift's opponents might have acted had they been in power, is scarcely a matter of conjecture. Their children in the next generation seized the reins and guided the chariot of the state. It then appeared that they were equally exclusive, equally intolerant with the most violent of their opponents. The national church was presbyterian, and the honest episcopalian was doomed in his turn to suffer all the indignities, the insults and injustice which, in their most unhappy days, the puritans themselves had borne. A national church, uncompromising and intolerant, was that for which both parties contended; and having achieved the victory, each would have excluded the other from its advantages, and punished them for their contumacy. Toleration, we must repeat it, was a word unknown. To us of the present generation, it is, and must ever remain, a problem hard to be resolved, how good men could carry on so long and fierce a warfare, while the questions at issue were, by the confession of each party, of secondary, and not of vital importance. If the controversies between the different bodies of christians in our own times are of greater moment, yet they are conducted in a calmer spirit. And if we cannot pride ourselves upon a deeper theology, and a profounder reach

CHAPTER  
VIII.ELIZ.  
A.D. 1595.

CHAPTER of thought than our forefathers, we may at least  
VIII.  

---

ELIZ. be grateful for a stronger sense of justice and of  
A.D. 1595. human infirmity, and a disposition for mutual  
charity ; humbler virtues, it is true, but not without their recompense !

## CHAPTER IX.

1. THUS stood the two parties at the close of the long reign of queen Elizabeth. No one doctrine of importance, notwithstanding the vehemence of the long protracted strife, had yet mingled its bitterness with the troubled stream ; and it seems as if the quarrel would have worn itself out, and died as it were of mere exhaustion, had not some fresh materials been supplied by which it was at once prolonged and aggravated.

CHAPTER  
IX.  
ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

2. A parent's animosities are seldom inherited by his children in their ancient bitterness ; and a second generation is unwilling to revive the controversies which otherwise would slumber with the ashes of their forefathers. The restlessness of mankind seeks for new objects even in its hatreds and dislikes. Fame must be won in new fields, and not by simply adhering to a venerable cause : the realities of life press too heavily upon most men, its immediate pursuits are too interesting to all, to allow to an historical quarrel more than a traditionary interest. When the first combatants quit the scene for ever, the survivors are secretly

CHAPTER disposed either to compromise their differences, or  
 IX. to re-establish their enmity and renew their con-  
 ELIZ. flict upon new and wider grounds.

A. D. 1595. 3. The vestiarian controversy was worn out :  
 for thirty years at least, not a line had been writ-  
 ten on either side which placed it in a clearer  
 light, or added anything to the considerations al-  
 ready placed in either scale. If the great men of  
 the reformation had not exhausted the arguments,  
 Whitgift and Cartwright, who followed after, had  
 left nothing to be desired. Hooker, great as he  
 was, could pretend to no originality in his defence  
 of, nor Travers in his assault upon, a point so long  
 and earnestly debated by men in few respects or  
 none inferior to themselves. And the controversy  
 itself had lost its edge. It was only by associating  
 it with other questions,—questions of christian  
 doctrine, and therefore of permanent interest,—  
 that its ephemeral importance could be revived. At  
 the period of the reformation the surplice was as-  
 sociated only with the popish priest and his tawdry  
 superstitions ; at the close of the reign of Eliza-  
 beth, and of half a century, a whole generation  
 had arisen and passed away who had never seen a  
 priest or listened to a mass. The surplice was asso-  
 ciated in the minds of living men, with the fathers  
 of the reformation. At Salisbury, Jewel the cham-  
 pion of the English church was remembered to  
 have worn it. The saintly Grindal ministered in  
 it at Lambeth ; and had insisted upon its use  
 in the university of Cambridge. In the remoter  
 north, Pilkington at Durham, and Sandys at York,



had not scrupled to appear in it, and to impose it on their clergy. The hesitation they had felt was known only to a few learned men and controversialists; their consent to use the vestments stood in the broad daylight of popular and recent history. The obvious reflection of many a candid mind would be that such men had good reasons for their conduct; that when the alternative lay between a schism and a surplice, the doubt was instantly resolved; and that, after all, the connexion between the habits and the doctrines of the Romish church was, in part at least, imaginary not real. Certainly it was not necessary. For a generation of protestant divines had worn them, in whom no Rome-ward tendency, but rather a deepening horror of her crimes, had displayed itself.

CHAPTER  
IX.ELIZ.  
A. D. 1595.

4. That a very large proportion of those who at first favored the puritan cause had thus gradually withdrawn from it, admits of no doubt. It was the case in the queen's council, where, in the early part of her reign, a decided majority were, to a greater or less extent, on the side of the puritans; but before her death their cause was nearly deserted. Nor was there inconsistency in this. When new institutions are founded, wise men will strive with all their might to place them on a footing of theoretical perfection. But after a while, perceiving that their aim cannot be achieved; or that their views of perfection were ideal rather than practical; or that circumstances which they cannot bend, refuse to accommodate them-

CHAPTER IX.  
 ELIZ.  
 A. D.  
 1595—97.

selves to the reception of their favorite theory, they will gladly accept a compromise. If the institution *works well*, they will lend it a vigorous support. If the evils they anticipated do not in fact arise, they will be more ready to question their own sagacity than to disturb the harmony of existing institutions.

5. Thus a large body of English churchmen began cheerfully to acquiesce in the established church as then administered; and had it not been for the severities inflicted on the puritans, which produced a natural recoil and a wide-spreading sympathy, their cause would probably (unless some fresh grounds of discontent were introduced) have dwindled in the course of years, and ended in an insignificant secession.

6. Among the causes which contributed to confirm the established church, and with it the episcopate, in the affections of the people, it is impossible to omit so considerable an event as the publication of Hooker's ecclesiastical polity, of which four books were published in the year 1594, and the fifth in 1597: the remaining part was published afterwards. It is one of those rare productions which, like the book of martyrs,\* sprung into

\* It is stated on page 51, that Foxe's book of martyrs was ordered to be placed in the parish churches. This statement, I fear, is incorrect; though it is certain that the volume was thus chained in many chancels for common use; some copies are still to be found in our old churches. I have seen one at Amport, in Hampshire, a very early, if not the first, English edition. But the *Liber Canonorum*, issued 1571 by archbishop Parker, commands the churchwardens to provide only a large bible, a book of public prayers, and the homilies. Erasmus's commentary had been already ordered. All archbishops and bishops, however, within the province of Canterbury, are required to place in the

instant popularity ; and during a probation of two hundred and fifty years, has only gathered fresh renown. It displays that mighty genius which is fitted for all ages, which is never antiquated, never out of date. As a defence of the church of England, its supremacy has never been disturbed. No serious attempt has since been made by any master mind to invade Hooker's province or to supersede his unchallenged reputation. One of the latest editions of his work has been given to the world by the adversaries of the church of England, with notes and comments of their own ; a perfectly fair proceeding, to which churchmen have nothing to object ; and at the same time, a tribute to Hooker's weight in the field of argument more flattering than any that churchmen could bestow. A rare and enviable career—to defend the church of his country against papists, and to win the admiration of the pope himself ; against sectarians, and yet to claim their reverence ; and to accomplish this with so much firmness and so little compromise, that churchmen of every school gather their arguments from his profound and thoughtful pages, and are proud to find a shelter for their opinions under the sanction of his venerable name !

#### 7. It was not till near the close of this century

halls of their houses or in the great chamber, so that even the guests and servants of the house may freely peruse it, the whole book of martyrs ; plenam illam historiam quæ inscribitur *Monumenta Martyrum*. Each archdeacon is also instructed, amongst other books, especially to have in his possession the book of martyrs : et libros alios et nominatim eos qui inscribuntur *Monumenta Martyrum*. Liber Canonorum, printed by John Day, London, 1571.

CHAPTER  
IX.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1597.

CHAPTER that the literature, the manners, and the habits of  
IX. the puritans first begin to appear singular, and to  
wear a sectarian character. Hitherto their lan-  
guage and their literary compositions are un-  
tainted with affectation. They wrote and spoke  
like other men. With regard to purity of lan-  
guage and style, Cartwright and Travers are,  
at least, equal to Hooker, whose power lies ra-  
ther in majesty of thought than in felicity of ex-  
pression. In the pulpit Travers, preaching before  
the same audience, one of the most accom-  
plished in England, carried away the palm of  
eloquence from his great opponent by the consent  
of all parties. Cartwright's eloquence had won  
the admiration of Cambridge. Henry Smith had  
preached at St. Clement Danes in rich redun-  
dant periods, remarkable alike for force and  
grace; the Chrysostom of the age; whom we are  
disposed to think no English preacher has since  
excelled in the proper attributes of pulpit elo-  
quence. The age of pedantry had not yet com-  
menced. The quaintness of the puritans was not  
assumed, their sentences were not curiously in-  
volved, their wit was not elaborate, their sermons  
were not studiously minced up in tiny fragments,  
each numbered and duly parcelled beneath its pro-  
per head or subdivision, with a view not so much  
to elucidate the subject as to display the author's  
dexterity in his only science,—the scholastic logic.  
All this belonged to a later age.

8. The manners of the puritans were distin-  
guished by their gravity, and among the thought-

less and profane a grave demeanour has ever been a crime. The presence of virtue is always embarrassing to the wicked, and its indications they naturally dislike. No doubt the garb of sanctity is easily assumed. The weak and hypocritical—the one from nature the other from sheer villany—readily adopt it; and since keenness in discrimination and a charitable disposition in judging others, are unhappily but rare endowments, a sanctimonious hypocrite is in popular estimation the type and standard by which all seriousness is to be measured. We find accordingly that, as the national mind gradually became less devout in England, the gravity of the puritans became the frequent subject of a jest. Towards the conclusion of her reign the example of the court of Elizabeth was decidedly irreligious, and the contagion spread rapidly among the common people. A preposterous extravagance in dress and equipage; a heathenish delight in jousts and tournaments, and public spectacles and plays; the prevalence of oaths (freely indulged in by the queen herself); and to crown the whole, the studied desecration of the sabbath, mark too plainly the hollowness of that religious profession which even men of fashion were still constrained to make. All men of real piety lamented the decay of vital godliness. Hooker, in his preface, deploras it as feelingly as Travers could have done. But the cry once raised, a grave exterior and a virtuous life were regarded as the sure signs of a puritan, that is, of one disaffected to the state. Men who

CHAPTER

IX.

ELIZ.

A.D. 1597.



CHAPTER

IX.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1597.

had never entered a conventicle, nor had one mis-  
giving about the cross in baptism, were wickedly  
driven from the church they loved, by cold treat-  
ment or slanderous imputations ; until, to be seen  
twice at church on Sunday, and to spend the rest  
of the day in reading the scriptures, was enough  
to bring upon a whole family the disgrace of puri-  
tanism.

9. But at present their manners appear to have  
been, in other respects, the manners of the times.  
The literature of the age sometimes ridicules  
their preciseness, but not their rudeness or vul-  
garity. The domestic friend and chaplain of  
Leicester was not likely to be ill-bred. The  
constant associates of Knollys and of sir Walter  
Mildmay could hardly have been clowns. The  
keen eye of Bacon must have rested upon some-  
thing more inviting than religious cant and a  
visage that affected sanctity, or he would scarcely  
have concerned himself with the doctrines of the  
puritans. An early puritan comes down to us a  
distorted caricature, known only as misrepresented  
in the next century by profligate wits or un-  
scrupulous enemies. It was not till modesty  
and virtue were discountenanced and irreligion  
became a fashion, that the manners of the puri-  
tans were noticed for their singularity.

10. A great advantage was given to the puri-  
tans in a controversy which arose upon the obser-  
vance of the Lord's-day. Greenham, a pious  
and eloquent minister in London, deeply affected  
by the prevailing levity, first recalled the nation

to its duties in a book which made a great impression through the whole kingdom, and which Hall, the pious bishop of Norwich, afterwards embalmed in a striking epitaph. A few years afterwards, Dr. Bound published his "book on the Sabbath;" in which, perhaps, he pushed the matter too far; and opposing one extreme fell into another; so as to rest the obligation of the Lord's-day upon jewish, more than upon christian, principles. Still he was right upon the whole; and, when the question was once fairly placed before them, the dullest congregation of the most stupid rustics could not but be struck with the monstrous and indecent inconsistency which every returning Sunday presented;—the fourth commandment read in the forenoon with every circumstance calculated to inspire the deepest awe and reverence; the afternoon devoted to fencing, and shooting, and bowling; to May games and morris dances; the clergyman himself too often a spectator, if not a sharer, of the sports. Yet it was thought necessary to suppress Bound's treatise: and the natural consequence ensued; the book flew through successive editions, and its principles were diffused through England. The observance, and the sacred obligation of the Lord's-day, became immediately a question between the high church party and the puritans; and must be especially noticed as the first disagreement betwixt them upon any point of doctrine. This sabbatarian question, as it was called, henceforth entered largely into every con-

CHAPTER  
IX.

ELIZ.

A. D. 1597.

CHAPTER troversy ; a rigid or lax observance of the Lord's-  
IX. day was at length the sign by which, above all  
ELIZ. others, the two parties were distinguished.

A. D. 1597. 10. It is difficult to account satisfactorily for the conduct of Whitgift and his party in this affair. They had no traditionary errors to support ; for a strict observance of the Sunday was in accordance with the principles and practice of the great reformers whom they professed to represent.\* If the puritan advocates of the Sabbath,

\* This, I am aware, has been denied ; but I refer the reader to the following admirable passage in Becon's catechism. Becon was chaplain to archbishop Cranmer. Works, page 500. P. S. Edition.

"What it is to keep holy the Sabbath-day.—To keep holy the Sabbath-day is not to cease from bodily labour, that thou shouldst the more licentious give thy mind to the wearing of gallant apparel ; to banqueting, to idle talk, to vain pastimes, and such other filthy pleasures of the flesh ; but that thou, setting aside all worldly businesses, shouldst the more freely apply thyself to read, hear, and learn the word of God, to pray in the temple with the congregation, to be thankful to God for his benefits, to be present at the ministrations of the holy sacraments, to be partaker of the mysteries of the Lord's body and blood, to give some good thing to the relief of the poor, to visit and comfort the sick, and them that are in prison, and casting away the works of the flesh, wholly to exercise thyself in the fruits of the Spirit."

Coverdale, bishop of Exeter, states with admirable clearness the distinction between the authority of the decalogue under the christian dispensation, and the disannulling of the Mosaic ordinances. "Whoso now doth well ponder these ten chapters or commandments, and compareth them to the doings and works of the holy patriarchs and old fathers which had no law in writing, ye shall find that the Lord, now with this his written law, began no new thing, neither aught that was not afore in the world."—He instances this in each of the ten commandments. Of the fourth he says, "the Sabbath did not the Lord here ordain first, but on the seventh day of the creation, Gen. iii. The same did the fathers keep aright, no doubt. John vii." He sums up thus ; "wherefore, in these commandments, is nothing written or required, that was not also required of the fathers afore the law, and performed through true faith in Christ. The Lord, therefore, began no new thing with his people, when he delivered them the tables of the law. . . . As for all the laws and ordinances which were afterwards added to these two tables, they were not joined thereunto as principal laws, but as bye-laws for the declaration and better understanding of

based their conclusions upon false and jewish premises, still the conclusions themselves were good, and might have been easily established upon a more evangelical basis. It is strange, too, that they did not reflect upon the certain and almost self-proving truth, that a religion which sits easily upon the careless can possess few charms for the devout; and that the strength of a religious institution depends not so much upon the number of its adherents as upon their zeal. In alienating the strict observers of the Sabbath, they deprived the church of its most vigorous supporters, and forced them to transfer their sympathies, if not their allegiance, to the puritans. Pride and obstinacy, and a resolute determination to put down their adversaries, whether right or wrong, may seem unmeasured charges; but the truth of history suggests none that are less offensive. On the sabbatarian question, Whitgift chose rather to forsake his own principles than to permit a victory in a righteous cause to be won by his opponents.

CHAPTER  
IX.  
ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1597—1602

11. Of the relative piety of the puritans and the prelatie party, at the period of which we speak, it is, however, by no means easy to form

the ten chapters or commandments. For the perfect sum of all laws, and the very right rule of godliness, of God's service, of righteousness, of good and evil conversation, are comprehended or read in the ten chapters or commandments." "The old faith," page 41. P. S. Edition.

"The old faith," is a translation of Bullinger's "*Antiquissima fides et vera religio.*" Here, then, we have at once the judgment of two of the most eminent divines of the reformation as to the perpetual obligation of the Sabbath. Calvin took lower ground, and the pernicious influence of his views upon the Sabbath still infects the continental churches. (See *Instit. Lib. ii. cap. viii. 34.*)

CHAPTER a just opinion. Pure and spiritual religion is  
 IX. seldom to be accurately measured by any visible  
 ELIZ. criterion; for while the signs of its absence are  
 A. D. always painfully decisive, those of its presence  
 1597—1602. are not to be implicitly relied on. The zeal of  
 the puritans was repressed; the fervour of the  
 high church party had visibly begun to wane.  
 But intermediate between the two, there was no  
 doubt a large body well affected to the church,  
 and having little in common with either extreme,  
 who cherished the principles of the reformation  
 and the bible, and maintained a consistent life of  
 piety. The fervour of the puritans was not un-  
 mixed with the alloy of a party spirit, and some-  
 times of a rancorous hatred; nor must it be for-  
 gotten that a zeal about religious matters is not  
 necessarily a zeal for God. The most generous of  
 the opposite party, Cecil himself for instance,  
 charged them with a narrow bigotry and a fac-  
 tious temper; and the suggestion is not uncha-  
 ritable, that a weaker sect, struggling for power  
 against a well-established rival, must profess,  
 even if it do not feel, a more fervent zeal, and a  
 more lofty piety than its adversaries; and to sup-  
 pose the puritans free from this, perhaps uncon-  
 scious, insincerity, is to suppose that they were free  
 from the common infirmities of man.

12. Such abatements must be made. But after  
 all, the preponderance of real piety lay, we suspect,  
 at the close of the reign of queen Elizabeth,  
 amongst those who were roughly classed as puri-  
 tans. So much constancy in suffering, a zeal as



fervent, domestic habits by the confession of their bitterest enemies so pure and blameless, religious duties piously discharged in the face of scorn and the instant dread of punishment, can in justice be regarded only as the marks of a piety sincere and deeply seated. The gold was not free from dross ; their infirmities, though fewer than their enemies asserted, were greater than they themselves were willing to allow. But their errors lay chiefly with the judgment. The heart was right upon the whole ; and hitherto, when most factious, they still believed—after an earnest scrutiny of the scriptures, which, though often misapplied and misunderstood, were received as their sole guide—that they ought to obey God rather than man : a justification of no value, it is true, when used to the oppression and injury of others, but of unspeakable importance when used to justify the conduct, or to sustain the fainting spirits, of him who suffers for conscience sake.

CHAPTER  
IX.  
—  
ELIZ.  
A. D.  
1597—1602.

13. The prospect, on the whole, was gloomy to those who loved the church, and clung to its institutions in the spirit of the reformation. Much of its “first love” had passed away, and pomp and splendour vainly strove to fill up the void. The courage of the reformers too had disappeared. No honest Latimer, mingling reverence to his sovereign with boldness in the cause of God, no Jewel, meek but firm and faithful, now preached to thronging audiences, or rebuked the sins of royalty in the presence of the queen. The spirit of this world had crept in, and quenched the zeal

CHAPTER of those whose principles and doctrines were, how-  
 IX. ever, as yet untainted. The piety of the church  
 ELIZ. of England received a shock in the affair of Grin-  
 A. D. dal from which it had not recovered. Social  
 1597—1602. meetings for prayer and praise, and for conference  
 among the clergy, are almost inseparable from a  
 vigorous piety and an effective ministry ; and  
 these had been discouraged. They were chiefly  
 to be met with in the chambers of the puri-  
 tans. In short, the tendency was already visi-  
 ble, if to fanaticism on the one hand, to a dead  
 formality on the other ; while on both sides the  
 catholic spirit of their forefathers had almost  
 disappeared. Tenacity in imposing and in resist-  
 ing trifles had in time produced its fruit ; trifles  
 were looked upon as the very essence of true reli-  
 gion. To comply with a harmless form was regard-  
 ed as fearful sin amongst the rigid puritans : to  
 pray extempore and expound the scriptures in pri-  
 vate houses was a transgression of equal magni-  
 tude in the sight of high prelatic churchmen. In  
 such a state of things it was impossible that the  
 power of true piety in men's hearts should not fade  
 and wither, though it was probable enough their  
 clamorous zeal would soon break out into shriller  
 cries, and put on the appearance of a sterner re-  
 solution. And this in fact occurred. Moderation  
 was scouted upon both sides, and the most vio-  
 lent counsels were those alone which now began  
 to obtain a hearing.

## CHAPTER X.

1. QUEEN Elizabeth died in the year 1602, and James hastened from Scotland to occupy the vacant throne. His character has been often drawn, and in it stronger features seems at length to be well understood. There was a strange mixture in it of sagacity and folly; of sagacity that often wore the appearance of consummate wisdom, of folly scarcely to be distinguished from that of an idiot. The sceptre passed from the wisest of women to the most ridiculous of men. But James was shrewd and cunning; he imposed upon the statesmen by whom Elizabeth had consented to be governed, and they stooped, it is evident, to become his willing agents, and were sometimes his dupes. Under a plain exterior, and most unkingly manners, there was a depth of disguise and artifice which, if we must believe them serious, few or none of his courtiers suspected. They speak of him as a paragon of wisdom: posterity have long since spoken of him with contempt. The king-craft in which he gloried has ceased to claim

CHAPTER  
X.  
JAMES I.  
A. D. 1602.

CHAPTER X.  
 in the eyes of succeeding generations were wanting to his character.

JAMES I.  
 A. D. 1602. 2. Since the affair of Coppinger and Hackett, and the death of Penry, there had been a truce on both sides. The prelates had ceased to harass, and the puritans to provoke; for each party waited for the turn of affairs upon the accession of the new sovereign; and neither of them was able to calculate with perfect confidence upon the course he would pursue. James had been brought up a protestant, a presbyterian of the strictest sect, the docile pupil of George Buchanan, the patient hearer of the disciples of Knox. Should these early prepossessions last the puritans were safe. They might even hope for the royal countenance in their extreme project of remodelling the church of England after the presbyterian form; at least their presbyterianism would no longer be a crime. But on the other hand, the prepossessions of youth and the impulses of education, cannot always be trusted. It was even possible that the king might not be sincere. The iron hand which held his mother's wrist and compelled her abdication,—the hand of a fierce, feudal oligarchy—had never quite released the son. While he reigned in Scotland there was a power behind the throne far greater than the throne itself; the power of the church and the oligarchs; of Knox and the barons; and they exercised it with little or no disguise. Escaped from this, James might appear in another character; from one extreme he might rush into an-

other; nay, he might avenge upon English puritans the injuries done by Scottish presbyterians to his mother, and the insults to himself. Each party waited eagerly and anxiously for the result.

CHAPTER  
X.

JAMES I.  
A. D. 1602.

3. But this uncertainty was of no long continuance. Whitgift dispatched his agents to Scotland to assure the king of the devotion of the prelates, and the puritans met him on his way to London with their famous millenary petition, so called because it professed to represent the wishes of a thousand clergymen, though, in fact, the names actually subscribed were about eight hundred. To the archbishop's envoy James replied in terms which, could his royal word be trusted, were full of satisfaction. He was anxious to follow the steps of his renowned predecessor. He would alter nothing, introduce nothing, without the consent and approbation of the bishops. Yet it was not easy to forget the previous conduct and opinions of this now compliant sovereign. Standing in his place before the general assembly of the kirk of Scotland, with head uncovered and hands uplifted to heaven, he had a few years before protested that their presbyterian church was "the purest in the world," and that as to the neighbouring church of England, "its service was but an evil said mass, in English." Except the adoration of the host, "it wanted nothing of the mass itself." This was in 1590, in a speech to his parliament: eight years afterwards he courteously classed together "the papistical and anglican bishops\*." A mind, less acute than Whit-

\* Calderwood's Hist. Ch. of Scotland. 256 and 418, Neal ii. 14.



CHAPTER X. gift's, and a temper less anxious, might then well entertain some misgivings as to the real intentions of king James.

JAMES I.

A. D. 1602.

4. The millenary petition\* must be regarded as the manifesto of the puritans at the opening of the seventeenth century; and we have no reason to suppose, that it does not honestly express their sentiments. James had hitherto appeared as their friend; he had invited Cartwright to accept a professorship in Scotland; he had written to Elizabeth to intercede on his behalf, at a time when he was in the deepest disgrace, and the court of high commission in its fullest insolence of tyranny. He had made obtrusive and uncalled-for professions of his adherence to the puritan cause. If then the English puritans had a doubt as to the course he would pursue, it arose from their knowledge of the infirmities of his character, not from the indecision of his past conduct, which was entirely favourable to their cause; and as the prelates hoped, so the petitioners feared, that he would lack the energy to intermeddle with the state of things he found prevailing in the church of England. If this view be correct, the millenary petition must be considered to breathe a moderate tone and spirit. The revolutionary project of remodelling the church of England after the presbyterian form was now abandoned. The petition contained no angry diatribes upon the sinfulness of such officers as deans, canons, archdeacons, and archbishops. It did not renew the stale assertion that episcopacy

\* Fuller iii. 6. an. 1602—4.

was unscriptural. Those who had framed it, had evidently been learning wisdom where wisdom is learned most easily—in silence, in poverty, in obloquy. They stood in advance of Cartwright, that is, of Cartwright, such as he had been when, in the days of his youthful pride and inexperience, he convulsed the university and the church with wild visions of reform; for Cartwright was now an aged and a temperate man, and on the verge of another world. The petitioners addressed themselves, not any longer to the enforcement of a theory, but to the practical work of achieving a reformation of abuses, or, however, of usages which they considered to be such. It was another crisis in the history of the church of England. Another opportunity of conciliation had unexpectedly returned. Never had the demands of the discontented party been so moderate; never could they have been conceded with so good a grace. Some of them appear to us, it is true, to deserve a place only amongst those trifling quibbles and conceits, (the more trifling in appearance because enunciated with a profound seriousness,) from which puritanism was never free. But with a few exceptions their demands were reasonable. Many of them have since been wrung by a necessity which they could not resist, from churchmen of succeeding days; some, in a far better spirit, have been cheerfully conceded in our own times, by churchmen as well affected, as learned, and as pious, as the most unyielding of their forefathers.

CHAPTER  
X.JAMES I.  
A. D. 1602.

CHAPTER

X.

JAMES I.

A. D. 1602.

5. The grievances of the puritans were set forth under four heads. First, they objected as of old to the cap and surplice, the cross in baptism, and the ring in marriage. Also they desired that in baptism, the interrogation addressed through the sponsors to the infant, should be done away with, or rendered more simple. Confirmation, as superfluous, they prayed might cease. Divers terms, *priest*, *absolution*, and some others, ought to be amended ; and the length of the service abridged. Church music required reform. The Lord's day ought to be better kept, and other holydays urged less strictly. The people should not be charged to bow at the name of Jesus ; a uniformity of doctrine ought to be prescribed, and no popish opinions taught. The canonical scriptures only ought to be read in churches,—communicants should be previously examined, and the communion preceded by a sermon. And they prayed that baptism hereafter might not be administered by women.

6. These, upon doctrinal points, comprised the whole of their demands. With one exception, had all they asked been conceded, the church of England would still have remained entire ; she would have made no sacrifice of any one principle which she holds to be important. The rite of confirmation, venerable for its high antiquity in the church, she could by no means forego : it is the correlative of infant baptism. If children be received in infancy into the bosom of the church, it is surely necessary that in riper years they should be solemnly admitted, upon a profession of their faith

made openly by themselves, into full communion: and that this may be done with due solemnity, the chief minister of the church receives them with the laying on of hands, and prayer for the gift of the holy Spirit. With wise and laborious preparation on the part of the parochial clergy, and an intelligent sense of its importance in the young, the rite of confirmation is a blessing to the church. Nor can we perceive the superstition of bowing at the name of Jesus.

7. Secondly, the petitioners asked, that, for the future, none should be admitted into the ministry but able and sufficient men. That those already ordained who could not preach might either be removed "and some charitable course taken with them for their relief," or else be compelled to maintain, according to their incomes, preachers to supply their lack of service. That non-residence should cease. That king Edward's statute (which had been repealed by Mary, and not re-enacted by Elizabeth,) permitting the clergy to marry, should be revived; and that no subscription should be demanded from the clergy but what the law required.

8. Under the third head the demands of the petitioners were not quite so moderate. They required that the bishops should abandon all preferment, save their bishoprics, and that other "double beneficed men" should not be suffered to hold, some two, some three, benefices with cure, and some two, three, or four, dignities besides. But what followed raised an angry storm

CHAPTER  
X.  
JAMES I.  
A. D. 1602.

CHAPTER VI. from various quarters ; for the petitioners required “that impropriations annexed to bishoprics and colleges be demised only to the preachers and incumbents for the old rents, while lay impropriations should be charged with a sixth or seventh part of the worth to the maintenance of the preaching minister.” The lay impropriations (or tithe and glebe lands,) were then chiefly held by the descendants of those noble houses amongst whom Henry VIII. had so profusely squandered the church’s patrimony ; and they were very little disposed to make restitution of even so much as a seventh part of them for the maintenance of a godly ministry. Thus mere selfishness arrayed the statesmen and courtiers against the petitioners ; and still more bitter, and yet more reasonable, was the displeasure of the universities. They found themselves much aggrieved that, while a seventh part of their spoil was all the petitioners demanded from the laity, colleges and cathedrals should be more severely punished ; being required to demise to their vicars at the old rents without fine and without improvement. It is certain that such a measure would long since have reduced the universities to penury ; so much has the value of money diminished while that of land has been constantly increasing. Cambridge immediately resolved that whoever should promote the petition or its principles should, ipso facto, be suspended ; and Oxford followed with a public refutation of the millenary petition.

9. Under the fourth head, the petitioners re-



requested a restoration of church discipline, or at least that enormities might be redressed. No-  
 thing could be more reasonable than their de-  
 mands. They protest against the wickedness of ex-  
 communication "for twelve-penny matters," for  
 trifling debts and frivolous offences. They ask  
 that the oath *ex officio*, whereby men are forced  
 to accuse themselves, be used more sparingly; and  
 they subscribe themselves, in conclusion, his "ma-  
 jesty's most humble servants, the ministers of the  
 gospel, who desire not a disorderly innovation but  
 a due and godly reformation."

CHAPTER  
 X.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D. 1602.

10. Many other petitions were presented to the  
 king, which were known at the time under the  
 common name of millenary petitions. Some of  
 them were of a violent description, and prayed for  
 the extirpation of bishops and the introduction of  
 the foreign presbyterianism. These proceeded  
 chiefly from the brownists, whose numbers had  
 now considerably increased, and between whom  
 and the puritans there was as intense a war, as  
 between the latter and the highest of the prelatie  
 party.

11. It was resolved to hold a conference, in  
 which the questions at issue should be discussed  
 by the representatives of the two great parties,  
 the prelatists and puritans, in the presence of the  
 king. Nothing could exceed the wisdom of this  
 project; nothing but the folly displayed in its  
 management, and the insipidity of its whole  
 conclusion. The spectacle would have been  
 indeed august, and worthy of the brightest

CHAPTER X. days of christendom, and of the purest annals  
 of the church ;—a wise and christian king, as-  
 JAMES I. sisted by his counsellors, sitting from day to  
 A. D. 1603. day, to investigate with calmness and sincerity  
 the causes of uneasiness which had rankled for  
 half a century among the brotherhood of Christ,  
 the ministers of one communion, the devout mem-  
 bers of the same reformed church. But, in fact,  
 the conference widened the breach it should have  
 healed. Whatever advantages of another kind  
 resulted from it, it was, as a conference, a mere  
 pretence. So the puritans affirmed with one voice,  
 and the verdict of their fellow countrymen has at  
 length ratified and confirmed their censure. The  
 history of the Hampton court conference is given\*  
 by Dr. Barlow, dean of Chester, who was present :  
 it was drawn up at the request of Whitgift and  
 the court : the puritans objected to it at the time,  
 that justice was not done to the arguments and  
 speeches of their representatives ; but upon the  
 whole its general accuracy is admitted. Other  
 accounts were published by various writers ; and  
 the importance of the controversy in the eyes of  
 cotemporaries, may be collected from the fulness  
 and care with which they have handed down its  
 most trifling details. The conference was as-  
 sembled by proclamation at Hampton court, on  
 the 14th of January, 1603,† and the king was

\* The sum and substance of the conference, &c. at Hampton court :  
 by William Barlow, D. D. and Dean of Chester. Republished in the  
 Phoenix, 1707.

† The reader will bear in mind, that the year then began on the  
 25th of March ; so that the events of January, February, and March,  
 belong, according to our reckoning, to the following year. Thus

present with most of the lords of the privy council. On the one side were summoned the archbishop of Canterbury, eight bishops, seven deans of cathedral churches, and two others. On behalf of the puritans, to contend with this learned phalanx, four puritan ministers had been summoned to appear. On the morning of the first day, "all the deans and doctors attending them, my lords the bishops went into the presence chamber," so writes Dr. Barlow, "there we found, sitting on a form, Dr. Reynolds, Dr. Sparks, Mr. Knewstubs, and Mr. Chaderton, agents for the millenary plaintiffs."

CHAPTER  
X.JAMES I.  
A. D. 1603.

12. During the first day the puritans were not present, being expressly excluded by his majesty's commands. Yet in their absence the questions were discussed, and in fact decided, on which they were most anxious to obtain a hearing. The king opened the proceedings with a speech, in which he expressed his satisfaction that he was in the presence of grave, learned, and reverend men; not as before, elsewhere, a king without state, without honor, without order, where beardless boys braved him to his face. He said he was averse to any innovation; at the same time should anything be found to need redress, he wished it to be done, though as quietly as possible, and without any visible alteration; a remark which he several times repeated; and on this account he had called in the bishops by themselves. He then required

the conference was held, by the present method of computation, in January, 1604.

CHAPTER their advice upon three points—the first and se-  
 X. cond of which, it is evident, could only be discussed  
 JAMES I. with fairness in the presence of the puritans. He  
 A. D. 1603. reduced his questions to several heads; the first  
 having reference to the book of common prayer; the second to excommunication by ecclesiastical courts; and the third, relating to the church in Ireland. Under the first head the questions of confirmation, of private baptism, and absolution, were argued and decided; under the second, excommunication was discussed. The day was then spent, and the assembly broke up. “The king,” says Barlow, “handled all these points admirably, both for understanding, speech, and judgment.” His knowledge of divinity was considerable; nor was he wanting in dialectic skill. His learning, too, was prompt and various, if not deep. But these considerations, it is probable, gave little satisfaction to the four puritan divines who whiled away the tedious hours upon the form in the anti-chamber. Nor would it add to their content to learn from Dr. Barlow’s relation, that his majesty had meanly taken occasion of their absence to profess his dislike of the puritans ever since he was ten years old. He had lived among them, he said, profanely using the words of scripture, but he was not of them.

13. On Monday, the conference was resumed, and the four plaintiffs were called into the privy-chamber, where they found the bishops of London and Winchester, and all the deans and doctors, present, as well as Patrick Galloway, a minister

of Perth, who was allowed to be there as a spec-  
 tator. After a short speech from James, Dr. Rey-  
 nolds, on behalf of the puritans, presented four  
 requests: first, that the doctrine of the church  
 might be preserved in purity according to God's  
 word; secondly, that good pastors might be plant-  
 ed in all churches to preach the same; thirdly,  
 that the church government might be sincerely  
 ministered according to God's word; fourthly,  
 that the book of common prayer might be fitted  
 to more increase of piety.

CHAPTER  
X.JAMES I.  
A. D. 1603.

14. Under the first head, it is well for the peace  
 of the church that his suggestions met with so  
 little encouragement. Strange as it may seem,  
 he urged the introduction of the nine Lambeth  
 articles into her authoritative formularies. He  
 would have narrowed the basis of orthodoxy, and  
 compelled the church of England not only to  
 speak (for here lay the danger and the injustice  
 of his proposal), but to speak exclusively, the lan-  
 guage of extreme dogmatic calvinism. Whereas  
 the sixteenth article declares, that "after we have  
 received the Holy Ghost, we may depart from  
 grace," he desired to add the words, "yet neither  
 totally, or finally:" and the Lambeth articles he  
 prayed to have inserted in that book of common  
 prayer, in which the church of England compre-  
 hends her discipline and doctrines. The latter of  
 these requests he urged a second time upon the  
 following day. From this dangerous innovation  
 the church of England was preserved, partly by  
 the earnest protestations of the bishop of London,



CHAPTER X. who interrupted Dr. Reynolds with a rudeness  
which the king reproved. However, his remon-  
strances prevailed, and the doctrine of an eternal  
decree of reprobation, launched by the God of  
mercy against individual men yet unborn, was  
not numbered amongst the doctrines to which a  
faithful son of the church of England must yield  
his acquiescence, and every minister subscribe his  
hand. The moment was critical. The spiritual  
head of the church of England had himself drawn  
up, and endeavoured to impose, the Lambeth arti-  
cles. The leader of the puritans urged the adop-  
tion of them. Happily moderate views prevailed  
above the strife of scholastic theologians. Pro-  
bably, too, the church owes much to the calmer  
counsels of the laymen who were present of the  
privy council. Nor will the reader hesitate to ac-  
knowledge the good hand of God. What a host of  
worthies would have fled dismayed from the church  
of England, in every age, had this point been car-  
ried ; had the church, upon the most awful of all  
controversies, enunciated, as one of her terms of  
communion, the most awful of all possible deci-  
sions ! And yet, the puritans cannot with justice  
be charged with the whole impolicy of this narrow  
project ; the archbishop himself, in his previous  
conduct, had furnished grounds for the suspicion,  
that, if he could, he would have incorporated the  
Lambeth articles with the thirty-nine. How in-  
significant the scruples of the surplice and the  
cross, and how trifling the hardships of subscrip-  
tion, compared with the distress which would

have spread far and wide, if this decree of eternal reprobation had been once enrolled among the statutes of the church of England ! Such, however, were the inconsistencies of men, who smarted beneath oppression, and such their consideration for the consciences of others who differed, if but a hair's breadth, from themselves.

CHAPTER  
X.  
JAMES I.  
A. D. 1603.

15. Other discussions followed ; but the subjects were either of less importance, or had been already determined, in the first day's conference, by the king and prelates. Reynolds objected to confirmation, and to private baptism. As to the first, he was confronted with the testimony of the fathers, and of Fulke and Calvin in later times. Private baptism by women, which had been hitherto allowed, was forbidden ; some alterations, chiefly verbal, were promised in the prayer book ; and, on the complaint that it was defective, it was agreed that the catechism should be revised, and something added to it on the doctrine of the sacraments. On this point the sense of the reformers was clearly with the puritan divines. It was never supposed that a knowledge of the creed, the Lord's prayer, and the ten commandments, was a sufficient erudition for christian youth. Various catechisms had been set forth in addition ; and especially a compendium of Calvin's catechism, by Nowel, dean of St. Paul's. This had the sanction of the convocation of 1562 : and schoolmasters were ordered to instruct their pupils in it. Art. 20. It is much to be deplored that

CHAPTER when Nowel's was suffered to fall into disuse,  
 X. some other catechism, more comprehensive than  
 JAMES I. that we now make use of, and less burdensome to  
 A. D. 1603. the learner's memory than that of Nowel, was not  
 published by authority. Even with the additions  
 it received at the Hampton court conference, the  
 church catechism is far from affording, without  
 laborious explanations, to which many an anxious  
 parent feels himself incompetent, an outline of all  
 important doctrine. The comments and explana-  
 tions, constantly published from year to year,  
 and the large demand for other catechisms, still  
 prove the necessity there is for something more  
 simple, and at the same time more comprehensive.  
 To the suggestions of Dr. Reynolds, made this day,  
 the church of England owes that inestimable trea-  
 sure, the authorized English version of the bible;  
 and to Reynolds himself a debt of gratitude, not  
 only for urging the work, but for the important  
 share he had in its execution. The profanation  
 of the Lord's-day was deplored by the puritans,  
 and a promise (which meant nothing) was given  
 that the evil should be remedied: for henceforth  
 a devout observance of the sabbath was one of  
 the symbols of a puritan, and the court profaned it  
 as if with studied ostentation.

16. The great points of real interest were  
 now to be considered; but it was evident that  
 no concessions were intended. The puritans  
 wished to have some relief in the matter of sub-  
 scription. Mr. Knewstubs, who had scarcely  
 spoken hitherto, expressed his dislike of the cross

in baptism, and of the interrogatives to the sponsors. He was probably abashed and confused : CHAPTER  
 Dr. Barlow says his speech was long and perplexed. X.  
 It is certain the treatment he received was not JAMES I.  
 such as to re-assure a timid speaker. A. D. 1603.  
 “ He said something out of Au’stin, but what it was his majesty plainly confessed he did not understand, and asked the lords what they thought he meant.”  
 But when Knewstubs urged, that offence to weak brethren was given by the use of the cross, “ his majesty,” we are told, “ answered most acutely, with a question unanswerable ; asking them how long they would be weak ? Whether forty-five years was not long enough for them to grow strong ? and who they were that pretended this weakness ? for we, saith the king, require not subscription of laics and idiots, but of preachers and ministers. Lastly, he said, that some of them were *strong enough*, if not *head strong* ; however, in this case they pretended weakness.” The jeers and pleasantries of Jeffreys on the judgment-seat, were scarcely more unfeeling.

17. But his majesty’s humour changed when Dr. Reynolds dared to express the desire, always universal amongst the puritans, to have the prophesyings revised “ as the reverend archbishop Grindal and other bishops desired of her late majesty ;” and that the clergy should be allowed to meet in provincial constitutions and in synods with the bishops. “ At which speech,” says Dr. Barlow, “ his majesty was somewhat stirred, yet, which was admirable in him, without passion or

CHAPTER X.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D. 1603. show thereof, thinking that they aimed at a Scottish presbytery, which, says he, agreeth as well with a monarchy, as God and the devil. Then Jack and Tom, and Will and Dick shall meet, and at their pleasures censure me, and my council, and all our proceedings : then Will shall stand up and say, it must be thus ; then Dick shall reply and say, nay marry, but we will have it thus : and here I must once reiterate my former speech, *le roy s'avisera*," &c.

18. The indecency of this disgraceful scene was not yet at its height ; the king concluded thus.\* " Well, doctor, have you anything else to say ?

*Dr. Reynolds.* No more, if it please your majesty.

*The king.* If this be all your party hath to say, I will make them conform themselves, or else I will harry them out of the land, or else do worse †."

19. Several modern writers not acquainted with the force of this expressive Scotticism, have by no means done justice to his majesty's gracious intentions to his puritan subjects. They have made

\* Barlow's Account.

† The bishop of St. Asaph, however, has expressed a very different opinion upon James's behaviour. " During the whole of the conference there is nothing more striking than the superiority of the king himself over both parties : he not only surpassed them in temper and fairness, but apparently in learning and knowledge of the subject : notwithstanding the insignificance of the objections raised, and in some cases their senseless futility, he heard them with patience," &c. *Dr. Short's Hist. of the Ch. of England* xii. 509. Entertaining the highest respect for the learning and candour of the right rev. author, I am compelled on this subject to arrive at a very opposite conclusion. Elsewhere *Dr. Short* remarks, (xii. 523), and, I think, more correctly, " James might perhaps have proved a good king, if his weakness as a man had not rendered it almost impossible for him to perform the duties of his station."



him say that he would *hurry* them out of his kingdom. Those who have heard a pack of *harrriers* in full cry, will better appreciate the meaning of the royal threat. A threat addressed to the learned Reynolds, a divine of the highest rank; and to the wise and eloquent Chadderton, the first master of Emmanuel College;—of whose eloquence it is recorded that when, having preached at unusual length to an audience in Lancashire he expressed an intention of concluding, the whole congregation arose and with one voice importuned him to proceed\*; and of whose wisdom it may suffice to say, that sir Walter Mildmay refused to build his college (he was the noble founder of Emmanuel) unless Chadderton would promise to accept the mastership. Sparkes and Knewstubs were also eminent divines. The infirmities of such men must surely have been shaded with some tints of piety and virtue; and to such men it was, king James addressed his memorable bravado, “I will harry them out of the land or else do worse:” this, too, at a conference in which he had invited or rather commanded them to sustain a part.

20. The third day’s conference began; but by this time the puritans were disheartened, and were little more than passive spectators. The subject of discussion was, the court of high commission, and the oath *ex officio* by which suspected persons were compelled to criminate themselves. Many civilians were present by command, as the

\* Fuller’s Worthies.

CHAPTER  
X.  
JAMES I.  
A. D. 1603.

CHAPTER

X.

JAMES I.

A. D. 1603.

question partly concerned the civil constitution of the state. A nameless lord\* had the courage to declare that the proceedings in that court were like the Spanish inquisition ; he was answered by the archbishop and the lord chancellor. And then the king himself defended the court, and especially the oath of conjuration, with such wondrous wisdom, that all the lords, and the rest of the present auditors, stood amazed at it. The scene that followed is one of the most humiliating upon the page of English history. “The archbishop of Canterbury said, that undoubtedly his majesty spake by the special assistance of God’s spirit.” “The bishop of London, on his knees, protested that his heart melted within him, as he doubted not did the hearts of the whole company, with joy, and made haste to acknowledge to Almighty God, his singular mercy in giving us such a king, as since Christ’s time the like he thought had not been seen.”† Nor did the bishop of London mistake the feelings of his audience ; for the lords with one voice did yield a very affectionate acclamation ; and the civilians present confessed, that they could not with many an hour of preparation, have spoken so well and wisely as the king. The years of the primate claim for him the forbearance which is due to decaying faculties, and perhaps a second childishness. The servility of the bishop of London wants this, the only possible, excuse. In the adulation of the courtiers

\* Fuller iii. p. 190.

† Barlow.

and the facile admiration of civilians, men learned in the law, we see some at least of the latent causes of James's infatuation; and, if so, of those convulsions which brought to the scaffold his equally infatuated son. Weak, and vain, and insolent as James was, he could scarcely keep pace with the homage of his courtiers. He was probably the most credulous man then alive; but he could hardly believe in the nauseous flatteries with which this memorable conference closed.

CHAPTER  
X.JAMES I.  
A. D. 1603.

21. The result of the conference was received with various emotions; with triumph by the high prelatic party, by the puritans with disgust and mere contempt. The part which had been taken in the management of it by the king, the prelates, and the puritans, was summed up in one of those pithy sentences into which the result of much observation, and the comparison of various opinions, is finally condensed. The king, it was said, was above himself, the bishop of London appeared even with himself, and Dr. Reynolds fell much beneath himself. More commodiously expressed, the verdict of the times is precisely that of posterity. King James prided himself on his theology, and he had sought a field on which to display an accomplishment then much in vogue. The prelates (not all of them, by any means, but those who were extreme against the puritans) had found in the bishop of London a keen advocate, and an able expositor. The puritans had been unfairly treated, browbeaten, jeered at, silenced. As will ever happen in a defeated party, many of the puritans

CHAPTER X.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D. 1603.

blamed their advocates. It is true not one of them possessed the resolution of Knox, the fearlessness of Latimer, or even the gentle pertinacity of John Foxe. But they had other qualities which fitted them for their task; deep learning, eloquence, a birth and education which at least entitled them to respect; and above all, the conviction, deep and strong within them, that their cause was the cause of God, and deeply involved the interests of true religion. But in truth their task was such as none could have accomplished; namely, to extort concessions from an absolute sovereign, in his own palace, surrounded with courtiers and counsellors no less determined than himself that no concessions should be made. It would have been more politic to have declined the unequal contest, and satisfied themselves with a written statement of their grievances, and a petition for redress.

22. Within a few weeks of the conference at Hampton court, Whitgift and Cartwright died; the two men, the impression of whose character abides with us still, and will probably never be effaced from the religious institutions of our land. The church of England has many features for which it is indebted to Whitgift. The puritans, while they continued to exist, then the nonconformists, and now the dissenters, claim Cartwright as their great founder. Of Whitgift as a man, it is difficult to speak. Most of what is said of him by contemporaries appears now extravagant, whether for good or evil. With a few exceptions,

this was the manner of the times. Biography was a caricature. But making allowance for this excess, the character of Whitgift comes down to us as one blemished indeed, and stained with a severity which, however meant, was nothing else than cruelty, but not without the marks of greatness and of piety; greatness and piety be it understood, such as may co-exist with a narrow mind and a severe temper. His defence of episcopacy, in reply to Cartwright, assigns him a high place among controversial writers. The patron of Hooker, and the prompter from whose suggestions the "Ecclesiastical polity" arose, must have been shrewd in discerning ability in others, and generous in bringing it to light. Hooker's treatise was meant to supersede his own; he foresaw his triumph and promoted it. Nor was he destitute of kindness. When Essex was condemned, he pleaded for his life\* with so much earnestness that he lost, for some time, the favour of the queen, or rather provoked her passion. As a divine, his powers have never been questioned; and those who were acquainted with him in private life, speak of him with reverence mingled with affection. With a gentler spirit, and a lot cast in happier times, Whitgift might have been one of the bright ornaments of the English church. Whether, from the prescience which is sometimes granted to a wise old age, and seems to be prophetic, or from that causeless jealousy and fear of coming evil which is more frequent in decaying years, Whit-

CHAPTER  
X.JAMES I.  
A. D. 1603.

\* Paul's Whitgift.



CHAPTER X.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D. 1603.

Feb. 28,  
 1603.

gift, though he had triumphed at Hampton court, dreaded the opening of parliament, and hoped he might not live to see it. He would rather, he said, render an account of his bishopric to God, than continue, among so many troubles, to discharge it upon earth. His last words, twice uttered, were characteristic of the man,—“*Pro ecclesia Dei.*” “For the church of God!”

23. Cartwright was amongst the first, and survived to be almost the last of the early puritans. He was warmly attached to the church of England, and in his later days, at least, no enemy to episcopacy. But another school arose with whom not amendment, but subversion, was the cry. Into this some of the early puritans were absorbed, others submitted to a state of things which they did not approve entirely, rather than incur the perils of change, or even the hazards of resistance. The stream of innovation rushed on, while Cartwright seemed to lag behind. His fate was that which often befalls a leader of pure conscience, and defective courage or weak judgment. He had raised a tempest which he could not still. He had taught disciples who lived to scorn their master. He had disseminated principles, so his own pupils told him, which condemned his moderation, and made it seem to be mere cowardice. But whatever his failings as the leader of a party, he was eminent as a private christian. No doubt he felt, as years passed over him, and his mind became more deeply seasoned with spiritual affections, that the church was to be improved, not

by the renovation of its institutions, not by strife and revolution, but by the grace and spirit of God working in the hearts, and purifying the lives of professing christians. It was the fault of both parties to attach too much importance to the mechanism of a church, and to expect from it results which mechanism could never yield; and hence arose on both sides a tenacity, which both sides mistook for zeal in the cause of God. Cartwright seems to have perceived his error, without making any formal retractation of the principles of his early life. His theory of church government might be still the same; but it no longer occupied the same place in his affections. He died in peace, as we have already mentioned, in the asylum provided for him at Warwick, by his generous patron "the good earl of Leicester."

CHAPTER  
X.JAMES I.  
A. D. 1603.Dec. 27,  
1603.

24. Before Whitgift's death, a writ was issued to assemble the convocation. Bancroft succeeded to the primacy, but while it was still vacant he presided as bishop of London over this great synod, which was held in the year 1604.\*

25. In this famous convocation the constitutions and canons ecclesiastical by which the church of England is governed were agreed upon. They are one hundred and forty-one in number, and were immediately published by the king's authority under the great seal of England. But neither then or ever since, have they received the sanction of parliament, and in consequence they are not binding on the laity, not binding *proprio*

\* It is generally called the synod of 1603, because convoked in that year; but its actual sittings were held during the year 1604.

CHAPTER *vigore*, as Blackstone has observed, but only so  
 X. far as they embody other canons which had pre-  
 JAMES I. viously the authority of laws. They bind the  
 A. D. 1604. clergy by virtue of their oath of canonical obedi-  
 ence.

26. Few men of any party will now be found, to justify the hard and rigorous spirit, which several of these enactments breathe. They were no sooner published than some wise and moderate men, (so writes the catholic-minded Fuller,) expressed their apprehension that they were too heavy a burden to be long borne; and that it was enough for the episcopal party to have triumphed over their adversaries without insulting them. If cursing, says a nonconformist writer,\* could have crushed the puritans, we should have heard of them no more. Excommunication is denounced unsparingly. To deny the church of England to be a true and apostolic church; to say that its worship is superstitious or containeth any thing in it that is repugnant to the scriptures; or that the thirty-nine articles are in any part erroneous; or that the rights and ceremonies of the church are superstitious, is to subject the offender to excommunication, ipso facto. Such, too, is the punishment of those who deny the lawful government of archbishops, bishops, deans, &c., and of those who, seceding from the church, combine themselves into a new brotherhood, and affirm that their meetings or congregations are true and lawful churches.†

\* Price's Hist. Non-conformists, i. 476.

† Canons agreed on in Convocation, &c. 1603.

These, with some other censures of a similar kind, it is needless to add, were intended to complete the discomfiture of the puritans. The disgrace of these barbarous canons belongs to the convocation in which they passed; but prejudice, fomented from time to time by some of her assailants, still lays it to the church of England; and it must be allowed that some degree of censure fairly belongs to her for permitting the canons to remain so long without revision. But of the obnoxious canons, some are obsolete, and some illegal. The act of toleration has interposed, to silence the thunder of excommunication. The obnoxious canons, once so formidable, stand, it is true, on the church's statute book; but they stand there antiquated and obsolete; as harmless as the instruments of torture, of the same age, preserved as relics in the Tower. And for nearly two centuries, seceders have been as little in dread of them. The clergy are bound, it is true, to obey their bishops in all things canonical; but the bishops are not superior to the law. No canon can be enforced, even should the rash attempt be made, which the laws of England have superseded and annulled. The oath of canonical obedience must, of necessity, be thus interpreted; the clergy bind themselves to obey the canons, only so far as the canons may be legally obeyed. And with these exceptions, and thus understood, the canons are, upon the whole, a wise and comprehensive code of ecclesiastical discipline, which still suit the circumstances of the church of England,

CHAPTER  
X.JAMES I.  
A. D. 1604.

CHAPTER and are a guide to the consciences of the clergy, and  
 X. of official laymen charged with spiritual functions,  
 JAMES I. where the letter of the law is obsolete, or on points  
 A. D. 1604. upon which it is no where else expressed.

27. But, however harmless these anathemas may be at present, there are few of them which have not done their work of vengeance in their time. They were not passed unanimously. There was, in the convocation of 1603, one man at least, who stood there with christian meekness, and with a firm yet almost pathetic eloquence, to advocate those principles of toleration which in their hearts both prelatists and puritans despised. It was in a discussion upon the cross in baptism, that Rudd, the bishop of St. David's, spoke. His words were the weightier, because he scrupled not the cross himself; "he wished that if the king's highness should insist upon imposing it, all would submit, rather than forego the ministry in that behalf." But he pleaded for concession and forbearance, both upon this and the kindred questions of absolute subscription, the surplice, and the ceremonies. He foresaw the evils of a house divided against itself; and foretold the day, (which came even sooner than he looked for it,) when, "for want of their joint labours, some such doleful complaint might arise as fell out upon an accident of another nature in the book of Judges, where it is said, 'for the divisions of Reuben were great thoughts of heart.'"

28. Who that has sighed over bishop Hall's sufferings, described in his "Hard measure," or



felt a burst of indignation as he thought upon the execution of Laud, will not revert to the convocation of 1603, and think too of the prophetic warning of bishop Rudd!—"Consider," he said, "who must be the executioners of their deprivation; even we ourselves, the bishops: against whom there will be a great clamour of them and their dependents, and many others well affected to them: whereby our persons shall be in hazard to be brought into great dislike, if not into extreme hatred: whereof what inconveniences may ensue, I leave to your good wisdoms to be considered of."\* Yet even Rudd did not foresee the full fury of the storm which in these violent proceedings the bishops and their party were contributing to the utmost to excite.

CHAPTER  
X.JAMES I.  
A. D. 1604.

29. The concessions made by the convocation are contained in the canon which explains the nature of the cross in baptism, and justifies its use; a concession which could not satisfy the puritans, inasmuch as it took for granted the very point against which they protested, namely, the right of a church to add a ceremony to a sacrament; and to decide for them that the addition, though allowed to be superfluous, was not superstitious. Still it shewed a conciliating spirit; and was no doubt conceded by the prelatie party not so much to the clamours of the puritans, as to the moderation of men like the bishop of St. David's. Perhaps, too, the array of excommunications with which, to a modern reader, the fortress seems to

\* Neal ii. 29.

CHAPTER X. bristle on every side, wore a somewhat different appearance to an ancient puritan. It would seem that excommunication was not extended, but re-  
 JAMES I. trenched. It is not enforced for "twelvepenny  
 A. D. 1604. matters." It is not deputed to mercenaries; to bishops' officers and laymen, and these too of worthless character, and mean condition. Had the canons been but gently pressed, they might even have afforded some relief to the harassed puritans. Had they superseded the court of high commission, the boon would have been great indeed: but unhappily this was not the case.

1604. 30. A proclamation was issued, commanding strict conformity; and the usual consequences followed. Many of the clergy were silenced; some were imprisoned; their flocks were irritated: the estrangement on both sides was grievously increased; and the lawfulness of separating from the church of England began at length to be generally discussed. The number of suspended ministers rises or falls in the relation of the partial historians of these times with their prejudices. Some say that fifteen hundred or more were ejected; others, scarcely a tenth part of that number. Maunsel, the minister of Yarmouth, and Mr. Ladd, a merchant of that town, are names that have come down to us as those of two of the sufferers in the persecution that ensued. Their case is remarkable. They were summoned before the high commissioners, and Ladd was imprisoned. Maunsel petitioned the house of commons; and for doing so and for refusing the oath,

he was imprisoned without bail. Upon a writ of habeas corpus, he was brought before the bar of the house; and his counsel, a bencher of Grays Inn, moved that the prisoners ought to be discharged, on the ground that the high commissioners were not empowered by law to imprison, or to administer the oath *ex officio*, or even to fine any of his majesty's subjects. This was a bold step; but neither the nation nor even the house of commons was yet ripe for it. Instead of serving his clients, Nicholas Fuller, (for his name deserves to be had in reverence) brought down ruin on himself. He was imprisoned at the instance of Bancroft, and neither the intercessions of his friends, nor his own most humble petitions, could obtain his release till the day of his death.\*

CHAPTER  
X.  
JAMES I.  
A. D  
1604—10.

31. Arthur Hildersham was a celebrated divine of high birth and great acquirements. Except in the matter of his nonconformity, his conduct won the respect of all, and he was happy in the veneration and love of his followers. He was descended from the royal house of the Tudors, and great nephew to cardinal Pole. Elizabeth herself vouchsafed to greet him as her cousin Hildersham. The history of his sufferings presents no exaggerated picture of those of multitudes of less distinguished men. Apart from other considerations, his life has the interest which always belongs to the oppressed.†

32. He was born in 1563. His parents still clung to the ancient faith, and he was brought up

\* Neal ii. 49.

† Brooke's Lives of the Puritans, ii. 376.

CHAPTER X. in the superstitions of popery, and taught to repeat his prayers in Latin. He was sent to Cambridge, to Christ's college, and was there converted to the protestant faith. His father was alarmed and angry, and removed him from the university; resolving to send him to Rome, where he hoped that the youth would be at once reclaimed and promoted. The son refused to go, and the father disinherited and cast him off. Such trials must have frequently occurred when many a house was divided against itself; and the father was set against the son, and the son against the father. These were the conflicts of the reformation; conflicts more bitter than even the dungeon and the stake.

JAMES I.  
A. D.  
1604—10.

33. Young Hildersham left the university in sorrow and want, and was met by chance in London by a Mr. Ireton, a clergyman of Cambridge, who inquired the reason of his absence. He told the story, and what he suffered for conscience sake. His generous friend was moved, and went immediately to the earl of Huntingdon, the lord president of the north, to whom Hildersham was related. Lord Huntingdon took up his cause, sent him to the university again, and liberally supported him there. Through the influence of lord Burghley he obtained a fellowship at Trinity hall; but within two years he was deprived of it by the high commission for having preached before he was ordained. He appears to have submitted and confessed his error; for we find him soon afterwards preaching at Ashby-de-la-Zouch, to which

he had been invited by his constant friend, lord Huntingdon. In 1590 he married; the same year found him again before the high commission, who now suspended him from his ministry, and exacted a pledge that he would desist from preaching in any part of England, as the price of his remaining at large. The next year the prohibition was relaxed; he was now forbidden to preach only on the south of the river Trent. Thus he was still silenced at Ashby. But owing no doubt to the influence of his high connexions at the court, this restraint was soon afterwards removed, and he resumed his ministry. In 1596 he preached an assize sermon at Leicester, which so displeased the judge that he required the jury to indict the preacher; but they refused, and it was said no Leicestershire jury would have consented to do so. In 1598, the high commission for the third time attempted to apprehend him; but whether, says his biographer, he was imprisoned, or concealed himself till the storm was over, we have not been able to learn. He was at the conference at Hampton court, and presented a number of petitions to the king on behalf of the puritans. Within two years, in 1605, he was silenced for non-conformity, and remained for upwards of three years under ecclesiastical censure. Still he was permitted occasionally, by the kindness of the bishop of Lichfield and Coventry, to preach in his diocese; and towards the close of the year 1608 he was restored to his ministry at Ashby, by the favour of Dr. Barlow, the

CHAPTER

X.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1604—10.



CHAPTER X.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D. 1612. new bishop of Lincoln. Three years had scarcely passed, and he was again silenced by Neile, bishop of Lichfield, on a charge which, on the very face of it, was false and monstrous. He was accused of being connected with one Whiteman, who taught Socianism at Burton-upon-Trent,—an offence for which he was burnt alive at Lichfield. It was the last instance in which this horrible torment was inflicted on the plea of heresy ; for public opinion already began to revolt against it. And yet, after the lapse of another century, one of the greatest of English judges hurried an aged female to the fire on an unproved charge of dealing with foul spirits. That judge Hale inflicted this torture on an old woman on the charge of witchcraft is, perhaps, the most solemn lesson upon record how prejudice and superstition may darken the purest reason, and goad it to the most revolting crimes.

34. Hildersham was of course acquitted ; but the episcopal censure was not removed. In 1612 he was once more summoned before the high commission, judicially admonished, and again suspended. Whether he was restored or not appears uncertain ; but he probably exercised his ministry by stealth, as the puritans never ceased to do as opportunities offered ; for in 1615 he was again before the high commission, and refusing the oath *ex officio*, was committed, first to the Fleet, then to the King's Bench, where he lay some time. Commissioners were sent down the next year to Ashby, to examine witnesses against him in his own parish : he was pronounced refractory and

disobedient, and a ringleader of schismatics. He was excommunicated, fined two thousand pounds, degraded from the ministry, ordered to be imprisoned, and to make a public recantation in terms dictated by the court, and lastly, he was condemned to pay the costs of the judicial suit. Hildersham, who was now at large, concealed himself, and petitioned for a mitigation of the sentence through various channels. At length the matter was compounded by his payment of a "great sum of money;" and he was discharged from the remainder of the fine. Two years afterwards he was again in trouble; a pursuivant broke into his study, and carried away his books, upon what charge does not appear. But in 1625, he obtained a licence from the archbishop himself, to preach within the dioceses of London, Lincoln, and Lichfield, and returned to his cure at Ashby. It must be recorded, that he immediately began a course of lectures on the 51st Psalm, which were published a few years afterwards; they were in number two hundred and fifty-two. Such was the zeal, and such the ministerial diligence of the puritan leaders.

35. His scruples were not yet removed; nor was the wrath of the ruling powers exhausted. In 1630, he was again silenced for refusing to make use of the hood and surplice; but the power of the high prelatie party had already begun to wane, and other troubles darkened the horizon. In 1631, he was finally restored, but his race was run, and soon afterwards he died in peace; and

CHAPTER  
X.  
JAMES I.  
A. D. 1612.

CHAPTER X. when he was no more, men of various parties  
 JAMES I. vied with each other in his praise. He was, says  
 A. D. one, a worthy divine, and a just man.\* He was,  
 1612—20. says another, a great and shining light of the  
 puritan party.† He was justly celebrated, says a  
 third, for his singular learning and piety. And Lily,  
 the famous astrologer, who had been a schoolboy at  
 Ashby, closes the many tributes of his eulogists  
 in these words : “ He was an excellent textuary,  
 of exemplary life, pleasant in discourse, a strong  
 enemy to the brownists, and dissented not from  
 the church of England in any article of faith, but  
 only about wearing the surplice, baptizing with  
 the cross, and kneeling at the sacrament.”

36. Such was the life of a puritan divine in the  
 days of king James the first. While reverence for  
 the good and sympathy for the oppressed continues  
 to be felt among men, the puritans of those times  
 will want neither reverence nor sympathy. As  
 a body they were men of sincere, and sometimes  
 of exalted, piety ; they were yet well affected to  
 the church of England, in spite of their several  
 topics of incessant protest and declamation, and  
 their aversion to some of her usages. Their pa-  
 triotism was warm, and so too was their loyalty ;  
 and it must be confessed both were sorely tried.  
 But it is not inconsistent to add, that their views  
 were often narrow and their objections captious.  
 If it be true that their spirits were sour, this in-  
 firmity is the consequence of oppression, which

\* Fuller.

† Echard.

maketh even wise men mad, and the disgrace be-  
 longs to their opponents as much as to themselves. CHAPTER  
X.  
 But the charge from which it is most difficult JAMES I.  
 to relieve them, is that of a stubborn perversity. A. D.  
 Their consciences were rather diseased than ten- 1612—20.  
 der. Admitting even that every grievance was real,  
 and that all they asked should have been conceded,  
 their conduct is not entirely justified. For a griev-  
 ance may be real without amounting to such a  
 wrong as justifies resistance; and demands may  
 be reasonably made which, if not conceded, ought  
 neither to beget ill-will nor obstinacy. Granting  
 that the rulers of the church were unwise and  
 intolerant, these are evils which christian men,  
 much more christian ministers, must bear with  
 patience. It might be right to protest at first  
 against the obnoxious ceremonies; could it be right  
 to embarrass the church for half a century with  
 reiterated and unceasing clamours? If there was  
 on the one side a most unreasonable severity, on  
 the other there was a pertinacity which ill ac-  
 corded either with the wisdom of the gospel or its  
 meekness. And meanwhile the common foe was  
 turning their divisions, with wary zeal and cun-  
 ning, to his own advantage. It was said that,  
 under the garb of puritan ministers jesuits intro-  
 duced themselves and sowed the dragon's teeth  
 which sprung up into the hydras of rebellion and  
 apostacy. The puritans complained to the king  
 that thousands of his subjects had returned to  
 popery. He answered with a sorry jest, and told  
 them, by way of reprisals, to go and convert an

CHAPTER equal number of Spaniards and Italians. But it  
 X. ought to have occurred to them how far the mis-  
 chief had been owing to themselves : to their ob-  
 JAMES I. struction of the episcopal authority and of the just  
 A. D. influence of the established church ; to the mis-  
 1610—20. chievous diversion of the common people from  
 the great and sanctifying doctrines of personal  
 religion, to discussions (which to the multitude  
 must always be unprofitable) upon recondite  
 questions of church government. The faults of  
 the puritans do not strike us, up to this period  
 of their history, as much as those of their ad-  
 versaries. The intolerance of Whitgift, and the  
 insolent humours of the king, are more palp-  
 able and more offensive. And the balance of  
 wrong-doing and of injustice was, beyond a doubt,  
 with the high church, or rather prelatie and court  
 party. But the puritans were not without a full  
 share of blame ; and had they been more kindly  
 used, history would perhaps have summed up  
 their errors with more severity.



## CHAPTER XI.

1. THE expectations of the puritans, which had been highly raised on the accession of James, were grievously cast down by the conference at Hampton court, and utterly destroyed by the convocation that followed soon after. One hope alone remained; the hope of the dejected and forlorn. It was embraced with reluctance, and deep misgivings of heart: but once resolved upon, it was carried into effect with such energy as only men exert who are impelled alternately by hope and by despair. A new world had lately been discovered. On shores yet unpolluted by superstition, perhaps untrodden by the foot of man, they might find a peaceful asylum, and, free from the dread of dungeons and courts of high commission, worship God in truth. These were their aspirations; and at the distance of two centuries, none but the most insensible and stupid can read the story of their enterprize, without something of the awe and reverence which great virtue struggling with great adversity, and so achieving

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.

CHAPTER its lasting triumph, never fails, sooner or later, to  
 XI. command.\*

JAMES I. 2. Such a scheme was not altogether new.  
 A. D. The unhappy Huguenots of France had already  
 1610—23. sought a refuge in the wilds of North America.  
 And so great had been their success in coloniz-  
 ing those distant regions, that a patent had been  
 issued, by their friend and patron, Henry IV.,  
 giving to De Monts, a calvinist, the sovereignty  
 of a region which stretches from Philadelphia to  
 Montreal. His patent secured freedom of reli-  
 gion for the Huguenots, with other privileges. It  
 even seemed as if a vast empire would be founded  
 in the west, the protestant colony of France. But  
 whether from the greater energy of the Saxon  
 race, or from causes determined solely by a higher  
 power, and uninfluenced by the conduct of his  
 creatures, the colony and the institutions of De  
 Monts have vanished, while the friendless puri-  
 tans of England laid the first foundation of a great  
 nation—one of the greatest under heaven: the  
 representative and offspring of our own. One  
 third of the European population of the vast  
 American republic of our times, acknowledges a  
 puritan origin. Within fifteen years from the  
 sailing of the first timid bark, freighted with these  
 anxious emigrants, there had followed four thou-  
 sand families, consisting of more than twenty-one  
 thousand souls. Their descendants, twelve years  
 ago, were numbered at four millions. In the states

\* For the facts related in this chapter, the authorities are chiefly  
 Mather's *Hist. New England*; Bancroft's *Hist. United States of Ame-  
 rica*, (Boston, 1840.); Sewell's *Hist. of Quakers*; *Lives of Robinson*,  
 and others, in Brooke, Neal, &c.

of New York and Ohio, they constitute one half the population. So astonishing the results of the enterprize we are about to relate, and so benevolent the purposes of Him who protected their wanderings, and guided their almost desperate adventures.

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.  
A. D.  
1610—23.

3. John Robinson, the pastor of a congregation of English brownists at Leyden, first suggested the undertaking which was to lead to such important consequences. In an age when the influence of the christian minister was always great, he had a power of influence and control unequalled. In days when men aspersed their opponents with bitterness, and reviled each other without shame or restraint, he passed blameless through life, or was blamed only for his nonconformity. He was one of the first fruits of Immanuel college; and churchmen, who cannot but regret the loss of such a name from their glorious calendar of great and good men, will feel, perhaps, that his course through life explains, to some extent, the coldness with which the new puritan foundation was regarded by the rulers of the church. Dr. Chadderton, the master, was a puritan, but moderate, and anxious to conform; the pupil naturally outwent the teacher, and in a short time resigned his charge in the neighbourhood of Yarmouth, and declared himself a brownist—a dissenter from the church of England. He suffered some years of constant hardship; and at length—though not without extreme difficulty, watched, and threatened—contrived, with his hearers, to escape to Holland. He first attempted

CHAPTER XI.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D.  
 1610—23.

to settle at Amsterdam; but persecution had not yet taught mutual forbearance even to the puritans. There was another congregation of English refugees at Amsterdam, who differed on some points from the brownists. A quarrel and a separation followed; and Robinson, who was a man of peace, retired to Leyden, in 1608. There he and his flock abode for above ten years, and won the confidence and respect of the magistrates and citizens. But they felt it was a life of exile. Neither the manners nor the language of the Germans pleased them. They began to think of migrating in a body across the wide, and as yet almost untried, Atlantic. Robinson gave his influence to the scheme, and under his auspices it was carried into effect.

4. The Greeks of old revered with heathenish superstition the ship in which the Argonatus, it was fabled, had once sailed to Colchis. The English at this day regard, with a fondness not to be severely blamed, the vessel in which Nelson died. The children of the puritans, with equal reason, cherish the time-honoured names of the Mayflower and the Speedwell. They were the two ships—if ships they could be termed, the one of sixty tons, the other of one hundred and eighty—in which the exiles of Leyden, *the pilgrim fathers*, embarked upon their voyage.

5. Robinson's congregation exceeded three hundred; and as they were unable to provide at once for the transport of the whole number, it was agreed that their pastor should remain for the present at Leyden, with the remainder of the flock,

whose future steps should be guided by the reports of the first adventurers. Few events in puritan history are more touching, or more worthy of being had in remembrance, than the last parting (for such it proved) of Robinson, the brownist minister, and his exiled and heroic band of christian emigrants. Before the day of parting came, the magistrates bore a voluntary and honourable testimony to their good conduct. Several of the Dutch were anxious to go with them, and would have contributed largely to the expenses of the enterprise. But the English character was then, as now, retiring, sensitive, and jealous. They would have no associates who did not speak the English tongue, and obey the English monarch ;—“in a few generations,” too, “their own posterity would become Dutch !” So strong their nationality after all their persecutions.

6. When the ship was ready to sail, the whole congregation met. There was a solemn fast and prayer. Robinson then addressed them in a farewell speech, “breathing,” says a republican historian, “a freedom of opinion, and an independence of authority, such as then were hardly known in the world.” Happily the speech is on record, and the exiled pastor of Leyden spoke in a much wiser and much holier strain. He impressed the lessons of piety, not of a vainglorious self-sufficiency or a self-willed independence. “I charge you,” said he, “that you follow me no further than you have seen me follow the Lord Jesus Christ.” There were few men then alive, who could have given

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.



CHAPTER utterance to the sentiment which followed. It  
XI. bespeaks a wisdom, which few had yet attained.  
JAMES I. "The Lord has more truth yet to break forth out  
A. D. of his holy word. I cannot sufficiently bewail  
1610—23. the condition of the reformed churches, which  
are come to a period in religion, and will go, at  
present, no further than the instruments of their  
reformation.—Luther and Calvin were great and  
shining lights in their times, yet they penetrated  
not into the whole counsel of God. The luther-  
ans cannot be drawn to go beyond what Luther  
saw: and the calvinists, you see, stick fast where  
they were left by that great man of God. I beseech  
you remember it;—'tis an article of your church  
covenant,—that you shall be ready to receive  
whatever truth shall be made known to you from  
the written word of God." The character of the  
New England puritans would have come down to  
us, free from some dark spots, had the audience  
comprehended the wisdom of their pastor's admo-  
nition. In conclusion, he advised them to shake  
off the name of brownists,—in which it seems they  
took delight,—as "a mere nickname, which made  
religion odious." A parting feast was given,  
at the pastor's house, "where," writes Edward  
Winslow who was one of the guests, "after tears,  
we refreshed ourselves with singing of psalms,  
making joyful melody in our hearts, as well as with  
the voice: indeed, it was the sweetest melody  
that ever mine ears heard." Overwhelmed as they  
were with tender emotions they had dauntless  
spirits and a sure confidence in God. The whole

congregation moved together to Delft Haven, where the emigrants embarked. Prayer was again offered by their beloved pastor; tears in abundance were shed; and they parted in deep silence, "for the abundance of sorrow." *Cheers*, and noisy demonstrations, were never much in vogue among the puritans; but "a volley of small shot, and three pieces of ordnance," announced to those on shore the hearty courage and affectionate adieus of those on board:" and so, continues Winslow, "lifting up our hands to one another, and our hearts to the Lord, we departed."

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.

7. On the 5th of August, 1620, the two vessels, freighted with the first New England colonists, sailed from the Southampton river. Within a few days, the *May-flower* was found to need repairs, and they put back to Dartmouth. Eight days were lost, and again they sailed. Now the captain of the *Speedwell* feigned or felt alarm, and insisted on returning to Plymouth. Here the *Speedwell* was dismissed, and some of the company, disheartened, gave up the enterprize, and went back to London. A hundred souls, men and wives and infants and children, were crowded into the little *May-flower*, and on the 6th of September, 1620, took their last leave of England. Their feelings, we may believe, were softened towards their native land, and they thought, with a melancholy pride and thankfulness, of her glory and her greatness. One who has left a narrative of a somewhat later voyage of the puritans, has given us their parting ejaculation, as

1620.

CHAPTER they lost sight of the land of their fathers. The  
 XI. same words, perhaps, escaped the lips, or swelled  
 JAMES I. unexpressed in the bosoms, of the earlier voyagers  
 A. D. on board the adventurous May-flower. "They did  
 1610—23. not say, Farewell Babylon! farewell Rome! But,  
 FAREWELL DEAR ENGLAND!"\*

8. A voyage of sixty-three days brought them in sight of America. They landed at Cape Cod, where the two great seaport towns of Plymouth and of Boston were shortly founded, names which to this day attest the grateful patriotism no less than the energy and hope of the pilgrim fathers. Plymouth was so named in remembrance of the christian sympathy they had received from the last town in which they had sought refuge from the perils of the sea in England; and Boston was a memorial of their early home in Lincolnshire, before intolerance had forced them into the swamps of Holland. In front of the town-hall of Plymouth in New England, there lies a dark rough mass of granite, which is looked upon with reverence by every true son of the greatest republic the world ever saw. On this the pilgrims landed; upon this stone they stepped; upon this rock they took possession of an heritage of boundless extent; and here they entered upon a new career, of which, could they have foreseen the consequences, it is a question not easily resolved, whether more of awe and fear, or of gratitude and exultation, would have taken possession of their souls. The stone, hallowed by such associations,

\* Mather's Hist. b. iii. ch. 1.

has been rolled from its native bed upon the sea-beach, and placed, a national monument, touching and appropriate, in the midst of a city, the earliest that can boast the origin, founded by puritans.

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.  
A. D.

1610—23.

9. The colonists regarded themselves as the loyal subjects of England. They had obtained a patent from the company of merchants to whom king James had entrusted the planting of New England—for so what are now the northern states of the American republic were then entitled.—But their charter proved to be of little value ; and they seem to have experienced at once the perils and the relief of perfect liberty. Even in their little company were some unruly spirits, who, having disdained the yoke of authority at home, were little disposed to submit themselves abroad to a dictatorship of their own brethren. While they were yet at sea, the majority found it expedient to draw up a declaration of their principles of civil government. To this all the men of the party, forty-one in number, subscribed their hands, and promised all due submission and obedience ; and John Carver was chosen their president or governor, for the space of one year. The death of Carver occurred within his year of office ; and a successor was chosen by general suffrage. In fact, their civil constitution was a republic, and reminds us of the democracies of ancient Greece, from its extreme simplicity. Every male inhabitant was a member of the legislature ; the governor had but a double vote ; and he was assisted or checked by a council of seven ; the people were frequently

CHAPTER XI. convened on executive as well as judicial ques-  
 tions. And this state of things continued for

JAMES I. eighteen years ; a long period for so loose and yet

A. D. so inflammable a system. At length the increase  
 1610—23. of population, and the inevitable confusion of a

state in which all by turns obey and govern, and are submissive and supreme, led to the introduction of the representative system. A committee of delegates was sent from each town to a general court : the model of the independent provincial legislatures of the various states of the great American Union. The change was not effected without uneasiness ; nor were the politics of these early settlers always free from agitation and disquiet. But the subject belongs to the secular historian, and we proceed to other matters.

10. The conduct of the new community was at first well worthy of their cause. They behaved like men who had forsaken home and kindred, not for ambition or for gain, but for conscience and for God. The winter had set in with stern severity on their arrival ; their log huts were not yet erected, and every hour was important. Yet the first sabbath-day was observed with deep solemnity. Pestilence and famine came upon them like an armed man. They arrived in December : in May one half their number lay in the silent grave—silent, but not quiet ; for the Indians had heard of the coming of “ the pale faced men,” and began to harass their new settlement. The graves were ploughed over and sown with corn to hide them from the desecration of the savages.



Their stores failed; their bread had long since disappeared, or been prudently reserved for seed. For months not a biscuit nor a loaf was seen among them. When they were visited, some time after, by a friendly party of neighbouring colonists, all the hospitality they could offer was a meal of dried shell fish, with "a cup of fair spring water." Yet none repined. When the captain of the *May flower*, anxious to be gone, spread his sails in the month of May for England, not one of the surviving fifty whom the pestilence had spared, repented of the enterprise and sought permission to return. They formed themselves into a church, of course upon the brownist or independent model, and regulated the conduct of the colony by the principles of scripture. All were compelled to work at first upon a common stock, like the early christians at Jerusalem; not because they adopted the principle of a community of goods, but as a matter of convenience. But after a short trial the system was abandoned, and each family had a plot of ground assigned to it. Crimes were few; but they were severely punished. The governor's journal records the first offence, and its somewhat curious penalty. "The first offence since our arrival is of John Billington, who first came on board at London, and is this month convented before the whole company, for his contempt of the captain's lawful command, with opprobrious speeches, for which he is adjudged to have his neck and heels tied together;" but humbling himself, and craving

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A.D.

1610—23.

CHAPTER XI.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D. 1610—23.

pardon, the culprit was forgiven. Two men-servants who had carried out, it seems, one of the most preposterous vices of the old world, and introduced it at New Plymouth, were more severely handled. The governor again relates that a duel with sword and dagger took place, in which both parties received a wound. This mutually-inflicted penalty not being thought sufficient, they too “were adjudged by the whole company to have their head and feet tied together, and so to lie for twenty-four hours without meat and drink.” The sentence was immediately enforced; but such were the torments of the sufferers, or such the tenderness of the puritans, that within an hour, at their own and their master’s humble request, upon promise of better conduct, they were released. What would be the feelings of governor Bradford and the pilgrim fathers, could they know the more than European wickedness with which some of those who boast their puritan ancestry now fight in single combat with the unerring rifle and the murderous bowie-knife?

11. The habits of the New England puritans were devout, and their active virtues worthy of a lasting eulogy. Their sabbaths were solemnly observed; and they could soon boast a school of prophets of their own, “the New England divines;”—a school not easily forgotten, had it included no other names than those of Mather, Cotton, Higginson, and Elliot; by the suffrages of every church and the voice of two centuries, Elliot, the prince of

missionaries and the apostle of North America. Robinson, their early guide, did not live to accomplish his ardent wishes; poverty and its attending hindrances kept him still in Leyden. But his pious counsels followed his expatriated flock, and were received with a due respect. The Indians were astonished one day, upon a visit to New Plymouth, with the sabbath stillness that prevailed. Three days they knew had elapsed since the previous Sunday; and yet again all was silent except the voice of prayer, or the louder tones of fervid exhortation. It was a fast-day to supplicate for rain. In a few days the copious rains fell, and a long and perilous drought was at an end. The Indians began to fear the God of the pale strangers. Efforts were not wanting for their conversion. They were visited in their native woods by a deputation from the colony, who found them open to instruction. "We had with them," reports Winslow, "much profitable conference." The immediate occasion of the discourse of which he speaks marks the manner of the teachers. The Indians had observed that their guests "did crave a blessing on their meat, and afterwards gave thanks," and asked the meaning of the strange custom. Their Indian interpreter, Hobbamoc, was baptized, and in his death "left some good hopes," they say, "that his soul went to rest." Hearing of the sickness of a neighbouring sachem or chief, medicines and aid were provided; and his tribe saw with amazement the effect of charms hitherto unknown to them, in the immediate recovery

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.

CHAPTER of their head. Their benevolence to their own  
 XI. countrymen was, perhaps, more disinterested.  
 JAMES I. Puritans were not the only, nor indeed the first,  
 A. D. Englishmen, who explored New England. Sir  
 1610—23. Walter Raleigh's report of the fabled gold mines  
 of the west had touched the ambition of many an  
 adventurer. They were for the most part idlers,  
 spendthrifts, and men of careless lives, including  
 some gentlemen and goldsmiths, who thought of  
 nothing but sudden wealth, and talked of nothing  
 but how "to dig gold, wash gold, refine gold, and  
 load gold." A Californian hectic in the seven-  
 teenth century! Such neighbours must have been  
 always unwelcome to a colony of puritans. But a  
 more serious inconvenience arose from one of their  
 own friends. Weston, a merchant of London who  
 had assisted them with funds at Leyden and  
 warmly encouraged their enterprise, no sooner  
 1622. received the first tidings of their success than he  
 resolved to establish a rival colony. He freighted  
 two ships at his own cost, and commissioned the  
 emigrants to colonize for his own exclusive bene-  
 fit. They were men of another character,—rude  
 adventurers: they had no aim "to do good or plant  
 religion;" some were openly profane; others mean  
 and unfit (as may indeed be supposed of those who  
 would undertake such a mission) for "an honest  
 man's company." Yet they were received at Ply-  
 mouth with gravity no doubt, but with substan-  
 tial kindness. While hesitating where to settle  
 they lived upon the hospitable puritans, and re-  
 turned their kindness in acts of daily and nightly

depredation, and in "backbitings and revilings." Nothing related of the Plymouth puritans, is more deeply to their honour; nothing affords a more convincing proof of the wholesome and practical character of their religion. They saw the graceless intruders wasting their substance in riot, when they had scarcely bread to eat, and yet continued their generosity; and when, at length, they moved away to the bay of Massachusetts, they were permitted to leave behind their sick and maimed, under the care of the surgeon of the colony, who, "by the help of God, recovered them gratis." But Weston had once befriended them, and now, with true and christian forbearance, his kindness was remembered, his subsequent duplicity forgotten.

12. Such were the virtues of the New England puritans. Revered beyond the Atlantic, as the pilgrim fathers, the founders of great cities, and of states renowned through the wide world, for wealth, intelligence, and liberty, their memory is cherished in England, with feelings of silent respect rather than of unmixed admiration. For their inconsistencies were almost equal to their virtues; and here, while we respect their integrity we are not blinded to their faults. A persecuted band themselves, they soon learned bitterly to persecute each other. The disciples of liberty, they confined its blessings to themselves. The loud champions of the freedom of conscience, they allowed of no freedom which interfered with their narrow views. Professing a mission of gospel holiness, they fulfilled it but in part. When

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.



CHAPTER XI. opposed, they were revengeful; when irritated,  
 JAMES I. fanatical and cruel. In them, a great experi-  
 A. D. ment was to be tried under conditions the most  
 1610—23. favourable to their success; and it failed in its  
most important point. The question to be solved  
was this. How would the puritans, the hunted,  
persecuted puritans, behave, were they but once  
free, once at liberty to carry their principles into  
full effect? The answer was returned from the  
shores of another world. It was distinct and  
unequivocal. And it was this; they were pre-  
pared to copy the worst vices of their English per-  
secutors, and, untaught by experience, to imitate  
their worst mistakes. It is a subject upon which  
party writers love to expatiate; but to every chris-  
tian mind it is deeply painful. The severities of  
Whitgift seemed to be justified, when it was made  
apparent, on the plains of North America, that  
they had been inflicted upon men who wanted  
only the opportunity to inflict them again, and to  
inflict them on each other.

13. The first occasion upon which the stern unchristian spirit, which at this period disfigured the character of both parties in England, broke out abroad, was within five years of their first arrival. The Indian tribes looked upon them at first with suspicion; then with kindness as they knew them better; after a time, when irritated by some of the marauding settlers, whom they naturally confounded with the puritans, with vexation and hostile sentiments. Not to relate the various aggravations of the quarrel, war was

at last determined on by the whole colony of puritans assembled in open council. The Indians had resolved upon the massacre of the white men; the white men resolved to massacre the Indians. A small party was enough, as the slaughter was to be the work of guile. Nor indeed were the puritans wanting in bravery; so that captain Standish, with eight companions, were judged to be a sufficient force. They affected a friendly bearing towards the chief conspirators, and lured them into an Indian wigwam. On a signal given the door was closed and the butchery began. Standish himself plunged his knife into the heart of one of the chiefs. The whole party returned in triumph unhurt, carrying with them the head of an Indian warrior, which, with a brutality unknown in England—where *traitors*, and not *enemies*, were thus empaled—was fixed upon the fort. The colonists affected to deplore the dreadful necessity. Their pastor still lived at Leyden; and when they looked for his congratulations he wrote thus in mournful accents:—"How happy a thing had it been if you had *converted* some, before you had *killed* any!"

14. A few years passed, and another scene of carnage defiles the history of the pilgrims of America. A settlement had now been made on the banks of the Connecticut. The Indians were alarmed. They saw their fishing grounds invaded, and began, with reason, to dread the white man's supremacy. No part of New England was more thickly covered with aboriginal inhabitants. One tribe, the Pequods, mustered above seven hun-

CHAPTER  
XI.  
JAMES I.  
A.D.  
1610—23.

CHAPTER XI.  
 JAMES I. A. D. 1610—23.  
 dred warriors; the settlers were less than two; and the Pequods showed a hostile spirit. They entered into an alliance with other tribes, and resolved to sweep the hated intruders from the ancient territories of the Indian family. If there be a justifiable cause of war, it surely must be this, when our country is invaded and our means of existence threatened. That the Indians fell upon their enemies by the most nefarious stratagems, or exposed them, when taken in war, to cruel torments, (though such ferocity is not alleged in this instance,) does not much affect the question. They were savages, and fought white men as they and their forefathers had always fought each other. How then should a community of christian men have dealt with them? Were they to contend as savages or as civilized men?—as civilized men, or rather as men who had forsaken a land of civilization for purer abodes of piety and peace? The Pequod war shows how little their piety could be trusted when their passions were aroused.

15. The staff of office—the marshal's baton—was solemnly delivered to Mason, the leader of the puritans, by Hooker their most venerated minister; and the greater part of the night was spent in prayer offered up, at the soldier's request, by another eminent minister, and they set out upon their march. The sabbath occurred two days afterwards, and the fierce band halted on their way, and observed it rigidly. After a week's

\* Bancroft i. 401,

marching, they came, at day-break, on the Indian wigwam, and immediately assaulted it. The massacre (so their own chronicler has termed it) spread from one hut to another; for the Indians were asleep and unarmed. But the work of slaughter was too slow. "We must burn them," exclaimed the fanatic chieftain of the puritans; and he cast the first firebrand to windward among their wigwams. In an instant the encampment was in a blaze. Not a soul escaped. Six hundred Indians, men women and children, perished by the steady aim of the marksmen, by the unresisted broadsword, and by the hideous conflagration. Of the English only two had fallen. Within an hour the slaughter was ended; and when the sun arose serenely in the east, it was the witness of the victory of the puritans—and of their endless shame.

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.

16. The work of revenge was not yet accomplished. In a few days a fresh body of troops arrived from Massachusetts, accompanied by their minister Wilson. The remnants of the proscribed race were now hunted down in their hiding places. Every wigwam was burned, every settlement broken up, every corn-field laid waste. There remained, says their exulting historian, not a man or a woman, not a warrior nor a child, of the Pequod name. A nation had disappeared from the family of man.\*

17. History records many a deed of blood equal in ferocity to this. Of aboriginal inhabitants, driven off and slaughtered for the sole crime of bravely defending the soil which, by the laws of

\* Bancroft i. 402.

CHAPTER XI.  
 JAMES I. A. D. 1610—23.

nature and of God, was theirs, we may read in some dark pages stained with blood, and yet not extend our search beyond the records of our own times and countrymen. But we shall seek in vain for a parallel to the massacre of the Pequod Indians. It brought out the worst point in the puritan character, and displayed it in the strongest light. When their passions were once inflamed their religion itself was cruelty. A dark fanatical spirit of revenge took possession, not as in other men, by first expelling every religious and every humane consideration, but what was infinitely more terrible, by calling to its aid every stimulant, every motive, that religion jaundiced and perverted could supply. It is horrible to read, when cities are stormed, of children thrown into the flames, and shrieking women butchered by infuriated men who have burst the restraints of discipline. It is a dreadful licence; and true and gallant soldiers, occur when it may, feel that their profession is disgraced. But this was worse. Here all was deliberately calm; all was sanctioned by religion. It was no outbreak of mere brutality. The fast was kept; the sabbath was observed; the staff of office, as a sacred ensign, was consecrated by one christian minister, while another attended upon the marching soldiery, and cheered them in the murderous design with his presence and his prayers. Piety was supposed not to abhor, but to exult in the exploit. This was true fanaticism. God's word and ordinances were made subservient to the greatest crimes. They were rudely forced



and violated, and made the ministers of sin. When the assailants, reeking from the slaughter, and blackened with the smoke, returned home, they were every where received with a pious ovation. God was devoutly praised, because the first principles of justice, nay, the stinted humanities of war, had been outraged, and unresisting savages, with their wives and children, had been ferociously destroyed.

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.

18. The intolerance with which the puritans had been treated at home might at least have taught them a lesson of forbearance to each other. But it had no such effect. It would almost seem as if, true disciples in the school of the high commission and star chamber, their ambition was to excel their former tyrants in the art of persecution. They imitated, with a pertinacious accuracy, the bad example of their worse oppressors; and, with far less to excuse them, repeated in America the self-same crimes from which they and their fathers had suffered so much in England. No political considerations of real importance, no ancient prejudices interwoven with the framework of society, could be pleaded here. Their institutions were new; and their course was hampered by no precedents. Imagination cannot suggest a state of things more favourable to the easy, safe, and sure development of their views. Had they cherished a catholic spirit, there was nothing to prevent the exercise of the most enlarged beneficence. Their choice was made freely; and they decided in favour of intolerance; and

CHAPTER XI. their fault was aggravated by the consideration  
 that the experiment had been tried, and that they  
 themselves were living witnesses to its folly.

JAMES I. 19. The colonies of Plymouth and Boston were  
 A. D. formed, as we have seen, out of the extreme pu-  
 1610—23. ritans, the brownists; and it was perhaps to be  
 expected, that in them, as the most persecuted  
 sect, the greatest suspicion of new comers and  
 new opinions should exist. But the mild counsels  
 of their Leyden pastor seem for a few years to  
 have repressed their natural spirit of intoler-  
 ance; and the persecution began elsewhere.

1629. 20. The spirit of enterprise spread rapidly at  
 home as the reports of the prosperity of the first  
 puritan colony were confirmed from time to time.  
 A charter was granted by Charles I. to a new  
 company for colonizing Massachusetts bay. It  
 confirmed upon the settlers the rights of English  
 subjects; but it forbad them to make laws repug-  
 nant to the laws of England. Their chief ministers,  
 Cotton, Higginson, and Hooker, were still, when  
 they left home, ministers of the church of Eng-  
 land, though puritans. Within a year from the  
 arrival of the colonists, the spirit of persecution  
 had already banished two of their best men.

21. John and Samuel Brown are honourably  
 distinguished as the first professors who suffered  
 for their love to the church of their forefathers.

They were both members of the colonial coun-  
 cil; both were reputed to be sincere in their  
 affection for the good of the plantation. In short,  
 they were chief men among the colonists. But

they preferred the service of the book of common prayer, and resolved to adhere to it. For this offence they were seized like criminals, banished the colony, and forced back again with the returning ships to England. The service of the church of England was now, if possible, a greater crime in New England, than the conventicles of the brownists and anabaptists had been at home in the days of Whitgift and of Barlow. Imprisonment and banishment across the Atlantic for using the book of common prayer was a device of which even the court of high commission might have been justly proud. Cotton was at this time in Boston; and Cotton was eminent for meekness and gentleness; yet he saw these vindictive measures without distress, and writes to his friends in Holland that the order of the churches and the commonwealth in New England was such that it brought to his mind the new heavens and the new earth wherein dwelleth righteousness.

22. Roger Williams, a young and ardent minister, arrived in Massachusetts in 1631. The intolerable oppressions of Laud had forced him from England, and he fled to the puritans of the new world for an asylum. The life and sufferings of Williams throw light upon the puritan character, as it now began to shew itself, in an aspect altogether new, both at home and abroad.

The character of Williams has been handed down to us by puritan writers loaded with reproach. He is described by Neal as a rigid brownist, precise, uncharitable, of most turbulent

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.

CHAPTER XI.  
 JAMES I. A. D. 1610—23.

and boisterous passions. But his writings refute the first charge, and his conduct, under circumstances likely to arouse the gentlest spirit, contradicts the second. His offence was this. He enunciated, and lived to carry out, the great principle of perfect toleration amongst contending parties by whom it was equally abhorred. His name must be had in everlasting honour, as the first man in these later ages who taught that the civil magistrate may not coerce the conscience: that fines and stripes are not the proper means of restoring even the worst heretics to the communion of the church, or of the punishing their contumacy. As usual with those who announce some great truth, unknown or bitterly opposed, he was an enthusiast in defending his principles, and carried the application of them to an absurd and mischievous excess. He not only denied the right of the magistrate to punish, but he denied his right to interfere. He maintained, that as to civil government, all religions were alike: that is, he denied the right of a body of christian men to found a state upon christian principles. Jews and Turks, infidels and heretics, were to possess equal rights; or in other words, to exercise an equal share of judicial power and civic influence with their christian brethren. Of course, under such conditions, an established religion was impossible. He trusted simply to the force of truth to vindicate her own pretensions. A plausible theory, no doubt; but one, the consideration of whose merits we may be permitted to postpone,

until a community shall be found composed exclusively of men who are honestly in quest of truth, and, at the same time, under unerring guidance in their pursuit of it.

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.

23. The church of England had galled the consciences of the extreme puritans with the canon which denounced excommunication upon absentees from public worship. The extreme puritans were no sooner in possession of magisterial power, than they themselves renewed the same enactment, and rigorously enforced it. We, said they, are a colony of christian men: all our settlers must attend upon the worship of God. Against this law Williams protested. He was an ultra-calvinist, and to force men to go through the forms of worship whose souls were dead in sin, was, he said, like shifting a dead man into several changes of apparel. He pleaded the rights of conscience. The magistrates replied, that no man's conscience could be pleaded in defence of sin, and that such principles subverted all good government. Williams rejoined, that they desired to re-impose "a yoke of soul oppression." The breach widened, and Williams was, with much cruelty, banished from the colony. His chief opponent was Cotton, himself an exile for conscience sake, and a puritan minister. Williams suffered the greatest hardships on his journey through the snow and storms of a New England winter in search of a secure retreat. For fourteen weeks he had, he says, neither bread nor bed: and often in a stormy night, neither food nor fire,



CHAPTER XI.  
 JAMES I. A. D. 1610—23.  
 nor a companion to share his sorrow: he wandered without a guide, and found no better shelter than some hollow tree. God opened the heart of a savage chieftain to befriend him: “and the ravens,” he relates with gratitude, “fed me in the wilderness.” He arrived at length at RHODE ISLAND; and is revered as the founder of a state which still retains the impression of his character and principles in her various institutions.

24. Similar disputes arose with other men of less account than Roger Williams, and the result was in each case the same. The men who had fled from persecution avowed the principles of intolerance; and carried them into terrible effect with fanatical violence.

25. In truth, they began to perceive that the church at home was by no means to be so severely blamed. The principles of Whitgift and his party were not, after all, either tyrannical or unchristian. They had erred, indeed, and their error was one day to produce the most baneful consequences. But it was not an error in the principles they espoused, but in the unreasonable mode in which they were applied, and the excess to which they were carried; and to this the puritans were now awakening. What christian parent would not guide his family into habits of devotion? Who could blame the master of the house if he insisted on a due observance of the Lord’s day, and the presence of his children in the house of prayer? But if, as the children grew up to man’s estate, they felt, or feigned some scruples in submitting,

it would be preposterous to enforce on men and women the discipline of childhood. The parent may grieve, but he must not punish. He may remonstrate, but he has no longer the right of absolute control. In every case where trust and preference have a lawful place, he may with perfect justice repose his confidence in those who continue to obey him, and whose principles are in accordance with his own. If he be sincere he must do so. If those who have rejected his authority advance opinions subversive of his own, it cannot be denied that he ought to be allowed the right of silencing the objectors; or else of compelling them to withdraw from the society; otherwise his authority depends upon the precarious tenure of mere opinion—the opinion of the dissatisfied and discontented. And further still, as one who is himself accountable to God, it is his duty to repress and silence those opinions which palpably dishonour God's great name. These were the principles of Whitgift, and not less of the New England puritans, and they extended them from families to states. They are still the principles of our own constitution, and we have learned to reconcile them with a perfect toleration. Except for blasphemy, no man suffers punishment. Even members of the church of Rome exercise their worship unharmed, dangerous as some of their tenets are to every civil government which does not own allegiance to the pope. The state has outgrown its infancy. The conditions by which a patriarchal family was governed are no longer

CHAPTER  
XI.JAMES I.  
A. D.  
1610—23.

CHAPTER possible. And the alternative now lies, in all free  
 XI. states, not between conformity and toleration, but  
 JAMES I. between toleration and indifference. The state  
 A. D. may endow a church, but it can no longer punish  
 1610—23. dissidents. In the progress of society it has come  
 to this alternative, either to bear with noncon-  
 formity, or to make nonconformity impossible by  
 treating all religions and all creeds with equal  
 indifference. In England we adhere to the former  
 choice. The citizens of Rhode Island determined  
 otherwise; but there is nothing in their religious  
 history, for two hundred years, that leads us to  
 retrace our steps and follow their example. The  
 condition of religion in England will at least bear  
 a comparison with that of the most favoured of  
 the New England states.

26. The intolerance of the colonists was the  
 more disgraceful, because in matters purely reli-  
 gious there was scarcely a shade of disagreement  
 between their victim and themselves. Williams  
 was a zealous puritan; and yet he suffered for  
 maintaining that “the doctrine of persecution for  
 causes of conscience is contrary to the doctrine of  
 Jesus Christ.” This, like Luther’s, protestant  
 theses nailed to the doors of a popish cathedral,  
 spread dismay and indignation. And for this he  
 was an exile from his brethren. His piety was  
 sincere, and it displayed itself in his feelings to  
 those by whom he had been wronged. From his  
 banishment in Rhode Island, he wrote of them  
 in these memorable words. “I did ever, from my  
 soul, honour and love them, even when their judg-

ment led them to afflict me." Such a sentiment could only proceed from one who, however mistaken upon other points, was a true disciple of Him who was meek and lowly in heart.

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.

The spirit of persecution, once enflamed, has too often been quenched in blood. So it was in New England. Williams had many followers, who were made to share his fate—Wheelright, and Aspinwall, and Ann Hutchinson. But the atrocious cruelties which were inflicted upon the quakers a few years afterwards, would appear incredible, if not too well authenticated, and throw into the shade the lighter sorrows of Williams and his party. It is not without an effort, that even now the reader can force himself to believe that the fierce and relentless men who condemned Mary Dyer to the gallows were the children of English puritans, and the champions of their fathers' principles.

27. Quakerism was then young, and its founder, George Fox, was yet alive. It was ardent, and full of enthusiasm; it believed itself charged with a revelation, spiritual, and immediately from heaven; it despised the restraints, and sometimes the decorum, of civilized life. Still its fanaticism, though violent, was harmless and utterly averse to blood. Its first disciples needed, perhaps, some restraint, for the sake of public morals; but upon the whole, forbearance and compassion would have been more wholesome than sharper punishments, which, so long as they were persisted in, served only to inflame the disease.

CHAPTER XI.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D.  
 1610—23.

On some points, the quakers, with all their excesses, had learned a sobriety and wisdom which, both to high churchmen and puritans, was yet unknown. Anne Burden, a female quaker, went all the way from London to North America to impart the secret to the brownists of Massachusetts; and she had her recompense; "she was whipped with twenty stripes." Her crime was unpardonable. She had warned the magistrates of a New England colony, with the authority of one who believed herself a prophet sent from God, of the wickedness of persecution. The punishment, however, failed; or rather it produced its legitimate effect. A quaker prophetess, it was discovered, lost neither caste nor courage in the brutal ordeal of a public flogging. Other measures must be tried. Quakers were fined, and maimed, and banished; and quakerism was made a capital offence in the case of those who, once exiled, returned to the colony.

28. Mary Dyer, thus expelled from Massachusetts, found an asylum in Roger Williams' new colony of Rhode Island, where all sects were tolerated alike. But she believed that she was urged by the Spirit, who cannot err, to return to Boston. She "felt a call." Only the flippant and profane will scoff at the doctrine, or scorn the words in which it was expressed. It might, for aught we know, be well worthy of God himself, to employ this quaker exile to repeat a long forgotten message of christian love at Boston, which was to rebuke the sour austerity of their religious



pride at once, and to be re-echoed in after ages, to all the ends of the earth, teaching everywhere, as it passed along, the dignity of suffering for conscience and for God, and the infamy of the oppressor. Substantially, Mary Dyer was, with all her errors, a martyr for the gospel's sake; and her persecutors were, in that act at least, the enemies of God.

CHAPTER  
XI.  
JAMES I.  
A. D.  
1610—23.

29. Two friends accompanied her, William Robinson and Marmaduke Stevenson. They had not been many days in Boston before they were seized, imprisoned, arraigned before the governor and magistrates, and in short, sentenced to the gallows. "Give ear, ye magistrates," exclaimed Stevenson, as the sentence was pronounced, "and all ye who are guilty, for this the Lord hath said concerning you, and will perform his word upon you, that the same day ye put his servants to death, shall the day of your visitation pass over you, and ye shall be for ever cursed." Mary Dyer folded her hands together, and meekly exclaimed, "The will of the Lord be done." The bravado of Stevenson the magistrates might disdain; but for the meekness of Mary Dyer they had no reply. Her calm submission enraged the governor. "Take her away, marshal," he exclaimed harshly. "I return joyfully to my prison," she said. "You may leave me, marshal, I will return alone." "I believe you, Mrs. Dyer," replied the marshal, "but I must do as I am commanded."

30. The prisoners were brought out to the place of execution. Wilson attended the procession: a

CHAPTER XI.  
JAMES I.  
A. D. 1610—23.

circumstance to be recorded not as unusual in itself, but as marking the hearty concurrence of the New England clergy in these dreadful scenes. They ascended the scaffold, after affectionately embracing, and each in turn bore an exulting testimony to the joy which had taken full possession of their souls. Robinson called upon the spectators to bear witness, that “he died for testifying to the light of Christ.” Stevenson’s last words were, “This day we shall be at rest with the Lord.” Mary Dyer said, in answer to a cruel taunt affecting her modesty,—for even this was not spared in her draught of shame and agony,—“Are you not ashamed to walk thus hand in hand with two young men?” “No, this is to me the hour of the greatest joy I could have in this world. No eye can see, nor ear hear, nor tongue utter, nor heart understand, the sweet incomes and refreshings of the Spirit of the Lord, which I now feel.” The execution proceeded, and her companions died. She continued to stand unmoved, her clothes carefully adjusted, her eyes bandaged, the rope around her neck, and tied to the beam above her. At this instant a reprieve arrived, and she was taken down. She neither shrieked, nor swooned, nor wept. She stood still, and calmly told the agitated crowd, that unless the magistrates would annul their wicked law, she would rather die. She saw, no doubt, that otherwise the scaffold could one day claim her as its prey, and had no desire to return to a life of suffering, nor to die a second death upon the gallows.

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.

31. She was again banished to Rhode Island, attended by a guard; and when the guard left her she returned again to Boston. Once more she was sentenced to be hanged. The trial was short, and not wanting in simplicity. Governor Endicot again presided. He asked her, in the first place—willing, it is said, to afford an opportunity for evasion to the prisoner—whether she were the same Mary Dyer who had been previously before the court ?

“I am the same Mary Dyer.”

“Then you own yourself a quaker ?”

“I own myself to be reproachfully called so.”

“Then I must repeat the sentence once before pronounced upon you.” And he repeated the sentence.

“That is no more than thou saidst before.”

“But now it is to be executed; therefore prepare yourself for nine o’clock to-morrow.”

32. Her husband—for though young and beautiful, Mary Dyer was a wife and mother—interceded for her life. He had been separated from her while she was in Rhode Island, and was not privy to her return. With the deep pathetic eloquence with which nature alone pleads, he wrote to her iron-hearted judges, and concluded thus—after first acknowledging “her inconsiderate madness”—“I only say this: yourselves are, or have been, or may be, husbands and wives: so am I: yea, to one most dearly beloved. Oh! do not deprive me of her, but I pray give her to me

CHAPTER XI. once again. Pity me! I beg it with tears." But  
his tears flowed in vain.

JAMES I. 33. The next day the scaffold was again erected  
A. D. upon Boston common, a mile away from her prison.  
1610—23. She was strongly guarded, and before her  
and behind drums were continually beaten; for  
the eloquence of the dying is known to be imperishable. When she had ascended the scaffold, Wilson, the fanatic minister, was again at his post. "O Mary Dyer," he cried, "repent, repent." "Nay, man," she answered calmly, in words in which a puritan must have felt a keen rebuke, "I am not *now* to repent." She was again reproached with her pretended visions. She replied, and her peaceful demeanour seemed almost to explain her meaning, "I have been in paradise many days." The executioner performed his office; Mary Dyer was no more; and the crowd dispersed; but the brand of that day's infamy will never disappear from the annals of Massachusetts, nor from the story of the pilgrim fathers.\*

34. The execution of Greenwood and Barrow is the darkest stain upon the worst days of Whitgift and his policy; but it seems to lose something of its atrocity when compared with the execution of Mary Dyer. It almost appears, in short, as if great crimes, like great virtues, were recorded only that they should be imitated, and, if possible, sur-

\* For the facts relating to the persecution of the quakers, see Powell's Hist. of the Quakers, Bancroft's Hist. of America (3 Vols. Boston), and article, Mary Dyer, in Nelson's British Library, the writer of which professes to have had access to fresh materials.

passed. Except intolerance, the puritans of New England had learned nothing from the example of their oppressors.

CHAPTER  
XI.

---

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610—23.

35. There are those who would fain suppress the record of these crimes ; as there are those who exult in their recital. The former class is timid, but the latter is malignant. The one fears for the cause of religion ; the other triumphs in the exposure of an adversary. Truth has no sympathy with either ; and history, the handmaid and minister of truth, ought to shun both alike. The true moral is that which the word of God has long ago supplied : “ the heart of man is deceitful above all things, and desperately wicked.” Forms of church government have no power to change the heart. Man oppressed is proud, sullen, and vindictive ; and when he arrives, as soon or late the oppressed in general arrive, at the power he once dreaded, his nature is unchanged—he is proud and vindictive still. There was nothing in the principles of the high church party of Whitgift and his associates to prevent the exhibition of the grace of God in them, and their attainment of a high degree of christian holiness ; and there was nothing in the principles of the extremest puritans which had sufficient power to check in them the risings of the worst passions of which our nature is capable, or to preserve them from its most extravagant excesses. It must be added, that the New England puritans had neither the sanction of English law nor the approbation of the English people. Governor Endicot exceeded his



CHAPTER powers, and, pronouncing sentence of death upon  
 XI. the quakers, plunged himself in the double guilt  
 of treason and of murder. The law of England  
 JAMES I. knew no such punishment for such offences; and  
 A. D. yet from that law all his authority was derived;  
 1610—23. for he acted under a patent granted by the king  
 and parliament. Soon after Mary Dyer's execu-  
 tion, Christian, another quaker, was placed before  
 the same tribunal, and challenged its authority.  
 "By what law," said he, "will ye put me to  
 death?" "We have a patent," they answered,  
 "and may make our own." "But," he rejoined,  
 "you cannot make laws contrary to those of Eng-  
 land. Your heart is as rotten towards the king as  
 towards God. I demand to be tried by the laws of  
 England, and there is no law there to hang qua-  
 kers." From shame or fear the council yielded,  
 and his life was spared.—But the puritans at home  
 had, for some time, begun to look with deep con-  
 cern upon the measures of their New England  
 brethren, and even to remonstrate against their  
 bigotry.

36. The unhappy state of affairs during the pri-  
 macy of Laud, and the last few years of Charles's  
 power, however disastrous at home, had called a  
 nation into existence in the western world. In  
 spite of the efforts, equally tyrannical and im-  
 politic, to prevent their emigration, tens of thou-  
 sands of the puritans had found their way to their  
 brethren in the New England colonies. Before  
 the long parliament had assembled, and the revo-  
 lution opened, in 1640, the number of emigrants

who had arrived in one hundred and ninety-eight ships, and with an expenditure of almost a million of dollars in various enterprises, are numbered at twenty-one thousand two hundred. In little more than ten years, fifty towns and villages had been at least marked out and commenced ; between thirty and forty churches had been built ; and the later comers gazed with amazement upon the unexpected sight of a wilderness reclaimed ; and devoutly acknowledged the hand of God in this sudden blaze of prosperity. Already the elements of wealth and power were within their reach, and they had learned their value. They had begun to export furs and timber ; they carried grain to the West Indies, and cured fish. They had attained great excellence in ship-building. Before the year 1643 they had constructed vessels of four hundred tons ; ships, such as within the memory of the present generation, ranked in the first class of merchant vessels.\* Four of the newly-erected states formed themselves into a union,—The United Colonies of New England. These were Massachusetts, Plymouth, Newhaven, and Connecticut. But they excluded the plantations of Providence, and Williams's settlement of Rhode Island. The people of Rhode Island, a small community, and unable to stand alone, sought the protection of the mother country ; and Roger Williams, who was chosen to manage the negotiations on their behalf, arrived in London in 1643,

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1610.—23.

\* Bancroft i. 415. He gives numerous authorities for these facts otherwise almost incredible.

CHAPTER when the parliament and the monarchy were  
XI. already in collision. He was received by the house  
JAMES I. of commons with great respect; and returned to  
A. D. America with a free and absolute charter of civil  
1618—23. government for Plymouth and Rhode Island. He  
arrived once more at Boston, from whence he had  
been expelled; secured from harm by letters from  
the parliament. But as he reached his home a  
greater triumph was prepared; the water was  
covered with a fleet of boats; and it seemed as if  
all the colony had come forth to welcome their  
benefactor. Thus Providence and Rhode Island  
were saved. They long retained their affection  
to the mother country, when the more powerful  
states had learned to regard it with indifference or  
dislike.

37. All was now prosperous in New England. The puritan exiles had entered on a boundless career of wealth, and independence, and earthly fame. It has not yet closed: it shews no signs of diminution. But the pilgrim fathers had purer hopes and higher expectations than national prosperity, even the most unbounded, can satisfy. They braved the perils of the sea, not to plant a great republic, but a pure church. What no political visionary, in his wildest moments, ever dreamed, has been accomplished and surpassed. The little May-flower carried that, compared with which Cæsar and his fortunes were insignificant; —the germ of a vast nation, whose past history fills the mind with wonder, whose possible career

hereafter, with awe. But within a few years the religion of the pilgrim fathers seems to have been reduced to the ordinary standard, if not worn out. Twenty years after the foundations were first dug up in either city, we have no reason to suppose that Boston and Plymouth in New England, were more religious than Boston and Plymouth in the mother country. Nor did the puritans lay the groundwork of a religious colony, according to their own views of religion, with success. It is the frequent boast of socinians, that Boston alone contains many thousands of their sect; a greater number, it is said, than are to be found in the three kingdoms of Great Britain. This alone, could they have foreseen it, would have oppressed the soul of the pilgrim fathers, and weighed down their hearts under a sense of the deepest sorrow. Already, while Williams was alive, several towns in his new state were filled with strange men of dangerous principles. There were anabaptists, and antinomians; fanatics, and infidels in crowds. So that whatever were a man's religious opinions, he might have been sure to meet with them in some village in Rhode Island.\* From such beginnings, was it possible to infer the growth and prevalence of real piety? While men are prone to evil, and naturally averse to God, the spectacle of other men's indifference, or uncertainty, may distract the attention, but it will scarcely tend to deepen seriousness, or to renovate the heart. Could the pilgrim fathers be

CHAPTER  
XI.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1618—23.

\* Bancroft i. 426.

CHAPTER XI.  
JAMES I. A. D. 1618—23. summoned from their graves, they would probably tell us that the results of their enterprise had fallen as much below their hopes in one respect as they had surpassed them in another. Possibly they might think America more prosperous, certainly they would not think America more religious, than England in the nineteenth century. They succeeded as colonists ; but as a band of pious men, whose chief aim it was to plant the church of God anew, where it should thrive with a vigour unknown in England, their attempt has failed. We see the result of the experiment : and the feeling of English christians is one, upon the whole, of disappointment and regret.



## CHAPTER XII.

1. TOWARDS the conclusion of the reign of James I. the puritan controversy began to wear a new character. Hitherto, with all its vehemence, it had been a quarrel on inferior points, as we have explained already. Now it became vital. So far, it had intermeddled only with ceremonies and forms, with the accidents and externals of religion. Now it descended to the doctrines. The dispute had been, up to this time, how the gospel should be administered. Now it assumed another form, and asked, in what does the gospel consist. We have arrived at the period when the *doctrinal* puritans, so termed by their opponents, first appear in sight; and our attention is called to the strange complication of parties and events which brought them into existence.

2. The decay of piety is often marked by a litigious disposition; for those who are dissatisfied with themselves are prone to be suspicious of all around them. The fervour of the reformation cooled: the men of the next generation succeeded to the offices lately held by martyrs and confes-

CHAPTER  
XII.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1618—23.

CHAPTER XII.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D.  
 1618—23.

sors, but not to their zeal and love : and the third generation, with whom we now begin to mingle, were pupils of another school, and still more unlike the men of the reformation. Those who are unwilling or unable to draw such conclusions from their altered divinity, may trace it in their demeaned intelligence and degraded style. The intense devotion of the reformers communicated itself to all they wrote. They are often coarse, sometimes barbarous, but they are never mean. They are surrounded by an air of majesty and grandeur ; the majesty of a lofty and entire devotion to their cause, the grandeur of a vehement simplicity. We have to this day no finer specimens of popular eloquence than Latimer's sermons ; we have nothing more deeply pathetic than Ridley's last address to the diocese of London. The dialectics of those times afford no specimens of reasoning more acute than the examinations of the martyrs. Contrast with these the theologians of the days of James and Charles, and the degeneracy is striking. There is less of the earnestness and of the composure of men who are contending for vital truths of eternal moment. A fierce conflict there is, with its noise and clamour, but the contest seems to be rather for victory than for truth ; and the combatants are often men of sordid minds, evidently in pursuit of selfish ends. Without hesitation, and without uncharitableness, we infer that their religion is in fault. The piety of the reformers raised them above the level of their age. The worldliness of these men has sunk

them below the level of the reformation. True religion expands and elevates the mind. The taste is improved, for the heart is softened: the intellect is vigorous, for it is employed on lofty contemplations, which afford it exercise; even the imagination, while chastened, is brought into wholesome action by the various subjects of interest which engage the affections. There is something which almost offends our reason in the bare supposal that a quibbling pedant should be an eminent christian; that conceits and affectations should defile the pen, while the heart is free from vanity and self-conceit.

CHAPTER  
XII.  
JAMES I.  
A. D.  
1618—23.

3. Their literature is heartless, and their divinity wants real life. This is shewn by endless conceits in the one, by affectation and litigiousness in the other; and in both, by a careful avoidance of what is great and really important, or an incapacity of comprehending it. Now feebleness of mind is not unfrequently accompanied with extraordinary daring. A daring which is not courage, inasmuch as it has no perception either of difficulties or consequences;—as an infant is not brave when with a smile upon its face it would light up a conflagration. Thus it arose, we conceive, not from the increase of sound learning, nor the deepening influence of true piety, but from causes the reverse of these, that questions in divinity the most awful and difficult, those which require to be treated with the deepest reverence and to be approached with the profoundest wisdom, began to take their place in every-day discussions, and to be submitted

CHAPTER to the most peremptory decisions. These were the  
 XII. points at issue between the calvinists and arminians. The moderation of our reformers, while  
 JAMES I. it has left us in no uncertainty as to their own  
 A. D. opinions, has bequeathed to us general and comprehensive statements to which every sincere  
 1618—1623. and enlightened christian may heartily subscribe. And yet moderation is not precisely the word by which their high virtues should be expressed ; for moderation implies that they resisted some temptation which was urging them to extremes. But such temptations either did not present themselves, or were scarcely felt. They were saved from them by minds well balanced, by the practical character of their own piety, by the urgent necessity of the times ; above all, by the grace of God. After a while, religion becoming less practical was more speculative. Baro and others introduced the controversy into England, and Whitgift's injudicious efforts to extinguish it gave vigour to the flames. In a few years nothing was heard of among divines but the calvinistic controversy. The five points—those on which the followers of Arminius and Calvin were opposed—were defended and attacked with a vehemence which nothing could justify except the belief, which indeed was firmly entertained on both sides, that men's salvation hinged exclusively upon them ; and with a bitterness not justified even by this consideration, momentous as it is. Whitgift, the stern opponent of the puritans, had taken the highest ground ; he was, in modern language, an ultra-calvinist. And yet,

within twenty years, by a change not less sudden than difficult to be accounted for, every trace of calvinism was banished from the high church party, while it retained more firmly its congenial home amongst the puritans; and thus arose the distinction which divided the puritans themselves into two great parties, the doctrinal and ceremonial: the former well affected to episcopacy and the church of England, but opposed to the new and fashionable theology; the latter equally averse to both.

CHAPTER  
XII.JAMES I.  
A. D.

1618—23.

4. The calvinistic controversy came to its greatest heat abroad. If England was disturbed, foreign churches were distracted. In the low-countries the supreme government, the states-general, interfered, and in the year 1618 convoked the first and only synod, bearing something of the character of a general council, that has been convened by protestants. It assembled at Dort, and continued its sittings from November till May following. Its business was to decide the questions at issue between the calvinists and arminians; the latter partly were also termed remonstrants. James was requested to send over representatives for the English church, and chose four divines;—Carlton bishop of Llandaff, Hall dean of Worcester, afterwards bishop successively of Exeter and Norwich, Davenant afterwards bishop of Salisbury, and Dr. S. Ward of Cambridge. They were men of learning and moderation, and the choice does honour to a monarch in whom we find but little to

1618.



CHAPTER XII.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D.  
 1618—23.

applaud. First, however, they were commanded to repair to the king, and receive his instructions; and they were commanded to inure themselves to the practice of the Latin tongue, that they might express themselves with greater readiness and facility; a piece of advice which, addressed by the greatest pedant in England to four of its best scholars, provokes a passing smile. With greater reason they were instructed to conduct themselves with moderation, and to look principally to the peace of those distracted churches and to the glory of God, and in discussion to maintain that which was agreeable to the scriptures and to the doctrine of the church of England; and they were especially cautioned to throw their weight into the scale of gentle counsels, and to advise their brethren not to insist from the pulpit upon doctrines which were disputable on both sides, to introduce no innovations, but to adhere to the same doctrines which had been taught for twenty or thirty years past in their own churches; and especially to abide by their own confessions, long since published and known unto the world.\* A representative was also present from the church of Scotland.

5. The history of this famous synod is told in various ways. Its decisions were in favour of the doctrines termed calvinistic, and the remonstrants were expelled from Holland: for neither statesmen nor divines had yet learned, it seems, that simple lesson of common sense, that persecution

\* Fuller's Church History, book x. 275.

always carries with it a reversal of its own decrees; that the men who are unreasonably punished occupy a better position and stand on a loftier eminence than if they had not been condemned. The recoil is always greater than the blow; for here the law of nature is inverted. The majority were even charged by the other party with having bound themselves by an oath before they entered upon business, to condemn the remonstrants. The charge was first insinuated by John Goodwin, the leader of the *evangelical* arminians (an expression we shall have occasion to explain hereafter) in England. Bishop Hall was still living when, in 1651, Fuller, the ecclesiastical historian, laudably anxious to clear up the matter, wrote to him for an explanation; which, as might be expected, was forthwith returned in an indignant denial of the charge. But after all, the accusation of unfairness is not quite disposed of. Goodwin himself replied to bishop Hall's letter; and the arminians still maintain that the synod was unfairly packed. The states-general, it is said, convened those only who were of one mind,\* and, in accordance with this principle, the Utrecht deputies, being the only arminians admitted, were soon excluded; after certain ungracious conditions had been propounded for their acceptance, which it was impossible for any honourable men to comply with.† If so, the majority, however upright, were

CHAPTER  
XII.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1618—23.

\* In their Letter to King James, (Fuller, book x. 282), the states-general say, "Ex omnibus idem sentientibus ecclesiis convocavimus:" but this does not necessarily mean more than that they had selected their deputies only from protestant churches.

† See the editor's note in Fuller, book x. 286, Nichols's edition.

CHAPTER mere partizans, and the decisions of such a synod  
XII. could not possess much weight.

JAMES I. 6. While these discussions engaged attention  
A. D. abroad, it was scarcely to be supposed that the  
1618—23. polemic contagion should not infect the church at home. It is said that the pulpits rang with controversy; and we can believe it; for where the spirit of true piety dies out, that of disputation forthwith comes in. The anti-puritans describe the sermons of their opponents at this period, as consisting of little else than angry invectives and ultra-calvinism. Such statements are always to be received with caution from an opponent; and it is to be borne in mind that the puritans, with not less vehemence, charged the high church party with an utter abandonment, not to say contempt, of evangelical truth and of the doctrines of the reformation. That great faults existed on each side, is but too evident. The puritans had begun too much to confine their views of saving truth to the peculiar dogmas of high calvinism; their opponents, to ritualism and formality. The evil, as regards the puritans, may have been exaggerated; their opponents, in some remarkable writings put forth at this time, answer for themselves. The controversial preaching of the puritans had become  
1622. so offensive to the court, that in 1622 directions were issued commanding them to desist; and it is not likely, even in such times as these, that the civil government would have interfered without a strong pretext. These instructions were injudicious, even if well meant; they were ill received;

nor indeed could it have been otherwise. For they endeavoured to place restraints which it was impossible to enforce, upon consciences which it was certain must rebel. Preachers were forbidden to discourse upon matters in divinity not comprehended in the thirty-nine articles or in some of the homilies. A wide range; for what is the christian doctrine which an ingenious preacher could not readily deduce from the articles and homilies? But the real intention was more fully displayed in the third article of these instructions, namely, "that no preacher under a bishop or dean presume to preach in any popular auditory on the deep points of predestination, election, and reprobation; or of the universality, efficacy, resistibility or irresistibility of God's grace." Further, it was observed with pain that preaching was discouraged. In the afternoon it was all but forbidden. An exposition of the catechism, the creed, and the ten commandments was permitted rather than allowed; and those preachers were to be "most encouraged and approved of," who confined themselves to the examination of children in their catechism, which was affirmed to be "the most ancient and laudable custom of the church of England." All parties were forbidden to question the king's authority, or meddle with matters of state, or to fall into bitter invectives or railing speeches against either papists or puritans. The declaration was no sooner published than anxiety and consternation followed. The pious thought it a cruel thing "to cut off half the preaching in England at one

CHAPTER  
XII.JAMES I.  
A. D.

1618—23.

CHAPTER XII. blow." The king's authority, instead of being everywhere admitted, was everywhere canvassed.

JAMES I. The very declaration which was meant to establish A. D. his prerogative in spiritual things, undermined 1618—23. and shook it to its foundations. The command of Christ was to preach the gospel. St. Paul interprets the command "in season and out of season." Shall the king forbid what God enjoins, or has the secular head of the church of England the right to silence licensed preachers of the word, sent forth by Christ himself? And so of predestination and other deep points. By what right could any man presume to interfere, and forbid the preaching of a portion of God's word? It was well indeed, that ignorant ministers of mean parts should be cautioned to speak on this, as on every sacred subject, with reverence and modesty; but it was monstrous that no minister "under the degree of a bishop or a dean at the least," should be allowed to preach upon the questionable points of doctrine. It amounted, in fact, to a prohibition of all doctrinal preaching, and no doubt was entertained but that it was so designed. How could the faithful minister discharge his conscience, or edify his flock, if he might not preach upon the efficacy of God's grace, one of the forbidden topics? Man, said the justly discontented puritans, makes that the forbidden fruit which God makes the tree of life. And the prohibition of all but the dignitaries of the church, to preach on the controverted points, excited the bitter scorn which puts on the air of mirth; as if, said they,



all discretion was confined to cathedral men, and they preach best who are least accustomed to preach at all !\*

CHAPTER  
XII.

JAMES I.

A. D

1618—23.

7. In truth, the king had given his pious subjects abundant reasons for distrust. He wished to promote not moderation but indifference ; the vices of his court were glaring ; his own life was not moral ; and the book of sports, just now put forth, threatened not only the religion, but the decency and the morality, of England with an overthrow. We do not pause to waste our indignation upon a document which happily finds no defenders. The book of sports comes down to us like some ill-shaped abortion, curious and disgusting, from the dusty shelves on which it has long reposed. Yet it once portended extensive mischief ; and we owe it to the firmness of the archbishop that the immediate consequences were not more disastrous. The king in 1618 paid a visit to his Scottish dominions, and Laud was his attendant : a significant fact, as will be seen hereafter.† In passing through Lancashire the king observed, that the reformation had but imperfectly made its way : numbers of the common people, and many of the higher gentry, still adhered to the ancient faith. This is yet the case in Lancashire. Considerable districts may be found in its remoter parts, where the gentry and their tenants still cling to the Romish superstition. James

\* Fuller iii. book x. 320.

† Laud, however, did not return with the king through Lancashire, nor did he draw up the Book of Sports. Heylyn's Laud. i. 48.

CHAPTER XII.  
 JAMES I.  
 A. D.  
 1618—23.

persuaded himself that he had penetrated into the causes of their non-conformity, and proceeded forthwith to accomplish the reformation of Lancashire. The magistrates, he found, were too precise, and the ministers were too puritanical; so that the people were hindered from Sunday recreations, and the papists were persuaded that no honest mirth or recreation was tolerated in our religion. Full of his project, the king returned to Greenwich, and there, upon the 14th of May, set forth a declaration to this effect:—his pleasure was, that after the end of divine service his good people should not be discouraged from any lawful recreations. These are carefully recited. They are such as dancing, either of men or women; archery for men, leaping, vaulting, or any such harmless recreations; nor from having may-games, whitsun-ales, or morris-dances, and setting up of maypoles, or other sports used therewith: provided all were done without impediment to divine service. The women, too, had leave to carry rushes\* to the church, for its decoration according to their old custom. Unlawful games were prohibited: such were bear and bull-baiting, interludes, and bowling. This was the book of sports. The clergy were commanded to publish it in their

\* This practice lately prevailed, and probably still prevails, in Lancashire. The rush bearing is a parochial festival, observed, in general, on the day of the saint to whom the parish church is dedicated. Up to this period our forefathers sat with their hats on at church, lifting them only (according to the "Instructions" of queen Elizabeth, 1559) at the name of Jesus. For the sake of warmth and cleanliness the church was strewed with straw; or, in the northern counties, where straw was scarce, with rushes. The rush-bearing might be an innocent custom; but why should it take place on Sunday?

CHAPTER  
XII.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1618—23.

parish churches. Some had resolved to decline submission, and risk the consequences. Others would have read it with aching hearts, as an act of obedience to the sovereign whom God had set over them. A third party determined, with more questionable honesty, first to read the declaration, and then to preach against it; hoping thus to avoid the danger of disobedience on the one hand, and of profaneness on the other. But the firmness of Abbott, now archbishop of Canterbury, saved for awhile the church and country from profanation and disgrace. He was at his country seat at Croydon, and there he forbade it to be read. His courage awed the king and his foolish advisers, and an order from the court appeared excusing the clergy from compliance. Fifteen years afterwards the book of sports was re-enforced by Charles, under Laud's advice, and the consequences were fatal.

8. The decay of piety towards the close of the reign of James I., that is, when his pernicious example and worthless character had wrought their full effect upon the nation, is an afflicting topic. The lewdness of his court was such, that those who drew the sword against his son, and brought him to the scaffold, do not hesitate to contrast the many virtues of king Charles, and the decorum of his courtiers, with the low and infamous debaucheries of the court of James.\* Under the name of puritanism, zeal and earnestness in religion were everywhere treated with contempt. Pious churchmen, who had never con-

\* Mrs. Hutchinson's Memoirs of Colonel Hutchinson, p. 84.

CHAPTER XII.  
JAMES I. A. D. 1618—23.

cerned themselves with the surplice controversy, and were perfectly indifferent as to the cross in baptism and the ring in marriage, found themselves compelled, in self-defence, to associate with the only party by whom they were not insulted. Lucy, the wife of Colonel Hutchinson, and the eloquent historian of her husband's virtues, was then a child. She relates, with a becoming indignation, how fiercely the storm of insult and reproach fell upon her father's household, and upon others who, like him, were men of rank and loyalty, yet dared to be nobly singular, and to fear God. However loyal these men were, if they disputed such impositions as the book of sports, they were held to be seditious, and soon found that they were marked out for evil. Did a country gentleman discountenance vice, he was a puritan, however exactly he conformed. Did he shew favour to men of piety, relieve their wants, or protect them against oppression, he was a puritan. If, in the county in which he lived, he promoted public virtue or public interests, and discouraged popery, he was a puritan. Above all, if he had some zeal for God's glory, and could endure a sermon, and permitted serious conversation at his table; neither swearing, nor scoffing, nor sabbath-breaking, nor indulging in ribald conversation, he was a puritan; and if a puritan, then an enemy to the king and to his government, seditious, factious, and, in short, a hypocrite. It was well if some neighbouring pulpit did not hold him up to popular scorn, or if, as he passed along the

village, the drunkards did not make their songs at him. For every stage, every table, every puppet-play scoffed at the puritans; and fiddlers and mimics learned to abuse them, "as finding it the most gainful way of fooling."\* We may admit, for so does Mrs. Hutchinson herself, that amongst the puritans there were wolves in sheep's clothing; the unprincipled and ambitious, who sought the importance they were denied elsewhere, and seduced their indiscreet hearers into acts of violence in order to betray them, or out of some private malice and revenge. Others, mere hypocrites, enriched themselves by preying upon the simplicity of the unsuspecting. Great sums of money, it is said, were thus pillaged from the puritans on pretence of charitable alms, and appropriated to their use by various impostors. Their own piety too was now waning. They had not faith enough—these are still the sentiments of our eloquent historian—to disown all who adhered to them for worldly interests. In their low condition they gladly accepted all who would come over. Discipline of course decayed, and confusion followed.†—Richard Baxter, so famous afterwards in nonconformist story, was also in his boyhood at this period, and his youthful recollections are in painful unison with those of Mrs. Hutchinson. His parents were virtuous and respectable, and lived in strict conformity. Their son Richard had grown to manhood before he knew what "pres-

CHAPTER  
XII.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1618—23.

\* Memoirs of Colonel Hutchinson, p. 82.

† Ibid. p. 83.



CHAPTER XII.  
JAMES I. A. D. 1618—23.

bytery or independency was, or ever spoke with a man who seemed to know it." The inhabitants of the village danced round a may-pole on the Lord's day; and his parents, with three or four other families who were shocked with such a profanation, and spent the day in acts of devotion, were reviled as puritans. Of the non-conforming puritans of Cartwright's school few remained; and of those few scarcely any could be found who troubled themselves with the discussions, once so fiercely agitated, as to the presbyterian or independent forms of government. The puritans whom Baxter knew, with scarcely an exception, rigidly conformed. But they read the scriptures and books of piety and remembered to keep holy the sabbath day. They prayed in their families, and they prayed alone; some with the aid of a book, and some without one. They would not swear, nor curse, nor take God's name lightly: but in some few places, where they were in sufficient numbers, they met after divine service on the Sunday to repeat the sermon with each other, to sing a psalm, and to join in prayer. And when there was no sermon at home, (or probably none from which they derived instruction), they wandered to other parishes where they could listen to a more efficient minister. This was the extent of their irregularity; and for this they were prosecuted in the ecclesiastical courts, fined and censured, if not excommunicated, and severely harassed. The arguments of Cartwright and the brownists had failed to make any lasting impres-

sion. The old presbyterians were dead, and very few succeeded them : there were but one or two in a county : but these violent proceedings accomplished all that the most inveterate brownist could have wished. In the course of a few years the spiritual courts in which the puritans were thus cruelly treated, were only thought of with abhorrence, and the bishops were only spoken of as the enemies of real godliness. The sacred office was made to bear the indignation due to the misconduct of the men who dishonoured it ; and a bitter storm of invective and reproach was directed, not merely against a few tyrannical bishops, but unhappily against the episcopate itself. Some of the bishops (for in these evil days there were many bright exceptions) thought by severity to stop the growing disaffection ; and thus they confirmed the opinion, daily gaining strength, that they were the determined foes of all serious and earnest piety—"the captains of the profane."\* Such is Baxter's account of the state of parties in his youth ; it was written many years afterwards, when his judgment was mature, and his prejudices had cooled ; and its general truth cannot be denied.

9. The puritans affirm that at this period all true piety was discountenanced ; and if by true piety be meant the religion of the reformers and the reformation, the allegation cannot be easily disproved. But another school was rising : that which assumed, and has ever since retained, the

\* Baxter's True History of Councils, &c., pp. 91—93. in Orme's Life of Baxter, p. 31.

CHAPTER title of high churchmen. Amongst its founders  
XII. were men whose piety was both deep and earnest ;  
JAMES I. but it was not, we conceive, the piety of the refor-  
A. D. mation ; which from this time began to be repre-  
1618—23. sented by those whom their opponents styled the  
doctrinal puritans. This body never seceded from  
the church, and are now represented by that sec-  
tion of the church of England termed evangelical.  
These, however, are points, let the reader be fore-  
warned, upon which great diversity of opinion  
still exists ; and the subject is to be considered  
calmly. Certain it is, that the fears of a great  
number of those whom we must consider as  
amongst the wisest and best of their age, were  
painfully aroused. Were their apprehensions need-  
less, were their alarms unfounded ? Amongst  
the causes of their deep misgivings of heart, they  
reckoned up the following as the chief : the in-  
crease of popery ; the growth of arminianism ;  
and the introduction of ritualistic worship, and  
the undue importance attached to it. In each of  
these they saw a dreaded foe ; in the triad an  
appalling monster. By one party their appre-  
hensions were regarded as prophetic ; by another  
their vaticinations were treated with contempt.  
And in the great chancery court of free opinion,  
the question lies yet, to some extent, unsettled ;  
for each turn of our modern church politics pre-  
sents the subject in some new light : and our ec-  
clesiastical affairs are still, to a vast extent, be-  
neath the influence of those impulses which they  
received in the days of James the first.

10. (i.) It was the mean ambition of the king to ally his son in marriage, not with the protestant princes of Germany, but with the more noble blood of the French or Spanish Bourbons. The later days of his reign were occupied with manœuvres to effect a match with the infanta of Spain; an affair in which his conduct appeared to the whole of England contemptible and degrading. Charles, the future king, travelled with his tutor Buckingham, on this inauspicious errand, to Madrid; the match was broken off; but no wonder that Charles, upon his return, should have lost for ever the confidence of every puritan. The concessions which his father and himself were willing to have made, showed, if not a secret wish to restore the papacy in England, at least a profound indifference to the reformed religion. One of the public articles of the intended marriage provided that the infanta should choose nurses for her children, and bring them up in her own religion till they were ten years old. The term was afterwards extended to twelve years, and in the match which actually took place with Henrietta Maria, to thirteen years; nor would the children lose their right of succession to the crown, although they became Roman catholics. There were also secret articles sworn to by the king and the prince of Wales; and the latter, by his oath, engaged himself, if indeed the relation is not incredible, "that as often as the infanta should desire it, he would give ear to divines and others, whom her highness might be pleased to employ, in matters of the Roman

CHAPTER  
XII.JAMES I.  
A. D.

1618—23.

CHAPTER catholic religion; that he would hear them wil-  
 XII. lingly without all difficulties, and laying aside all  
 excuses!" In short, no facilities should be want-  
 JAMES I. ing on his part, for nothing less could be the mean-  
 A. D. ing of these concessions, to facilitate the restora-  
 1618—23. tion of popery into England.\* The articles, indeed,  
 were secret; but the elation, not to say the insolence, of the papists about the court, was sufficient to create alarm. Abbott, his faithful archbishop, addressed a solemn remonstrance to the king, reminding him that he himself had written against those heresies, of which he now seemed to be the patron. With a freedom becoming his high office he besought his majesty to consider how hateful his conduct must be to God, and how grievous to his subjects; and above all, he implored him to reflect lest he drew upon the kingdom in general, and himself in particular, God's wrath and indignation.† The parliament likewise remonstrated, and petitioned, among other requests, that all papists might be removed from the court. The king, with exquisite duplicity, replied, protesting before God that his heart bled when he heard of the increase of popery. "What religion I am of my books declare. I wish it may be written on marble, and remain to posterity as a mark upon me, when I swerve from my religion."‡ Yet he told

\* Rushworth, Vol. i. 86—89. Rapin's Hist. 543; or in Neal, Vol. ii. ch. ii. 223. It was afterwards alleged that these articles were inserted to deceive the Pope, and without any intention of observing them. Hume remarks, that the children of Henrietta Maria were actually educated under protestant tutors. To an honest mind, however, the apology is as offensive as the secret article itself. See note in Hume, Vol. v. ch. 5, p. 104. 4to ed.

† Fuller iii. x.

‡ Rushworth, i. 143.



his courtiers in private that what he had written against the pope was not meant "concludingly;" that when he heaped upon his holiness every contemptuous and hateful epithet, and proved him antichrist, the man of sin, the babylonish harlot, it was but a scholastic exercise: he had meant no more than to show the papists what an ingenious and learned writer like himself could plausibly advance against them in the way of retaliation! The effort to make it seem that the differences between the church of England and the church of Rome had been exaggerated, was made without the least disguise. That Rome was Babylon, that the pope was antichrist, "that man of sin, the son of perdition," was no longer to be maintained. The unanimity of all our reformers, and of every reformed church, in thus expounding prophecy, could not, it is true, be questioned; nor did the new school of divines refute their interpretations. Little was published, by them, on prophecy, and that little was never held in much esteem. They satisfied themselves with intimating that these expressions were chiefly found in the private writings of the reformers, and were of course only private men's opinions; though it was found more difficult to explain away some hard expressions in the book of homilies. The tendency of all they wrote upon the subject, was to prove rather how much truth Rome still retained, than with how much deadly error she was leavened: their labours were directed to shew in how many points we still agreed, rather than in how many fundamental ones we differed. The controversy was not conducted honestly. Ad-

CHAPTER  
XII.JAMES I.  
A. D.  
1618—23.

CHAPTER XII. vantage was taken of the imperfect statements made  
 in the time of Henry VIII. and of Edward VI.,  
 while the reformation was advancing. These formed  
 the standard of doctrine with the Laudian school ;  
 and to these were forced to bend those later state-  
 ments made in the reign of queen Elizabeth when  
 the reformation was complete. In this manner  
 they attempted to prove that the holy table was an  
 altar, the supper of the Lord a sacrifice, and the  
 presence of Christ in the sacrament a real presence,  
 the nature of which the church had not deter-  
 mined ; concealing the fact that it had determined  
 at least that it was not the presence of Christ's  
 natural body ; and that the martyrs of our church,  
 with scarcely an exception, died in defence of a  
 distinction which every pains was taken now to  
 represent as trivial. But in truth the memory of  
 the martyrs had ceased to be had in reverence.  
 The Laudian party held their coroner's inquest on  
 their death (we adopt the language of a contem-  
 porary divine\*), and found them little better than  
 felons dying in their own blood for a mere for-  
 mality : for a question, *de modo*, a metaphysical  
 quibble of the manner of the presence, and of a  
 sacrifice in the sacrament. " Within the narrow  
 scantling of my experimental remembrance," he  
 mournfully complains, " I have observed strange  
 alteration in the world's valuing of those learned  
 men ;" he speaks of Ridley, Cranmer, Latimer, and  
 Hooper, and in short the martyrs and reformers of  
 our church. The growth of this indifference deeply  
 distressed all those who refused to attach them-

\* Fuller, Holy and Profane State Book, iv. ch. 11.

selves to the now dominant party, of which Laud was becoming the acknowledged head. In justice to Laud himself, it should be noticed, that on some important points he never appears to have embraced all the extreme opinions of his party. It is impossible to read candidly his controversy with Fisher the Jesuit, without perceiving that he had in his own mind a clear view of some strong line of demarcation between the churches of Rome and England; and that he felt their differences to be such as to admit of no compromise on our side. On the great doctrine of justification too, his views appear to have been more scriptural than those of his party. His dying prayer upon the scaffold might have been uttered, under the same circumstances, by Ridley in the former generation, or by Richard Baxter in the next. But thus it often is in the race of error; the pupils outrun the master and the guide. In the search of truth, religious truth at least, such precocious alacrity is rare.

11. James's interference with the affairs of the church in Scotland, increased the general anxiety. It is said that he had long projected the restoration of episcopacy. In the conference of Hampton court, he took no pains to conceal, or rather he peevishly intruded, his detestation of the presbyterian kirk; of which, while king of Scotland, he had been himself a member, and which he had sworn to cherish and protect. He nominated bishops to the thirteen Scotch bishoprics which he had formerly abolished, and in the year

CHAPTER  
XII.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1618—23.

CHAPTER XII.  


---

JAMES I.  
A. D.  
1618—23.

1606 obtained an act from the Scottish parliament to restore their temporalities, and with these their dignities as lords of parliament. The general assembly of the high court of the kirk of Scotland protested; and much discussion followed of importance, which it does not fall within our province to relate. But in effect the king prevailed. Three Scotch divines were consecrated bishops in London, under a commission addressed to the bishops of London, Ely, Bath, and Rochester; these, upon their return to Scotland, consecrated other bishops. It is said, and subsequent events do not permit us to question it, that they found themselves hated both by ministers and people. Those indeed who most revere episcopacy will most deplore the obloquy and degradations to which it was exposed in Scotland by the king's misconduct. The whole proceeding was rash and illegal, and moderate men in England interpreted it as an ill omen. The puritans, who had always been on terms of warm friendship with the kirk, were filled with apprehension. And those who loved episcopacy, were unwilling to see its claims advanced at the cost of the presbyterian church by law established.

Oct. 31,  
1610

12. (ii.) The growth of arminianism was another cause of anxiety to the puritans. They were now rigid calvinists; many of their leaders insisted with peremptory dogmatism upon points on which the reformers had spoken, if not with reserve, with caution and humility. A reaction of necessity took place; of necessity, we say, because one

extreme in these matters has invariably been the parent of another. We begin to find arminianism, in the fears of the puritans, curiously entwined with popery ; and in fact the house of commons, a few years afterwards, vehemently denounced the two, as the growing evil which threatened to overwhelm both liberty and religion. Yet popery has no necessary connection with arminianism, nor the puritan cause with ultra-calvinism. The church of Rome, embarrassed by the opinions of the greatest of the fathers, has long been in the dilemma of accepting calvinism or rejecting St. Augustine. The puritans, boasting a perfect emancipation from human systems, and relying only on the scriptures, could not reasonably censure those of their own party who might think fit to appeal from Bullinger\* or Calvin to the purer fountain of the written word. Thus among themselves doctrinal arminianism forced its way. Its apostle in England was John Goodwin, in all other points an ultra-puritan ; one who was persecuted by Laud, became a presbyterian, and so passed on in due time to the ranks of the independents. Of English divines he is still the master mind amongst evangelical arminians. John Wesley in the last century espoused his opinions, and republished his works ; which, in force and perspicuity, and freedom from the cumbrous verbiage of the

CHAPTER  
XII.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1620—23.

\* I seize the opportunity, while this sheet is passing through the press (7th May, 1850), of saying that my views of Bullinger's influence on our reformation have been entirely the result of my own reading and reflexions ; and especially that every passage in which his name occurs was written before the present controversy arose, in which the name of Bullinger is once more rendered famous in the church of England.



CHAPTER XII.  
 JAMES I. A. D. 1620—23.

times, are remarkable. Candid auditors at the synod of Dort could not refrain from some regret that two parties, who often differed scarce a hair's breadth from each other on doctrines essential to salvation, should contend so bitterly on points of metaphysical divinity. On many of those points, on which Goodwin wrote, and was assailed, with bitterness, the same reflection will arise. Not only the devout reader, but even the acute theologian, is often at a loss to perceive wherein the difference lies about which the angry conflict rages. And when he discovers it, he is apt to think, that after all it is but a strife of words. Goodwin maintains the great doctrine of justification by faith only, with the emphasis of Luther, and with Hooker's scholastic accuracy; and yet, upon a question nearly connected with it, he contrived to establish a distinction so subtle as not to be easily explained (in any words, at least, except his own), and yet of such seeming or real importance, that thousands of even illiterate men have contended, and would now contend, for it, should the controversy be revived, as if for the whole substance of salvation. Hooker, echoing the voice of the reformation, and we conceive of holy writ, had spoken of the imputation of the righteousness of Christ as one of the blessed consequences of our justification. Goodwin, too, maintained that nothing is required of any man for his justification but faith in Christ; and he admits, to use his own words,—“that this faith shall be as available for his justification, as a perfect righteousness should have been under the

first covenant." And yet he denies Hooker's assertion that the righteousness of Christ is imputed to the believer. Although he admits that a justified person may be said to be clothed with Christ's righteousness in a sense such as that in which Paul's necessities were said to be supplied by his own hands. "These hands, says he (quoting the apostle's words) have ministered to my necessities. Yet Paul neither ate his fingers, nor spun the flesh of his hands into clothing; and yet, was both fed and clothed with them. So may a believer be said to be clothed with the righteousness of Christ, and yet the righteousness of Christ itself not be his clothing, but only that which procured his clothing to him."\* Perhaps the reader, if unacquainted with the niceties of theological expression, will scarcely perceive a shade of difference between Hooker and Goodwin; perhaps he may suspect that if the parties had been obliged to give their meaning in other words, they would have found that they taught the same doctrine: the one maintaining that the sinner is accounted righteous before God, by virtue of the Saviour's righteousness imputed to him; the other, that the sinner is accounted righteous before God by virtue of the faith he has in the Saviour's righteousness; this faith, however, being the gift of God himself, and not in any sense a meritorious work of man's. Both views are held extensively in the church of England, and among other denominations of evangelical christians. The Wesleyan body adhere exclusively to the views of

CHAPTER  
XII.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1620—23.

\* Jackson's Life of Goodwin, 44.

CHAPTER XII.  
 JAMES I. A. D. 1620—23. Goodwin, and attach considerable importance to the distinction. On other points Goodwin has claims upon our gratitude of a less questionable kind. The cheering doctrine of scripture, and of the church of England,\* that Christ died a sacrifice for all men, without exception, was falling into oblivion; by many of the puritans it was resolutely denied. Goodwin may be said to have spent his life in combating the rising error: he several times disputed in public with its advocates in the presence of some thousands of people, and at length published the substance of his speeches; and, some years afterwards, he assailed the entire system of calvinism in a larger work, which, in the alliterative taste of his age, he entitled, *Redemption Redeemed*.

13. It does not appear, however, notwithstanding all the advocate's eloquence and popularity, that his opinions extended far among the puritans; nor was he sanctioned by Laud and the high church party. The bishop of London cited Goodwin and his opponents, and charged them on both sides to desist from further discussion in the pulpit. And, in a letter to the king, Laud mentions some distractions as having arisen, both among the ministers, and the people, in the city of London, "occasioned at first by some over nice curiosities, preached by one Mr. Goodwin, vicar of St. Stephen's, Coleman street, concerning the imputation

\* Nothing can be more explicit than the church catechism; drawn up, the reader will remember, early in the reign of Elizabeth. "I believe in God the Son, *who redeemed me and all mankind*, and in God the Holy Ghost, *who sanctifieth me, and all the elect people of God.*" Redemption extends to all; the sanctifying influence of the Spirit, to all the elect.

of Christ's righteousness in the justification of a sinner." The evil, he adds, had been prevented by convening the parties.\* Thus Goodwin was assailed on both sides; and such appears to have been his fate through life.

CHAPTER  
XII.

JAMES I.

A. D.

1620—24.

14. But the arminianism of Laud, and of the great party which he led, was of another kind. They did not hold, as Goodwin held, the doctrine of justification by faith alone, but of justification by faith and works conjoined, or which is virtually the same, by a faith to which good works are prevenient and accessory. It was the other extreme—the rebound from ultra-calvinism: that verged on fatalism: this on the pelagian error that man can restore himself by good works to the favour of God. The one led to antinomianism, teaching men to continue in sin that grace might abound; the other, to a withering neglect, and in many instances to an absolute contempt, of the doctrines of the gospel; that is, of the atonement, and of the work of the Holy Spirit on the soul. The great error of this party was, and has ever been, that it does not distinguish clearly between faith as the ground of our acceptance, and faith as the source and parent of good works. They argued, and with great truth, that faith without good works and a holy life was a mere fiction; they inferred, which was perfectly erroneous, that these good works were a part of faith itself, and therefore necessary, in the same sense as that in

\* Goodwin's Life, 30.

CHAPTER which faith itself is necessary, to salvation.  
 XII. Whereas the doctrine of the church of England  
 CHAS. I. is unquestionably this, that until through faith  
 A. D. 1624. we are restored to the divine favour, in other  
 words are justified, good works cannot be performed; but afterwards they follow as the necessary results of a true and lively faith. On the doctrine of the sacraments this new party began to speak a language long unknown in England. The Lord's supper, they affirmed, was not only a sacrament, but a sacrifice. They carefully insisted that the communion table should be called an altar; and having obtained this point, they proceeded to make it the foundation of another: we have the wood, said they, and the altar, but where is the lamb for the burnt offering? Thus they inferred the doctrine of the real presence—the real presence of the natural body of Christ.\* With regard to baptism, they taught, in opposition to the reformers both at home and abroad, that it conferred the grace of regeneration upon all who received it alike; that is, they affirmed that all baptized persons were introduced in baptism into a state of grace and of acceptance; and if they lived in habitual sin, this was a proof, not that they were unconverted, but that they were relapsed.

15. Here then was a new system of theology; new at least in England, and to the churches of the

\* I quote the arguments, and often the very words, of Heylyn in his *Introduction to the Life of Laud*; to which I refer the reader who may not be disposed for more laborious investigation, for a clear and able synopsis of the Laudian school of theology drawn up by one of themselves.



reformation.\* Divines of the school of the reformation rejected the new doctrines with alarm, and denounced them from the pulpit; and hence arose another division in the church. Laud's party were in the ascendant, and they fastened on their opponents the charge of doctrinal puritanism; and thus they succeeded in stigmatizing those who were attached to that true and genuine doctrine of the church of England, which, says a learned and competent author, they laboured to eradicate. One of the first who used these scoffing words, was bishop Montague, in controversy with bishop Carlton, to whom the latter meekly answers: "this is the first time that ever I heard of a puritan doctrine in points dogmatical, and I have lived longer in the church than he hath done. I thought that puritans were only such as were factious against the bishops in the point of pretended discipline; and so I am sure it hath been understood hitherto in our church."† Even our modern historian Hume, little unhappily as he knew of christian doctrine, had the sagacity to perceive that, "the doctrinal puritans rigidly defended the speculative system of the first reformers."‡ It is a significant fact, that the controversy which has ever since existed among us, now for the first time made its appearance. The construction forced upon the baptismal

\* For the proof of this assertion, I refer the reader to the Rev. W. Goode's *Doctrine of the Church of England as to the Effects of Baptism*, &c., where he will find an array of evidence which sets the question at rest.

† Goode, &c. 337.

‡ Hist. of Eng. vii. 272.

CHAPTER XII.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1624.

offices, rendered its phraseology the object of suspicion and dislike. Hitherto, as we have had occasion to remark, the puritans themselves had uttered no complaints on this head. Scrupulous as they were, and prone to clamour, the doctrines of our baptismal service had given rise to no scruple and to no remonstrance; a proof that no construction had hitherto been put upon them differing in any degree from the received doctrine in other reformed churches, more especially those of Scotland and Geneva; for upon these the puritans were ever anxious to fall back, and by them to test the purity of the church of England.

16. (iii.) While pious and thoughtful men were distressed by these novelties in doctrine, certain external signs gave significant intimation to the least observant that some great change was contemplated. All at once an extraordinary zeal broke out for the practices which the reformation had, seventy years before, condemned. Ridley and Parker had not been more anxious in their day to root out superstitions, than the Laudian school was upon restoring them; and the ingenuity displayed in reconciling its practices with the known injunctions of the church might, in a better cause, have raised a smile; it was the perfection of scholastic quibbling. It was admitted that articles had been issued, for instance, in the first year of Elizabeth, which were still in force; that they were directed against the superstitious decorations which popery had introduced into churches and

private houses. It would seem, we think, to a candid mind impossible to devise terms more comprehensive than those employed in queen Elizabeth's injunction. The clergy and others were commanded "to take away, utterly extinct, and destroy, all shrines, coverings of shrines, all tables, candlesticks, trindals, and rolls of wax, pictures, paintings, and all other monuments of feigned miracles, pilgrimages, idolatry, and superstition, so that there remains no memory of the same in walls, glass windows, or elsewhere within their churches or houses."\* There are several articles to the same effect ; in one the clergy are instructed to declare in public the abuse of images, relics, or miracles ; in another, to set forth the great threatenings and maledictions of God against works devised by man's fantasies, besides what is enjoined in scripture ; as things tending to idolatry, and superstition, which of all other offences God doth most detest and abhor.† In short, if there be an honest, simple-hearted document in existence, and one which shews the intentions of the reformers, the injunctions of the first year of queen Elizabeth deserve that character. And so thought the puritans,—the church puritans, such men as bishops Hall and Carlton. But their objections, if stated in general terms, were treated with contempt ; and if they objected in the words of the "injunctions," the Laudians made answer, that they carried their own refutation with them. It was quite mani-

CHAPTER  
XII.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1624.

\* Injunctions, &c. published in 1559, Art. 23.

† Arts. 2, 3.

CHAPTER XII. fest, they said, from the words themselves, that  
 CHAS. I. it was never the meaning of the queen and her  
 A. D. 1624. counsellors really to condemn, abolish, and deface  
 all images, but only to remove such pictures of  
 false and feigned miracles as were destitute of  
 truth. Images of Christ himself, and of the pro-  
 phets, apostles, martyrs, confessors, and other  
 godly fathers, were not included; the abuse of  
 these was only to be reformed. It would have been  
 well to have shewn, in order to make good the ar-  
 gument, that no idolatrous worship had ever been  
 paid to true apostles and real martyrs, but only  
 to fictitious ones; or that the reformation al-  
 lowed one kind of superstition, and merely  
 denounced another. The homilies, it is true,  
 were still commanded to be read in churches,  
 in obedience to these very injunctions; and  
 that "against peril of idolatry" declared, that  
 images, without any exception, are but "great  
 puppets and babies for old fools;"\* and in lan-  
 guage, and with an illustration, which the greater  
 refinement (would that we could say, the greater  
 purity, of modern days) forbids us to repeat,  
 scorns the contemptible conceit that men can  
 gaze upon these incentives to spiritual lewdness  
 without spiritual defilement. With regard, however,  
 to images of Christ and his saints, one passage may  
 be quoted: "no image," says the homily, "can be  
 made of Christ but a lying image; for Christ is God  
 and man. Seeing therefore that, of the Godhead,  
 which is the most excellent part, no image can be

\* Hom. xiv. pt. 3.

made, it is falsely called the image of Christ; wherefore images of Christ be not only defects, but also lies: which reason serveth also for images of saints, whose souls, the most excellent part of them, can by no images be represented or expressed. Wherefore, seeing that religion ought to be founded upon truth, images which cannot be without lies, ought not to be made or put to any use in religion, or to be placed in churches or temples, peculiarly appointed to true religion, and the service of God." In this passage the voice of the reformation is very clear. The churchmen who adhered to the doctrines of the reformation (doctrinal puritans we must henceforth term them) were at once indignant and dismayed to find themselves betrayed by those who were the highest dignitaries of the church, and who ought to have been the guardians of its purity, and the expositors, not the corrupters, of its doctrine. The homilies themselves are cited by Heylyn as admitting that images are not forbidden by the new testament; from which he infers that no offence is committed against the gospel by restoring them to the churches, if they be used only for history, example, and pure devotion; and he calls in the sanction of pope Gregory, who terms them, "not unfitly," the laymen's books. The homily had anticipated him,—“either they be no books, or if they be, they be false and lying books, the teachers of all errors.”

CHAPTER  
XII.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1624.

17. These opinions were not suffered to lie inert.



CHAPTER  
XII.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1624.

Everywhere, so far as the influence of the new party extended, churches were restored and decorated after a fashion long unknown. The communion table was transformed into an altar, or was placed "altar-wise," if carved in wood. It was decorated with costly hangings, and bore its load of massive plate and the two symbolic candlesticks; and it was again surmounted, as of old, with paintings and stained glass, descriptive of sacred persons or events in ecclesiastical tradition or church history. It had been the goodly custom of the church to bow at the name of Jesus: now the worshipper was required to make due and lowly reverence when he entered into the church; the place on which he stood being, by consecration, made holy ground. It was true, for this neither rule nor rubric could be shewn; but, in the absence of all authority, catholic antiquity was a formula in the hands of the Laudian school, which justified every innovation, and silenced every objector. Bowing towards the east was introduced and defended by this sole argument. It was alleged to be the ancient custom. And, in short, whatever rites were practised in the church of Rome, and not expressly abolished at the reformation,† nor disclaimed by any doctrine, law, or canon, were held to be consistent with, if not binding upon, the church of England. As if a thousand canons could, or ought to have been, framed to enumerate and denounce as many superstitious practices; or

\* Heylyn's Laud, Introd. p. 11.

† Ibid. p. 12.

as if the tone, the general purport and intention of a statute, were to be studiously concealed when its application and its uses were considered !

CHAPTER  
XII.

CHAS. I.

A. D. 1625.

18. And as if it were not enough that the scruples of the old church party should be treated with contempt, their zeal (if they dared to shew it) on behalf of the reformation, was met with insolence and cruelty. The biographer and panegyrist of Laud relates with satisfaction the vengeance he inflicted soon afterwards on Sherfield, recorder of Sarum. In one of the windows of his parish church was the story of the creation, expressed in painted glass, in which was a representation of the Deity, "in the shape of an old man." This was one of the abominations expressly forbidden and denounced : it was, so the reformation taught, idolatrous and impious. Sherfield, anxious for its removal, laid the matter before the parish vestry ; and the parish vestry removed the superstitious relic, and "set up another window of plain white glass in the place thereof." Such was Sherfield's crime, as reported by his enemies, and they admit that he believed that he was acting lawfully. The only outrage, if such it was, being, that Sherfield broke the idolatrous effigy in pieces with his staff. But we are at a loss to perceive in what points either Sherfield or the vestry deserve the slightest blame. They obeyed the injunctions of Elizabeth, and they obeyed not with tumult and violence, but in a legal manner. They did not, it is true, consult the archdeacon or the bishop. This might have been courteous, but it was not necessary :

CHAPTER  
XII.

CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1625.

for the law of the church was plain ; and the parish wardens were competent to enforce it. Their conduct would have been highly meritorious under the primacy of Parker, and no law had passed to make it otherwise under the primacy of Laud. But every expression of protestantism was now a crime ; and even parish vestries were looked upon with suspicion in high places. “ They were but bastard elderships.” The elders of the vestry had superseded, so cried the Laudian party, the elders of the conventicle. It was resolved to inflict a punishment which should put a stop to such proceedings ; and certainly, could punishments have done it, no more windows, however superstitious, had been broken. Sherfield was summoned before the star chamber, and there Laud\* “ did not only aggravate the crime as much as he could, in reference to the dangerous consequences which might follow,” but shewed too, with a dangerous casuistry, “ how far the use of painted images might be retained in churches.” In brief, under the pretext that an affront had been done to the bishop of the diocese ; and because, says honest Heylyn, it was looked upon as a great discouragement to the moderate papists—and, he adds, to some moderate protestants also, Sherfield was deprived of his recordership, fined a thousand pounds, bound to his good behaviour for the time to come ; and compelled to make a public acknowledgment of his offence, both in the parish church, and in the cathedral.

\* Heylyn’s Laud, Book iii, 146.

19. It is not necessary to load the memory of CHAPTER  
 Laud and his disciples with reproaches ; nor are XII.  
 we disposed to do so. They displayed, no doubt, CHAS. I.  
 sincerity, great earnestness, and, according to A. D. 1625.  
 their own views, even piety. But their influence  
 was calamitous ; and these were the darkest days  
 of the church of England : for while her enemies  
 thundered at the gate, her own chieftain betrayed  
 the citadel. The theological system of Laud,  
 whatever place it held with the fathers of the  
 western church, was unknown till his time, in  
 the reformed church of England. The most able  
 and the most honest of his followers in our  
 day, declare that he was the founder of a school  
 which had no existence in England ; none, how-  
 ever, since the reformation. He was himself, they  
 say, the true reformer of the English church.  
 The discussion is foreign to our purpose. The  
 fact thus broadly asserted is capable of abun-  
 dant proof. Whether for good or evil, Laud was  
 the founder of a new theology. If it were true,  
 its truths had been overlooked or discarded by  
 the divines of the reformation ; if false, it was,  
 so far, a return to those errors against which they  
 contended even unto death. The violent spirit  
 in which he enforced his principles, and their con-  
 sequences, in creating new discontents, and in  
 giving new life to the worn out and exhausted  
 puritans,—these are the points to which our  
 attention must now be called.

## CHAPTER XIII.

CHAPTER  
XIII.

CHAS. I.

A. D. 1624.

1. THE influence of Laud was considerable during the later years of James I., but it rose to a much greater height during the first years of Charles. His party was now formed ; it had acquired solidity and force ; its aims were clear and well defined ; and its means were resolved upon. The church was to be re-established upon another basis ; the papists (this household word was now discountenanced) were to be conciliated ; and puritanism, at whatever cost, was to be destroyed and for ever rooted out. A party had suddenly arisen, which had the entire confidence of the court and of the aristocracy, sufficiently powerful to resist and overawe the two archbishops, a majority of the bishops, a vast number of the clergy, almost all the middle classes, and the common people. They declared themselves the church, the true church of England ; and they succeeded in fastening on their opponents every term of ecclesiastical reproach : they were schismatics, genevans, calvin-



ists,—worse than all, they were puritans; a term the meaning of which, by this new application of it, was rendered more than ever vague. But words adroitly used are, with designing men, the instruments of the sleight of hand they practise. They divert attention from themselves, and silence those they cannot answer. Thus, church, or doctrinal, puritan was a convenient phrase for conveying the idea of disaffection to the church, or to the state, or to both at once.

CHAPTER  
XIII.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1624.

2. A change so great, and at the same time so sudden, has seldom occurred. Whenever it takes place it must be traced, if not immediately to some remarkable interposition from on High, to previous causes, which have passed unnoticed, or of which the tendency was not understood till their issues were determined. Upon a sudden, new men, and with them new doctrines, or at least new constructions of doctrine, had appeared. Montague and Laud were the founders of this school, and they lived to see it in its full maturity. That their principles should so soon have prevailed in England,—have superseded and denounced the principles of Davenant and Hall,—would, in the last year of Elizabeth, or sixteen years after when the synod of Dort was held, have seemed incredible. And yet the causes were at work which would probably, perhaps necessarily, produce, at no distant period, a Laudian or an infidel school, and invest it with a transient popularity. For the latter the nation was not prepared. It is the vice of the flippant or of the coldly speculative; and the English cha-

CHAPTER XII.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1624.

racter stands at opposite, and perhaps at equal, extremes from each. The choice then was a relapse into religious pageantry and form; and this we conceived was the natural consequence—natural, because, in accordance with the laws which God has impressed upon us—of the state of feeling which already shewed itself amongst the people, as well as of the character which the theology of the age had assumed. For, in the first place, the piety and earnestness of the two previous generations had passed away. During forty-four years, the reign of Elizabeth had been one of unclouded and increasing splendour. She found England poor, and, in the scale of nations, insignificant, and left it great and powerful. The increase of wealth in her time had been astonishing. And with wealth luxury had diffused its charms. Every class of society down to the meanest, had shared in the general prosperity. The farmer and the yeoman had succeeded to the comforts of the ancient gentleman, and, in many an instance, to the feudal hall, deserted by its owner for a more modern and magnificent residence. The London tradesman was on a footing with the London merchant of his youth: the cottager, whose father had been little better than a slave, living in a mud hut, which had provided neither for light nor fire, was possessed of most of the conveniences, and perhaps lived in the same tenement now possessed by his descendants. But they know little of mankind, and of the true church of Christ, who need to be informed, that long seasons of worldly

prosperity are unfavourable to the soul. It is amidst the tempests of persecution, and in the dark days of trial, that the church gathers strength. Beneath unclouded sunshine it languishes and faints. The increase of profession is no conclusive proof that piety increases. A religious age is not necessarily an age of religion. Professions, indeed, are of themselves of little value; they are often assumed to conceal the absence of piety—as knavish men are most forward to proclaim their own integrity. In one sense the reign of James is the most religious part of our history; for religion was then fashionable. The forms of state, the king's speeches, the debates in parliament, and the current literature, were filled with quotations from scripture, and quaint allusions to sacred things; but underneath this promising exterior the current of real piety was shallow, we suspect. It wanted earnestness, depth, sincerity. The king was eminently a religious man (in the sense in which the term was then applied), but he was an habitual swearer, a drunkard, and a liar. Yet he was committed to the grave with most unmeasured eulogies. The bishop of Lincoln, in his funeral sermon, compared him with king Solomon, and shewed that he was his equal in every point, his superior in not a few: and he assured his audience, in conclusion, that their departed monarch was “now reigning gloriously with God in heaven.” Bishop Hall followed in a strain of adulation scarcely less offensive. If the best men could stoop to this, we leave the reader to infer the

CHAPTER  
XIII.

CHAS. I.

A. D. 1624.

CHAPTER XIII. average standard of morality in England; and  
 CHAS. I. how far, in the general practice, virtue and re-  
 A. D. 1624. ligion were divorced from each other: the one  
 banished with disdain; the other, or rather the  
 phantom which had assumed its form, retained,  
 and pampered, and caressed.

3. The divinity of the times, as we have already said, partook largely of the same heartless character: it was at once artificial and, to a great degree, misdirected. Admiration seemed to be the preacher's highest aim; and if the structure of words and sentences, elaborately complicated, deserves applause, it is pre-eminently due to these divines. But it is difficult to believe that such things ever reached the heart; it is difficult to believe that they were seriously meant to reach it. They strike us as being merely college exercises, delivered at unseasonable times and places; and the preacher who could not display his scholastic niceties in the schools of Cambridge or Oxford, seems to have appeased his vanity by a recitation in the parish church. No man who is much in earnest delivers himself in quibbles and conceits; but in the strong impassioned words of nature, and in them alone. Tastes, it is true, may vary; but human nature in all ages appears to be very much the same.—Theology, too, had taken a most unhappy direction. The endless discussion of the five points of the calvinistic and arminian controversy was certain "to minister strife rather than godly edifying." Far be it from us to encourage the vulgar levity which would speak of

these points, or of any one of them, without the deepest reverence. They are truly amongst the deep things of God. Nor do we for a moment doubt that minds, deeply imbued with the spirit of true religion, and these too of the highest order, have dwelt upon them with intense study, and often with intense delight. Indeed, it is from such men that we expect a hearty concurrence in the assertion that a ministry that turns incessantly upon the discussion of these questions is misdirected and abused; and that its effects will be seen ere long in training up hearers "heady and high-minded." Yet when the Laudian party first appeared, such discussions appear to have taken almost exclusive possession of our pulpits. It was the natural consequence of the general heartlessness in religion. Under the same circumstances the phenomenon constantly re-appears. While the minister and his congregation are in a state of healthy piety, such stimulants are not required. When luxury, and sloth, and spiritual indifference, appear, speculative questions, which amuse but do not disturb, are the refuge of those who still endeavour to persuade themselves that all is right. But such a state of things cannot last: it is indeed one of the symptoms of decay. And if, at such a juncture, men of fearless minds, self-confident and resolute, assault the existing opinions, they find an easy triumph and are astonished at the facility with which the victory is won, over opponents who seemed to be invincible.

CHAPTER  
XIII.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1625.



CHAPTER  
XIII.

CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1628.

1628.

1628.

4. Thus the way was prepared for a new party, and its leaders soon appeared. Their accession to power was rendered more easy by political circumstances, for which the reader will naturally seek elsewhere. Laud was placed in the see of London; Montagu succeeded his opponent, bishop Carlton, at Chichester; and the Laudian party was now consolidated. Its temper was soon apparent; it was persecuting, angry, and exclusive. It meant to brook no rivals, and to suffer no opponents to exist. This was the short, unhappy period during which the king's prerogative was violently strained, and made the plea for every outrage. The Laudian party availed themselves of it, if indeed they did not direct it; and they proceeded at once, thus sheltered, to denounce the puritanical bishops, and to assail the spiritual heads of the church themselves. Dr. Toby Matthew was still archbishop of York: he died about this time, old and full of years, one of the last of the reformers; connected, by marriage with his daughter, with bishop Barlow, a confessor in the Marian persecution. He was the speaker of the convocation in the memorable year when it remonstrated with queen Elizabeth upon her severity to archbishop Grindal; he drew up the protest on its behalf; and his principles had never changed.

5. Archbishop Abbott had succeeded Bancroft in the primacy. He was a man of blameless life, learned, vigilant, of exemplary piety, an unwearied student, an able statesman. Such are the admis-

sions of both parties. His admirers add that he was an excellent divine, an able preacher, and a prelate of primitive sanctity. Yet, like his great predecessor Grindal, he fell into deep disgrace. Upon a visit to a nobleman with whom he had long held "a dear and entire friendship," in order to revive his spirits (from which we infer that he was unwell in health) he was invited to witness a chase, and a cross-bow was put into his hand to shoot one of the deer.\* Unhappily it swerved, and his arrow killed the keeper on the spot. His grief was overwhelming. He retired to Guildford, his native place, and took up his abode in the hospital which he himself had founded. James, who with all his faults was not in general unjust, silenced the scruples of those who reminded him that the primate was now a man of blood, and therefore incapable of the primacy, at once, by extending to him his royal pardon, and a full dispensation. The archbishop resumed his functions, but never forgot his griefs. Through the remainder of his life he kept a weekly fast on the day of his calamity. And he maintained the widow with a very liberal pension. The unfortunate occurrence ought to have been forgotten. Good men might have been expected to feel a deeper reverence for one thus constantly oppressed with unavailing sorrow. But Abbott was the friend of those who were now decried as puritans, and it was necessary to accomplish his disgrace. A commission was issued to five bishops, (of whom

CHAPTER  
XIII.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1628.

1621.

Oct. 1627.

\* Heylyn's Laud, B. ii. 56.

CHAPTER XIII. Laud, at this time bishop of Bath and Wells, was  
 one) to whom his jurisdiction was transferred.  
 CHAS. I. The archbishop meantime was sequestered, and  
 A. D. 1628. confined to his house in Kent. Fuller asserts  
 that his casual homicide was the alleged occasion  
 of his disgrace;\* but Heylyn† omits the charge  
 of homicide, and relates that the archbishop  
 was suspended because of his leaning to the pu-  
 ritans;—his real offence, no doubt,—the other  
 was but a pretext. It was one of Charles's most  
 infatuated acts, and it recoiled upon himself.  
 Dec. 1628. Within two years he was compelled to restore  
 the archbishop, and to become a suitor for his  
 good offices; giving him his hand to kiss, and en-  
 joining his presence at the council twice a-week.  
 But the interval of his suspension had been em-  
 ployed by the commission in promoting the inte-  
 rests of the Laudian party; that is, in harassing  
 the doctrinal puritans, rigidly enforcing the cere-  
 monies, and compelling churchwardens to place  
 the tables altar-wise. Their ablest chronicler  
 writes thus:‡ “by this breathing time, short as it  
 was, the church recovered strength again; and  
 the disgrace put upon the man did so disanimate  
 and deject the opposite party, that the balance  
 began visibly to turn on the church's side.” Such  
 was the spirit in which they wrote; and such the  
 position too at which they aimed. The Laudian  
 party were the church. The archbishop, and all  
 others however dignified by rank or station who

\* Fuller.

† Heylyn's Laud, B. iii. 108, 125.

‡ Heylyn, ut supra.

opposed them, were a mere faction—they were puritans.

CHAPTER  
XIII.

6. The archbishop was still suspended when proceedings were taken against Williams, bishop of Lincoln, and lord keeper of the seals. He too was a divine of the old school, appointed to both his dignities by the late king. It has been usual to decry him as a weak man, and a mere babler. Had he been so, his extraordinary rise is somewhat unaccountable. James was infirm enough no doubt, and too ready to promote those who flattered him. But happily he was hedged about with wise advisers; and it exceeds belief that they should have allowed him to place a weak man in trusts of such importance. The general charge against Williams was his puritanism; the proofs were distributed under two particulars. First, he refused to discountenance preaching; and secondly, he opposed the removal of communion tables, so as to place them altar-wise. We think it alike unnecessary to enter on the accusation and the defence; though both are ponderously written in the records of the times. A colorable charge was added, that being a privy councillor he had betrayed the secrets of the state. But the single fact alleged in evidence does not by any means sustain so grave a charge. On being urged to punish certain puritans within his diocese, he declined to do so; assigning as one reason for his conduct that the king himself had told him that for the future he meant to treat his puritan subjects with more forbearance.

CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1628.

CHAPTER  
XIII.

CHAS. I.

A. D. 1628.

Had the lord keeper alone been made acquainted with the king's intentions, still it was no state secret, but rather a matter which, for the king's honour, ought to be repeated. But the king himself had made the promise openly, in answer to an address from the puritans of Oxford; and secret there was none. The bishop had merely repeated what was sufficiently notorious. But he was already in disgrace, and had been called upon to resign the seals. He lived for ten years in retirement at his episcopal house at Buckden, and at length in 1637, on a charge of subornation of perjury, he was fined eight thousand pounds, suspended, and imprisoned during the king's pleasure. To this sentence, Laud, now archbishop of Canterbury, consented among the rest; aggravating the crime in a speech, says his biographer, of almost an hour long. He had been, he said, five times on his knees before the king on the bishop's behalf, but at length he felt bound to consent to the heaviest punishment. In the same year a second fine of eight thousand pounds was inflicted on the bishop, upon a different charge; his servants also were fined, as sharers in the crime of subornation of perjury. If the charge were just and well proven, the punishment was slight—far too slight indeed. Two years afterwards, however, the house of commons—the long parliament—who, with all their faults, are never charged with having overlooked episcopal delinquencies—petitioned the king to release

\* Fuller b. xi.



the bishop of Lincoln from his long imprisonment, and to restore him in his place in the house of peers. And, to complete the melancholy history, which needs no further comment, the king himself sent for him, loaded him with kindness, cancelled the judgments filed against him, and translated him to the archbishopric of York soon afterwards. Amongst his letters, which were seized, were some from a Mr. Osbaldeston, master of Westminster school, reflecting upon Laud's character. They were unbecoming and impertinent, but scarcely even in those days libellous; and it was not proved that the bishop had encouraged his correspondent, or indeed that he knew the letters were in his possession. Osbaldeston was fined five thousand pounds to the king,\* deprived of his school and living, and condemned to stand in the pillory in the Dean's yard, and to have his ears nailed thereto, in the presence of his scholars. At this very time, it is worthy of mention, such had been his success in tuition, and such the esteem in which he was held, that above four score doctors in the university and the three learned faculties, gratefully acknowledged their obligations to him as their preceptor.† Osbaldeston escaped by flight, and one of the first acts of the long parliament was to reverse the iniquitous decision. Each of these victims of oppression lived to give signal proofs of loyalty; the archbishop of York, calmly maintaining his own and

CHAPTER  
XIII.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1628—30.

1641.

\* Laud, b. iv. 63.    † Fuller, b. xi. p. 403, and Heylyn, b. iv. 63.

CHAPTER XIII.  
 CHAS. I.  
 A. D. 1630. his episcopal brethren's rights, became, ere long, almost as much the object of popular hatred as Laud himself: and Osbaldeston resigned his school,\* as the parliament became more violent, and sided with his sovereign, rather than consent to hold it from a revolutionary body. The noble revenge of christian men was theirs: to return good for evil; and, in the instance at least of the archbishop, to do well and suffer for it, and take it patiently.

7. Davenant, the learned and exemplary bishop of Salisbury, was another victim. For a sermon, preached in the presence of the king at Whitehall, he was summoned before the privy council, and charged with "at least a high contempt," for having presumed to meddle with some controverted points of doctrine. When he made his appearance, he was permitted to kneel for some time at the board, where Laud and other bishops were present; and was only requested to rise at the suggestion of a lay nobleman; and in other respects he was treated with indignity. Of his reputation in former times, let it suffice to remind the reader, that he had been one of the English representatives at the synod of Dort. In doctrine he was moderate; he was even supposed to lean towards the side of the arminians, or remonstrants: he strenuously maintained the doctrine of universal redemption. He has left on record a full account of the proceedings which took place in the presence of the king, and before the privy

\* Heylyn, v. 27.

council, as well as an outline of the sermon which gave so much offence. He had asserted that eternal life was the free gift of God (from the text, Romans vi. 23) through Christ, and not procured or premerited by man; and under one of the heads of his sermon he had "considered eternal life," he says, "with respect to the eternal destination thereof, which we call election." Davenant, as his admirable writings prove, was rather a practical, than a controversial, divine. He was not, in this instance, in the least aware that he had transgressed. Charles, it is true, had repeated his father's declaration against controversial sermons and speculative doctrines; but Davenant did not admit, he said, that he had preached anything forbidden, curious, or unnecessary; or that he had gone beyond the received doctrine of the church established in the seventeenth article. However he promised obedience for the future, and the affair ended. Harsnett, who had now succeeded Abbott as archbishop of York, conducted the prosecution; and Laud was present with other bishops, walking to and fro, but making no remarks on the proceedings. Such was the treatment which one of the mildest of the doctrinal puritans received from Charles and his advisers.\*

8. But the treatment of Hall, bishop successively of Exeter and Norwich, was still more unjust. He too had represented the church of England at the synod of Dort; but his views were moderate,

CHAPTER  
XIII.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1630.

\* Fuller iii. xi. 366.

CHAPTER

XIII.

CHAS. I.

A. D. 1630.

and he had even published a treatise, which he entitled *Via media*, with a view to reconcile, if possible, the differences on both sides. Nor was his devotion to the church of England questioned: since Laud himself requested him to take up his eloquent pen in defence of episcopacy and the liturgy. He did so, and produced his well known treatise on the subject. Five of the ablest of the presbyterian divines, under a feigned title, united their learning to furnish an answer. That their answer was deemed insufficient by their own party, we gather from the fact that Milton himself came to their assistance. The greatest men, it has been said, as if to compensate for their superiority, descend, in some one instance in their lives, to the mediocrity of ordinary natures. So Milton compounded for his greatness in a pamphlet altogether unworthy of his adversary, of himself, and of his cause. Bishop Hall was then considered an elegant writer, he is still admired as a pious and eloquent one. He is at this day the oldest English prose writer who is really popular. Elder writers, Sydney, Raleigh, Bacon, and even Milton, are consulted rather than read. Their style has become obsolete, and they are perused with something of a painful effort. But bishop Hall's pious meditations are still a household volume, read by all classes, published in all forms. His graphic pictures of scripture life and manners still delight us; his deep touches of nature still reach the heart; his solemn tender admonitions still affect the conscience. He has had a host of

imitators, and some independent followers in the same field, of whom the chief are Robinson Leicester, and Henry Blunt of Chelsea ; but he is, after all, unrivalled—one of the greatest of English writers, one of the best of English prelates.

CHAPTER  
XIII.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1630.

9. That such a man should have been disgraced is infamy to those who dishonoured him. He had modestly refused the see of Gloucester, but was raised to that of Exeter soon afterwards. He was too important a person to be overlooked, and too sincere a protestant not to be suspected. He entered on his diocese, he tells us, amidst prejudice and suspicion : he was averse to the Laudian school in doctrine and in practice, and was disliked and marked. He soon had intelligence that he was surrounded with spies. Those who sat at the helm of the church viewed him with great jealousy as one who favoured the puritans ; his conduct was watched, and his proceedings exposed to the worst construction. Some persons of note,—if not of reputation,—assailed him with obloquy, both in the pulpit and directly at the court. The storm ran high. Three several times, says the venerable man, I was upon my knee to his majesty to answer for these great criminations ; and his many contests with “some great lords,” the king’s advisers, were too tedious to recite. At length he appealed to the archbishop of Canterbury—a dignity which Laud had now obtained—and told him that, rather than continue to be thus slandered and thwarted, he would resign his sacred office and “cast up his rochet.” Meanwhile his “wary brethren,”

1627.



CHAPTER XIII. that large and well-compacted body who in trou-  
 blous times mistake timidity for caution, and idly  
 think to escape danger by concealment, annoyed  
 him with messages of caution and letters of expostu-  
 lation. Still he was highly popular, and his popula-  
 rity was of that kind which comes uncourted,—the  
 spontaneous expression of deep respect purchased  
 by a blameless and consistent life. Returning home  
 from parliament he was met and welcomed by hun-  
 dreds of the citizens of Exeter. But the storm of po-  
 pular indignation now began to terrify the king; and  
 with a view, no doubt, of attaching to himself one  
 so able to assist him, bishop Hall was translated  
 to Norwich. But “he took the Tower,” he tells  
 us, “in the way.” The prelate who could not be ob-  
 sequious to Laud, was not likely to be awed by the  
 frenzy of a London mob, or the madness of the  
 house of commons. He subscribed archbishop  
 Williams’s protest, and with the rest of the bishops  
 was immediately impeached by the commons, and  
 committed for high treason. The Laudian party  
 was by this time destroyed; but the troubles of this  
 holy man were not ended.\* Ejected from his bi-  
 shopric, exposed to violence and insult, impove-  
 rished and in solitude, bishop Hall lived till  
 eighty-two, and died in peace; an object of more  
 veneration in his last years of poverty than in  
 the midst of all his honours and his usefulness.  
 When he could preach no longer, he became as  
 diligent to hear as he had once been to teach.  
 “How often,” says the preacher of his funeral

\* “Some especialities in his own life,” &c. Hall’s *Hard Measure*, &c.

sermon, "have we seen him walking alone, like old Jacob, with a staff to Bethel, the house of God." Five years before his death he lost her who for eight and forty years had been his dear and beloved companion through many a change. He suffered intensely from the stone, and he suffered much, no doubt, from the sad state of affairs;—the monarchy dissolved; the church overthrown. But he had a well-spring of happiness which even these griefs could not dry. His last tract was written on the occasion of his wife's death. Its title is expressive:—"Songs in the night; or cheerfulness in affliction."\*

CHAPTER  
XIII.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1630—40.

10. It would merely fatigue the reader to rehearse the indignities and barbarous punishments inflicted on less distinguished men. These are passages in our history which every one has read. The popular recollection of the star chamber and the court of high commission goes back, indeed, no further than the reign of Charles the first. Their names are odious to us from our childhood, chiefly because we know them in connection with Bastwick, Prynne, and Leighton, and their inhuman punishment in Palace-yard. But these are subjects rather political than pertaining to puritan history. From the treatment experienced by the leaders of the party, its inferior members could not fail to see that its extermination, and nothing less, would satisfy the court. They must bend before the storm, or resist it at their peril. The

\* Hughes' Memoir of Hall, 66; Jones's Life and Times of Bishop Hall.

CHAPTER XIII.  
 CHAS. I.  
 A. D.  
 1630—40.

amount of suffering inflicted on pious members and clergymen of the church beneath Laud's tyranny was great;—greater far, we suspect, from the few incidental notices that have been handed down to us, than is generally believed. We are speaking, not of those violent acts of legal outrage which claim a place in history, but of the petty tyrannies which vex and mortify in private life;—of the secret and malicious influence which thwarts exertion and baffles every prospect of success; which marks out its victim for the operation of the slow and secret poison under which hopes wither and reputation is destroyed. Had Laud succeeded, and the court party, the doctrinal puritans would undoubtedly have been expelled the church; for no doctrinal puritan could have remained in it with a safe conscience to practise Laud's injunctions, and read the book of sports. And if from these he had proceeded to bind the doctrinal peculiarities of his school upon their necks, the doctrinal puritans must one and all have instantly been silent.

11. The doctrinal puritans of Charles the first are a body of men entitled to our highest reverence. Amidst formalists they were spiritual. In times of faction they were peaceable. In an age of violence they were calm and moderate. They scrupled not to wear the surplice, to kneel at the eucharist, or to sign the cross in baptism. Chanting or singing of hymns was alike welcome to them, provided the congregation "made melody in their hearts unto the Lord." They had no sus-

CHAPTER  
XIII.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1630—40.

picious of episcopacy as a relic of antichrist, for not a few of them adorned the episcopal office: they were devout men; and prayers read from a liturgy, or uttered from the fulness of the heart in unpremeditated words, were prayers to them; for they were “the pure in heart, to whom all things are pure.” They taught the doctrines of the reformation, and in their own lives they revived the spirit of the reformers. Their historical fate is that which generally befalls good men whose lot is cast amongst the violent and factious. They rushed into no extremes; they set up no popular cry; they headed no party; and they followed none. When the king was under an evil influence, they remonstrated; when Laud played the tyrant, they withstood him to the face. When the house of commons in its turn entered upon its career of violence, they again protested, were insulted, imprisoned, and ruined. The church itself was overthrown, and the doctrinal puritans disappear with it. The evangelical principles of the reformation had begun to decline, under Whitgift and Bancroft, into a dry and sapless orthodoxy. Under Abbott, Hall, and Carlton, and a great number of the clergy like-minded with themselves, the true spirit of the reformation was revived; sound doctrines were taught; the christian virtues inculcated; and the religion of the bible practised, without enthusiasm and without superstition. These men were the evangelists of their age. But the storm thickened, and the rising tide beat every hour more angrily; and in the darkness and wild confusion that en-

CHAPTER XIII.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D.  
1630—40.

sued they disappear from sight. They were the true successors of the reformation. If Laud had attended to their warnings they would have saved the church: had the parliament taken heed to the example of their moderation they would have saved the monarchy. They seem to have been raised up, like the prophets of old, to foretel impending ruin, and to leave both factions without excuse. The sin of neglecting such counsellors was great, and the retribution that followed was appalling.



## CHAPTER XIV.

1. To relate the disastrous events which now CHAPTER XIV.  
 begin to crowd upon each other, belongs to secular CHAS. I.  
 historians, and to those who write the history of the A. D.  
 church of England. Our own less frequented path 1640—42.  
 lies apart, though not far remote, from either.  
 The puritans neither composed the nation nor the  
 church; but they were a body of men whose vary-  
 ing fortunes, whose triumphs and defeats, influ-  
 enced both church and state, and from time to  
 time left upon them traces which are yet indelible.  
 We have arrived at the period at which the his-  
 tory of the early puritans is drawing to a close;  
 they melt away and disappear from sight; and  
 henceforth the history becomes that of non-con-  
 formists, not of the puritans properly so termed.

2. Occupying an intermediate space, however,  
 another class appears. These are the democratic  
 puritans; strange men, whose history can be  
 likened only to a tornado which bursts on some  
 devoted land without a warning, covers it with  
 ruin and desolation, and hurries away. In  
 times of revolution it is only the violent who are

CHAPTER XIV.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D.  
1640—42.

heard. The voice must be loud and shrill that swells above the roaring of the tempest. The elder puritans had long since decayed, and their numbers were insignificant. The church puritans were men of peace. Whatever their opinions might have been in the abstract as to the lawfulness of an armed resistance, they were extremely reluctant to make the appeal to the sword in this instance. They could not identify themselves with either party. They were neither cavaliers nor roundheads. For Charles it was impossible for them to fight with alacrity. They knew too well his popish tendencies; they were too keenly alive to the danger of his un-English principles. For they understood far better than their predecessors the English constitution in church and state; and were far more competent than any who had gone before them to advance the interests of the one, and yet maintain the independence of the other. Nor, on the other hand, could they join the parliament: for then they must have arrayed themselves against the social order they revered as God's appointment; against a liturgy; against episcopacy; against the church itself. They seem to have stood aloof, and to have shared the usual fate of those who are neutral in a civil war—plundered by each of the contending parties, protected by neither; and when the scene brightens again, and the mists disperse, they have wasted away, and their place is nowhere to be found.

3. The democratic puritans felt none of these perplexities. They were men of ungoverned pas-

sions ; intense fanaticism ; and in general, we may add, and with a few exceptions, profoundly ignorant. They were sectaries, hating the presbyterians scarcely less than they hated the prelates. The policy of Laud is usually blamed as having called them into existence ; and his violent measures, it is true, contributed in no small degree to recruit their numbers and to render them important. But they were already powerful when Laud was an obscure person ; they threatened the state with mischief long before he goaded them into actual rebellion. James was still upon the throne when the sectaries excited painful apprehensions ; and the symptoms of the terrible convulsion which shook England to its centre were already unequivocally apparent. Bishop Hall himself—and it was not his character to be desponding—in a sermon preached before James, in 1624, takes up his prophetic lamentation, and deplores the sudden outburst of fanatical sectarianism. “ Unless it can be repressed speedily, we shall be,” said he, “ as when God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah, and it shall be with us as the prophet speaks of proud and glorious Babylon.”\* But the plague was not stayed, but rather aggravated by the impotent severities of those in power. In a speech delivered in his place in the house of lords, some years after, he once more called attention to the impending danger, the imminent hazard of which, he intimates, was not perceived even then. “ Alas ! my lords, I beseech you to consider what it

CHAPTER  
XIV.CHAS. I.  
A. D.  
1640—42.

1641.

\* Hall's Work, v. 236.

CHAPTER XIV.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D.  
1640—42.

is: that there should be in London and the suburbs no fewer than fourscore congregations of several sectaries, as I have been too credibly informed, instructed by cobblers, tailors, felt-makers, and such like trash; which are all taught to spit in the face of their mother, the church of England; and to defy and revile her government. From hence have issued that inundation of base and scurrilous pamphlets, in which papists and prelates, like oxen in a yoke, are still matched together." And, in a tone of becoming warmth, he adds; "give me leave humbly to beseech your lordships, to be tenderly sensible of these woeful and dangerous conditions of the times. If the government of the church of England be unlawful and unfit, abandon and disclaim it. But if otherwise, uphold and maintain it. Otherwise if these lawless outrages be yet suffered to gather head, who knows where they will end? My lords, if these men may, with impunity and freedom, thus bear down ecclesiastical authority, it is to be feared they will not rest there; but will be ready to affront the civil power too. Your lordships know that the Jack Straws, and Cades, and Wat Tylers, of former times, did not more cry down learning than nobility: and those of your lordships that have read the history of the anabaptistical tumults at Munster, will need no other item; let it be enough to say, that many of these sectaries are of the same profession. Shortly, therefore, let me humbly move your lordships to take these dangers and miseries of this poor church deeply to heart: and

upon this occasion, to give orders for the speedy redressing of these horrible insolencies; and for the stopping of that deluge of libellous invectives wherewith we are thus impetuously overflowed. Which, in all due submission, I humbly present to your lordships' wise and religious consideration.\* But the house of lords was now afraid to act alone; and the house of commons was more disposed to foment the evil, than to punish it. Sectarianism spread; each congregation became a focus of turbulence, if not of sedition. In general it professed neither allegiance nor affinity to any other sect or church whatever: each stood alone; the monstrous creation of unnatural times. These assemblies soon became the political clubs of later revolutions. When the presbyterians had overthrown the church, combining for once, they overthrew the presbyterians; but their impotence was then discovered; their power was merely destructive; the field was clear before them, but they could establish nothing—they had nothing to propose; and they brought religion into a state of the profoundest anarchy. It was thus in fact that the violence of the fanatics, exciting disgust and abhorrence in all reasonable minds, contributed powerfully, as if in atonement for their early excesses, to the restoration both of the church and monarchy.

4. There are times in the history of nations when the multitude suddenly break loose, and every existing institution is threatened with de-

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

\* Bishop Hall's Works, vol. x. pp. 65, 66.



CHAPTER XIV.  
 CHAS. I.  
 A. D. 1640—42.

struction. Such outbursts may in general be traced to grievous oppressions; to a long period of misrule; or to criminal neglects. But sometimes it appears, in these frightful times of anarchy, as if a strange delirium was abroad, of which the causes are inexplicable. Those who have least to complain of are most clamorous; those who have been most highly favoured are loudest in their discontent. The people are wearied with repose, and jaded with prosperity. The foundations of the earth are out of course, and God's judgments are abroad. And when the wild frenzy of the democratic puritans is to be explained, at the beginning of the reign of Charles the first, it will be found that, since no other sufficient cause can be assigned, it no less becomes an historian than a humble christian—it is not less philosophical than devout—to ascribe their full weight to these solemn considerations.

5. In alliance with the democratic puritans there were undoubtedly not a few men of different principles, who united with them against popery and the common foe, and were drawn into some of their excesses. They did not see their error till it was too late. Their fault was great; but the charge, from which it is impossible to relieve the memory of the Laudian party and the king, is, that they drove them on to madness. Men of saintly minds—bishops Hall, and Carlton, and many thousands of their followers—could bear oppression and insult meekly. But the lofty standard of what a christian man will forbear and suffer, is the ex-

ception at all times ; most of all in times of revolution. The political grievances were sufficient to have provoked resistance in any country, even the most servile, and would undoubtedly have done so ; and the religious hardships and wrongs of the times, were even more intolerable. Vast numbers of the laity forsook their pastors, and plunged headlong into the war ; and of these, a great proportion were hitherto members of the church of England. Baxter, who seldom misleads us with regard to facts, declares, that of the long parliament, which drew the sword against the king, only one member was a presbyterian ; all the rest conformed. And lord Clarendon, a courtly historian, confirms the general truth of his statement. Yet their conduct afterwards, proves that they were not church puritans. They belonged to another class ; they were not the pupils of bishop Hall, for they imprisoned him ; no more than of Laud, whom they put to death. They took other grounds, chiefly political, though flavoured with a religious pretext and colouring. Thus parties were combined afresh. Names, long venerated, remained on each side ; but they were no longer the symbols of the old party, or of the old distinctions.—We proceed to relate the grievances under which the great body of the English people were induced at length to array themselves against the church of their forefathers, and to regard its overthrow with indifference, if not with exultation.

6. The want of able preachers was great ; for the policy of the court had for some time been to

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

CHAPTER XIV. discourage preaching as much as possible. To  
 CHAS. I. remedy the evil, the puritans, with great acti-  
 A. D. vity, established lectureships both in London  
 1640—42. and through the country. Private gentlemen  
 retained the lecturers as chaplains in their man-  
 sions; the pious nobility had several, according  
 to their rank, who were engaged in a kind of  
 preaching mission upon their estates, and in the  
 neighbouring towns. Laud regarded these pro-  
 ceedings with great uneasiness. The lecturers were  
 looked down upon by the high church party with  
 great contempt; for they were mostly puritans,  
 and evaded strict conformity. They were neither  
 parsons, nor vicars, nor stipendiary curates. "In  
 fact," says Heylyn, "they were neither fish nor  
 flesh, nor good red herring." The king, at Laud's  
 suggestion, issued instructions to the bishops,  
 commanding them to suppress the lecture, if  
 preached in the afternoon; turning it into cate-  
 chizing by question and answer, and in other res-  
 pects to insist upon strict conformity.\* Gentlemen  
 beneath the rank of nobility, if not qualified  
 by law, were forbidden to retain their private  
 chaplains. These proceedings gave great uneasi-  
 1628. ness. Some of the bishops, Hall of Exeter among  
 the number, refused to interfere where the lec-  
 turers were men of known character and piety,  
 and in his diocese the exceptions, he tells us, were  
 few. The fatuity of Charles's counsels became  
 daily apparent. A proclamation was issued, and  
 rigidly enforced, to prevent the emigration of  
 the puritans to lands where they might enjoy

\* Heylyn's Laud, book iii. 127.

their religion undisturbed: and yet they were sternly forbidden the exercise of their religion at home. A company of foeffees was formed for purchasing impropriations. They erected a kind of corporation amongst themselves, consisting of twelve persons,\* clergymen, citizens, and lawyers, who soon collected large sums of money, bought up advowsons, and established lectureships, especially in corporate and market towns. Laud regarded the proceedings as dangerous both to church and state. The attorney-general was commanded to prosecute. The foeffees were called into the court of exchequer, the foeffment condemned, the impropriations they had bought confiscated, and the further merits of the cause deferred for a final sentence. But other troubles arose, and the matter appears to have been carried no further. For the present, however, the lectureships were suppressed.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

1630.

1633.

7. Equally irritating, and far more criminal, was the conduct of the court, urged forward by the Laudian party, in the revival of the sabbatarian question. At an assize held at Exeter, an order had been made by the judges, one of whom was the chief baron, and the other a puisne baron of the court of exchequer, for the suppression of Sunday revels in the western circuit. The lord mayor exerted his authority in London to prevent the desecration of the Lord's-day. In such times the most insignificant occurrences are important. A woman was prohibited by the lord

\* Heylyn's Laud, book iii. 134.

CHAPTER XIV. mayor from selling apples on the Sunday in St. Paul's church-yard. Alas! he could pretend to no jurisdiction there; and for that offence he was questioned and reprov'd by Laud, then bishop of London.\* The bitterness and scorn with which a devout observance of the Lord's-day was treated is perfectly incredible. The vilest heresy could not be more unsparingly denounced; of all crimes the greatest might have been to remember the sabbath-day to keep it holy. In short, the book of sports was reprinted, and issued anew, with a declaration subjoined by the king, commanding its publication through all parish churches; and this was done, it declares, "out of a pious care for the service of God." The sober part of the nation was struck with horror; vast numbers of the clergy refused to read the hateful document, and were silenced.† Many, still more deeply to be pitied, did violence to their consciences, and retained their livings. Some complied with the law, and read the book of sports, and then, immediately afterwards, the fourth commandment; calling upon the people to compare the two and judge accordingly. An act of tyranny irritates and inflames thousands more than it oppresses. The book of sports was degrading and oppressive to the clergy, who were obliged to read it; but to the laity, who were only compelled to listen, it was merely insulting and offensive. It proclaimed a licence to sin, but they were not bound to make use of it; it perverted God's word, but it could

Oct. 18,  
1633.

\* Heylyn's Laud, book iv. p. 8.    † Fuller, book x. anno 1634



not make them accept the perverted interpretation. But the indignation of the English people was not the less, upon that account ; nor ought it to have been less. The book of sports was an outrage upon the feelings of the nation, and the parliament expressed their opinion of it significantly. By their command it was burnt ten years afterwards by the common hangman in Cheapside, and the sheriffs were ordered to demand that every copy in private hands should be given up to them.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.  
A. D.

1640—42.

MAY 5,  
1643.

8. The affairs of Scotland contributed to increase the anxiety of the puritans at home. James had attempted to introduce episcopacy, and Charles was now determined, at whatever hazard, to carry the project into effect. The odium of these proceedings fell chiefly upon Laud, who succeeded to the primacy in August 1633, upon the death of Abbott. The indignation of the Scottish nation was inconceivable ; it contained in fact the germ of a revolution, and of a dreadful civil war. Their animosity was especially roused when they discovered, not only that the institutions of Knox and of their presbyterian discipline were to be superseded on the mere fiat of the sovereign,—to whom in spiritual things a presbyterian church assigns but a subordinate authority ; but further, that while the liturgy to be imposed upon them varied in several material points from that of the church of England, every alteration betrayed a tendency to popery. Other grievances were added. The Scotch nobility complained of the pride and assumption of the Scotch bishops. The meanest of

1637.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

July 23,  
1637.

1638.

the people were indignant that their church, which claimed to be as free and independent as any church in christendom,—a sister, not a daughter of the English church,—should be compelled to submit to the dictation of an English bishop. When at length the new service book was introduced at Edinburgh, in the presence of the privy council, the two archbishops of Scotland, several bishops, and the city magistrates, a tumult broke out in the church, which was in effect the opening of a rebellion. At first it seemed to be a mere outrage of the mob, “the scum of the city,” it was said;\* but a few days after, the whole nation took up the cause, and the enthusiastic cry was heard:—“God defend all those who will defend God’s cause; and God confound the service book and all the maintainers of it.”† The bishops barely escaped by flight or by concealment. Then followed, in quick and disastrous succession, the solemn league and covenant; the abolition of episcopacy in Scotland, with the king’s extorted consent, and soon afterwards, and as the consequence of this re-action, the ruin of the English church, and the entire subversion of episcopacy.

9. The solemn league and covenant was in substance the same which had been already twice subscribed. It was now sworn to, and subscribed once more with an enthusiasm equal to the danger which threatened the church of Scotland. By the common people it was regarded as

\* “The king’s declaration concerning the tumult in Scotland,” 17.

† Neal ii. 260.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

1642.

a sacred oracle ; and was taken by all who wished to have it thought they were not indifferent to the protestant faith, and to the liberties of Scotland. They bound themselves by this solemn oath, to defend the ancient doctrine and discipline of the kirk, under all the penalties which might befall transgressors in this life and in the life to come ; and, under the same awful sanctions, they swore, “ by the great name of God,” to resist all those “ errors and corruptions, (namely, the late innovations brought into the kirk), to the utmost of their power, all the days of their lives.” The oath was illegal, since the chief magistrate forbade and denounced it ; but indeed the whole proceeding defied the ordinary forms and usages of civil government.\* When, five years afterwards, the parliament, now in arms against the king, sought assistance from the Scotch, the latter made it the condition of their co-operation, that the solemn league and covenant should be embraced in England. To this dictation the parliament submitted,—but not the people of England. And hence resulted the pertinacious though futile endeavour to establish a presbyterian church, when our own episcopacy was overthrown.

10. There was still another cause for discontent. The king assembled a parliament, and with it a convocation, in April 1640. The parliament was dissolved within a few weeks ; and the convocation, according to the practice of the English constitution, ought to have been dissolved with it. But

\* Neal ii. 260.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

its sittings continued after the dissolution, under a special commission from the king. The legality of this step was questioned by the great lawyers of the day. The long parliament not only reversed the proceedings of the convocation, but impeached its leading members. One of the heaviest charges in archbishop Laud's indictment when brought to trial, was the part he took in this affair. Its proceedings were rash and violent, and produced the worst consequences. It enacted new canons and constitutions for the church. One of these extended the penalties already in force against popish recusants (penalties which could only be justified, if justified at all, by the most extreme necessity) to all anabaptists, brownists, separatists, or other sects or persons whatsoever who did not rigidly conform, and receive the holy communion according to law. Other canons followed in a similar strain. The state was no doubt in extreme peril from the madness of the sectaries. But the severity of the canon was indiscriminate, and therefore powerless. It was right to denounce sedition and to punish it; but it was monstrous to punish all non-conformity with fines, imprisonment, and exile. The canons served no other purpose than to increase the universal irritation. Six articles were also passed which asserted, in unqualified terms, the divine right of kings and the doctrine of passive obedience. In seeming anticipation of a doubt which was soon to perplex so many wise and loyal hearts, the convocation declared that for subjects to bear arms against

the king, either offensive or defensive, upon any pretence whatever, was to resist the powers ordained of God; for the order of kings, they say, is of divine right; being the ordinance of God himself, founded on the laws of nature and revelation.\* This doctrine the clergy were commanded to teach publicly once in each quarter of the year; or if they maintained any contrary position they were to be excommunicated and deprived.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.  
A. D.  
1640—42.

11. In quiet times these propositions would have passed unnoticed. The duty of obedience to civil rulers is unquestionable; the exact point at which disobedience becomes right, no casuistry will ever determine. In general terms it may be stated thus: it is the point at which the subject's duty to God is plainly and unequivocally in opposition to the commands of the civil ruler. Under all conceivable circumstances it is right to obey God rather than man. Peremptory decisions like these of 1640, raise more doubts than they set at rest. In the ferment which then existed the canon could only be mischievous. It exasperated the one party; the other, the king's adherents, did not require the stimulant.

12. The convocation imposed an oath which has ever since occupied a foremost place among puritan grievances. It is known as the et-cetera oath; and the clause which gave so much offence was this: "Nor will I ever give my consent to alter the government of this church by archbishops, bishops, deans and archdeacons, &c., as it stands now established, and as by right it now ought to

\* Canon i. convocation, published June 30, 1640.



CHAPTER

XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

stand.” But how much did this et-cetera comprehend? And to what did it refer? to the question of church government by archbishops and bishops merely? or to tables placed altar-wise: and bowings to the east? How would it be construed by the star chamber and by the court of high commission? And how ought it to be construed in the bosom of every man of conscience and integrity? These were no trifling questions. They were anxiously discussed in every parish in England, and in every parsonage. For “all such as were then in any ecclesiastical dignity,” all clergymen having benefice or cure of souls, were called upon to take the oath; and the penalty was, suspension for the first refusal, sequestration for the second, and deprivation for the third; a month’s deliberation being granted between each refusal.\* The oath was also imposed upon all the members of either university, and upon candidates for holy orders. Of the clergy many absolutely refused to take it. The London clergy, amongst whom occur the names of Goodwin and Calamy, petitioned the privy council for relief. Many denied that the convocation, or synod, was a lawful assembly, and spurned it with contempt. Bishop Hall so explained it in his diocese, as he tells us,† (and an et cetera seems to afford great latitude in the construction of an oath, whether in contracting or expanding it) as to make it sit lightly on the conscience. But many of the bishops compelled the clergy to take it on their knees;‡ a

\* Heylin’s *Laud*, book iv. 141.

† Jones’s *Life of Hall*, 181.

‡ Fuller xi. 171.

ceremony never required in England but in the oaths of allegiance and supremacy. Sanderson, afterwards bishop of Lincoln, wrote to Laud that multitudes of the clergy utterly refused to take the oath, or were brought to submit to it with the utmost reluctance. And that these were not men of the preciser sort, but such as willingly conformed; and that, in short, the peace of the church was in the greatest danger. Laud appears to have been, as usual, obstinate and inflexible; but happily the king was at York and he at Lambeth; and milder counsels prevailed. A letter was addressed in the king's name, by the secretary of state, to the archbishop, requiring him to dispense with the oath entirely till another convocation. Bishop Hall declares that he never tendered the oath to any one minister of his diocese, though he had many expostulatory letters from the archbishop,\* and sundry cautions from his wary brethren. He explained it for the satisfaction of others who might be called to submit to it.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

Sept. 30,  
1640.

13. But the terrible crisis had at length arrived. On the 3rd of November, 1640, the long parliament met. Three days afterwards, on the 6th of November, the proceedings of the convocation came beneath review. The indignation of the house overflowed: and it chiefly directed itself against the usurpation of the prelates, and "the bottomless perjury of an et-cetera oath;" an oath "to be taken," said lord Digby, "in the literal sense, whereof no two of the makers themselves,

\* Fuller xi. 171.

CHAPTER XIV. that I have heard of, would ever agree in the understanding of." The debate was adjourned to the 15th of December, in order to give time for the report of a committee, who were appointed to collect such materials as might assist the house in its decision. It was resolved unanimously, that the clergy assembled in convocation, or synod, or otherwise, have no power to make any constitutions, canons, or acts whatever, to bind either the laity or clergy, without consent of parliament. That the synod or convocation of 1640, was illegal; that its canons and constitutions contained many things contrary to the king's prerogative; to the fundamental laws and statutes of this realm; to the rights of parliament; to the property and liberty of the subject: matters tending to sedition, and of dangerous consequence; and that the grants, or contributions they had levied on the clergy for the king, were illegal. On the 16th of December, the first assault was made upon the unhappy Laud, under three heads. First, he was charged with forcing episcopacy on Scotland: secondly, for obtruding upon the Scotch a book of canons; for establishing a tyrannical power in the person of their prelates over the people; and for abolishing the kirk of Scotland: and, thirdly, with having introduced the book of common prayer without warrant from the kirk. Of each of these grievances the archbishop was said to be the chief author: they were first presented to the house of lords; and reported to the lower house at a conference. The door once opened, a furious

storm broke in. The archbishop was voted guilty of high treason by the lower house; and on the 26th of February, the impeachment was laid upon the bar of the house of lords in fourteen articles. On the 1st of March, surrounded by a mob which followed him with huzzas and insults until he arrived within the Tower gate, he was carried to the prison from which he only came forth, after a long and mournful captivity, to his trial and execution. None of the charges amounted to high treason: the punishment inflicted upon him was not justice but revenge. His faults are great, but they are overlooked in comparison with his misfortunes. The spectacle of greatness suffering is more touching, and the impression it leaves more lasting, than the remembrance of its crimes. Wherever the story of archbishop Laud shall be told, it is not his follies, his bigotry, his arrogance, but his death, that will be had in everlasting remembrance. So violence defeats itself; and thus the memory of the injured is righteously avenged.

14. The immediate consequence of Laud's impeachment was, that the spirit which broke out in parliament was caught up out of doors. The populace of London became little better than a mob frenzied with fanaticism. Petitions poured in demanding the abolition of episcopacy "root and branch." The words, first used in a violent address from the city of London, became a rallying cry. Root and branch petitions, with their thousands or tens of thousands of names, loaded the tables of both houses. The city apprentices

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

CHAPTER XIV.  
 CHAS. I.  
 A. D.  
 1640—42.

prayed that prelacy might be rooted up. The city porters complained that episcopacy was a burden too heavy for their shoulders. These petitions were received by the house with evident satisfaction ; for as the storm increased, parliament was driven helplessly before it. Wren of Ely, and several other bishops, were imprisoned or censured by parliament, upon general charges of severity against the puritans, and of entertaining Laudian principles. A bill was presented, and finally carried, degrading them from the peerage, and excluding them from parliament. In the interval before it passed, the mob were permitted, if not encouraged, to insult them as they passed along the streets on their way to the house of lords. Some, even of their friends, suggested that it would be but prudent to keep away, at least during the Christmas holidays, while the apprentices and the rabble were idle and at large. But they nobly determined to do their duty ; and, unable to pass through the streets, they went by water in their barges to Westminster ; but as they landed, the mob rushed down upon them with a volley of stones, and compelled them to retire. They met together, twelve in number—all the bishops who were in London at the time, except Laud and Wren, who were prisoners—and drew up a becoming protest, in which they declare that they had been deterred by violence from the discharge of their duty, and protest against all the proceedings of parliament during their compulsory absence “ as in themselves null

Dec. 1641.



and of none effect." Archbishop Williams of York, the chief offender, with the other bishops, was immediately voted guilty of high treason by the house of commons ; and they were committed to the Tower. The impeachment was never carried out, nor were they brought to trial ; the object, indeed, was already gained.

CHAPTER  
XIV.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D.  
1640—42.

15. Nothing less than the overthrow of the church of England would now satisfy a vast number of those who, a few months ago, had sought only for moderate and reasonable reforms. In revolutions this is almost invariably the case ; for a revolution is a fever ; the delirium of a nation's brain ; a judgment that God has sent to punish the pride and selfishness of rulers, the insubordination of the multitude, or the wickedness of both. Even the puritan clergy were insulted in the streets, and interrupted while they read the prayers. The Laudian party or the Romish priests were not more obnoxious. The clergy usually wore their canonical habits abroad ; and to be seen in a gown and bands, was to be hooted at if not assailed. Be the cause good or bad it is painful to observe how, when the populace break loose and take the work of reformation into their own hands, they resort to the same excesses. In the days of queen Mary, an over-zealous protestant, moved with indignation at the idolatrous service of the mass in St. Margaret's, Westminster, struck the officiating priest, and dashed the vessel from his hands ; and paid the forfeit of his rashness at the stake. In the same Saint Margaret's church at Westmin-

CHAPTER ster, while the house of commons were assembled  
 XIV. there, when the officiating minister began the  
 CHAS. I. eucharistic service at the communion table, the  
 A. D. congregation began a psalm, and the minister was  
 1640—42. silenced. At Saint Saviour's in Southwark, the  
 mob pulled down the rails which surrounded the  
 communion table. In other places they contented  
 themselves with tearing up the surplice or the  
 prayer book. Multitudes who knew little of  
 prayer, denounced the liturgy as a lifeless form.  
 And those whose lives proved that they knew  
 neither what they spake nor whereof they affirm-  
 ed, were ready to declaim against all forms, be-  
 cause they quenched, they said, the holy Spirit.\*

1642. 16. A last effort to conciliate was made by the  
 house of lords. On the 21st of March in this  
 eventful year, they appointed a committee for re-  
 ligion: it consisted of ten bishops and twenty  
 peers; and they were to call in the assistance of  
 other divines. Laud heard of it in his prison in  
 the Tower, and entered in his journal the follow-  
 ing words: "The lay votes will be double to the  
 clergy. This committee will meddle with doc-  
 trines as well as ceremonies, and will call some  
 divines to them to consider of the business. Upon  
 the whole, I believe this committee will prove the  
 national synod of England, to the great dishonour  
 of the church. And what else may follow on it  
 God knows."† It does not seem to have occurred  
 to him, that if the church of England had root in  
 the affections of the people, the ten earls and ten

\* Neal ii. 314, 315.

† Laud's Diary, p. 24.

barons on the committee had no reason for abandoning it; that if it had not, the only method of re-instating it was, to call in those who had the people's confidence, not necessarily to take their advice, but to listen to their objections. The house of lords was still warmly attached to episcopacy, though very sensitive of late of some of its abuses; and the divines called in to assist were the best men of either party. On the one side—or rather, perhaps, raised, by the greatness of his mind and the simplicity of his character, above all party considerations—was Usher archbishop of Armagh, as well as the bishops of Durham and Exeter, Dr. Samuel Ward, Saunderson, and others. Of the presbyterian party, or those who were so inclined, were White, Marshall, Calamy, and Hill. The conference lasted during six days. First, they took into consideration the recent innovations of doctrine; and it was complained that all the tenets of the council of Trent had, by one or other, been preached and printed; except those regarding the king's supremacy, which the statute had made treasonable: That good works were made to co-operate with faith for justification: That private confession, enumerating particular sins, was inculcated as needful to salvation: That the oblation of the elements in the Lord's supper was held to be a true sacrifice: That prayers for the dead, monastic vows, and other grievous errors, were inculcated. Secondly, the committee inquired into matters of conformity, and discovered that candlesticks were placed in parish churches “on the altars so

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

CHAPTER XIV.  
 CHAS. I.  
 A. D.  
 1640—42.

called :'' That canopies with curtains, in imitation of the veil before the holy of holies, were drawn around it : That a *credentia*, or side table, was made use of in the Lord's supper : That a direct prayer was forbidden before the sermon ; and that ministers were forbidden to expound at large the catechism to their parishioners ; from which we infer that the bidding prayer only was allowed in the pulpit, and that no explanations of the catechism were permitted : They objected too, that children when baptized, were carried to the altar and there offered up to God. And thirdly, they consulted about the common prayer book : whether some legendary saints ought not to be expunged from the calendar, and the apocryphal chapters from the lessons, and whether the rubric ought not to be amended in many particulars.\* But the day for conciliation had now passed. Laud and his party, in danger of losing all, would give up nothing. They distrusted the doctrinal puritans as men who intended to betray the church into the hands of her enemies, and they thwarted their efforts. The democratic party, upon the other hand, now dreaded moderate reforms as men resolved on the destruction of the church, and anxious to aggravate its faults. The committee sat till the middle of May, when, amidst the crash of revolutionary measures and the approach of civil war, it broke up, and accomplished nothing. Even then it was the opinion of moderate men

\* Fuller, book ii. 416. "This I write," he says, "out of the private notes of one of the committee."

that the conference, had it been permitted to continue, might have produced much good; that it might have saved the church, and rescued the monarchy; and that the civil war would still have been averted. It was the last effort. Political disquietudes followed each other in quick succession, and religious affairs soon occupied but a secondary place. Soon afterwards deans and chapters were abolished. The bill to abolish episcopacy was introduced again into the house of commons on the first of September: with what deliberation so grave a measure was discussed, we may infer from the fact that it passed the house of lords upon the tenth. In effect, the constitution of England was suspended both in church and state; and in August 1642 the king set up his standard, and the civil war began.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D.

1640—42.

---

17. There were few puritans in the army of king Charles when the war began. They were chiefly on the side of the parliament. It would be a study of the deepest interest to class, if it were possible, the various and discordant parties assembled in the popular camp; to investigate their motives, and to dissect their characters. Human nature, in our own land at least, never presented such a study; so difficult, so varied, and, could we succeed in comprehending it, so instructive. There was (for this is the substratum in every revolution) a vast mass of floating



CHAPTER XIV.  
 CHAS. I.  
 A. D.  
 1640—42.

discontent. There were protestants, who dreaded the return of popery ; patriots, who trembled for the liberties of England ; and earnest men of real piety, worn out with insults and persecutions, who believed that they took up arms in the cause of true religion, and therefore in the cause of God. There were enthusiasts, who sighed for a perfection unattainable on earth ; and fanatics, who sought for it by the most unjustifiable means. And mingled with these, no doubt, there were the selfish, who hoped to gain in the general uproar ; and the purely rebellious, who fought for the parliament, simply because the parliament fought against the king. All these took the name of puritans in history. But it is only with those to whom it more properly belongs, the religious puritans, that we are now concerned. What were their motives ? What were those considerations that induced religious men, and these neither few in number nor inconsiderable in rank and fortune, but in truth one half of England, to draw the sword against the king whom they still acknowledged to be their lawful sovereign ? Whether posterity choose to regard them as rebels or patriots, it has the same interest in discovering, if possible, the motives by which they were impelled.

18. Their cause they knew was good ; the only ground for hesitation was, whether the time had come to defend it in arms. That they sincerely believed the reformed religion to be in the greatest danger, admits of no doubt ; and that their fears were too well founded, admits of

none. The queen was a papist, and the king was almost at her disposal : in all matters of state her influence was unbounded. A horrible massacre of the protestants in Ireland had just taken place, and the court was charged with connivance and encouragement. The accusation is too horrible to be credited, except on the fullest evidence : and none such has yet appeared. The weakness of all the king's measures, his uncertainty of purpose and feebleness of action, had however been severely felt by his protestant subjects in Ireland. He had rendered them no timely assistance ; and it is possible that the queen, a bigot to her faith, may have secretly rejoiced. The courtiers displayed none of that depth of sorrow which even decency required. And the suspicion, if not the firm belief, that the court was a party to the massacre, universally prevailed on the side of the parliament. The favourable eye with which popery was looked upon by the court, and the tendency of the Laudian theology in the English church, added greatly to the universal apprehension. Was it right to take up arms in defence of the protestant faith ? If so, said the puritans, it is right to take up arms against the king.

CHAPTER  
XIV.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.

19. But while they fought against the king's person, they denied that they fought against the king's authority. They drew a distinction, by no means frivolous or unconstitutional, between Charles Stuart, the king of England, and the same person at the head of the royalist army at Nottingham. The king, they argued, was the

CHAPTER XIV.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.

highest of those constituted powers to whom the subject owes submission. But England was not an absolute monarchy. The parliament was one of the powers, to whom a christian and a subject owed submission likewise. The powers that be are ordained of God : but this was true of the parliament no less than of the monarchy, or else the parliament would have been nothing more at any time than an insolent usurpation. The king, it was true, declared in frequent manifestoes, that the parliament was in rebellion, and called upon his subjects to arm in his defence. But the parliament issued similar proclamations. They declared that the king was acting without their advice, and therefore without constitutional authority ; that he was in the hands of papists and malignants. They fought in the king's name, and on his behalf, they said ; though such was his infatuation, or the restraint he suffered, that they were compelled to fight against his person. If it were treason to fight against the king, was it less treason to fight against the parliament ? The fiction they adopted, of carrying on the war in the king's name, could not fail to have its effect with an army which believed that the king was a dupe, if not a prisoner, in the hands of their and his own worst enemies. Thousands believed firmly through the war, that they were fighting, not to overthrow the monarchy, but to restore it ; not to destroy the church, but to purify it. These considerations perplexed many on both sides, and gave assurance to others. When we

attempt to estimate the piety of the puritans who fought against the king, it is unjust to deny their weight to these considerations.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.

A. D. 1642.

20. Men in general will always judge rather by the appearance of things, than by calmly sifting the merits of the case and the comparative weight of opposing principles ; and to all appearance piety was entirely with the puritans. It was a common saying with the virtuous royalists, that the king had the better cause, but the parliament had the better men. The licentiousness of the king's army was deplorable ; his best friends spoke of it with shame, his enemies with indecent triumph. The king's chief officers were men of profligate lives, who made a jest of religion. The private soldiers, whose pay was irregular, lived chiefly on plunder, and they chiefly plundered the puritans. But the popular camp resounded, not with oaths and ribaldry, but with the murmurs of devotion or the louder strains of thanksgiving. Frequent preaching and exhortation, the reading of the scriptures, and religious conversation, filled up the intervals of martial duty. Around the army, property was safe ; and modesty passed by without a blush. The times did not permit neutrality ; and pious men naturally took their side with those by whom piety was respected. Numbers joined the parliament, and filled up the ranks of the army, merely because they saw the appearance of fervour and piety there, and the utter want of it in the royal camp. In time, the clergy who had been oppressed by Laud ; those who dreaded popery and



CHAPTER XIV. wished for a reformation of discipline; the deprived and silenced ministers, not a few in number; the lecturers and popular preachers whom Laud had in vain endeavoured to suppress; all these, compelled to choose their side, attached themselves to the army of the parliament. After the indecisive battle of Edge Hill, Baxter tells us that he found at Coventry upwards of thirty ministers who, like himself, had fled for refuge to a parliamentary garrison, from the fury of the royalists; though they had not yet interfered in the war on either side. This was the great cause of the strength of the parliament, and of the ruin of the king. A debauched rabble, encouraged by his gentry, and seconded by the common soldiers, took all puritans for their enemies and treated them as such; and every man was a puritan in their eyes who was heard to repeat a sermon, sing a psalm, or pray in his family. Such treatment filled the armies and garrisons of the parliament with sober and pious men. The king deplored these outrages, and issued a proclamation to repress them; but it had very little effect.\* These again are considerations which those who would understand and do justice to the puritans of 1642 will not hastily dismiss. They were of sufficient weight to influence the minds of such men as Howe and Owen, Marshall, and Calamy, and Baxter. The latter, writing long afterwards,—when age and reflection, and the utter failure of every hope entertained when the war began, may be supposed to have

CHAPTER  
XIV.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.

Nov. 25,  
1642.

\* Baxter's own Life, fol. p. 26—44.



chastened something at least of his party zeal— still thought the war had been inevitable. He cast a retrospective glance over the whole field of blood, from Edge Hill and Naseby to the execution of king Charles, and then again through the turbulent protectorate of Cromwell, and he still thought that the war was just, and that his own course as a chaplain to the army had been right in the sight of God. Upon the justice of his opinion men will differ; but what must have been those times and provocations which could leave a doubt in such a mind as Baxter's;—a doubt upon such a question as the alternative of submission to a lawful sovereign, or civil war with all its horrors?\*

CHAPTER  
XIV.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.

21. These are the justifications of the puritans; on the other hand, their faults were great. We speak of them in their religious character, and with reference to the particular points on which their conduct was affected by their puritanism. One fault of the party always was a strong tinge of enthusiasm; which means, not in its perverted sense, that they had too much devotion, but that they were too ready to assume that their own impressions were the voice of God. Whatever may have been the case with those who were drawn into the conflict in the manner we have just described, there was a vast multitude who entered upon it with very little hesitation or reluctance; and these were soon sufficiently numerous and powerful to

\* Baxter's Holy Commonwealth, pp. 470—480. This work was published in the last year of the commonwealth. On the restoration, a burst of censure fell upon its author, which he bore for ten years, when he suppressed the work, but without retracting the principles advanced in it. See Orme's Life of Baxter, 710.

CHAPTER XIV.  
CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.

impress their own character on the parliamentary cause; and as moulded in their hands, it has, in fact, been handed down to the present times in history. And the accusation of history against them is, that they followed their own will, while they professed to follow the will of God. This may seem severe to those who only call to mind their frequent seasons set apart for prayer and fasting, and observed with an awful solemnity. But if we compare the events of the times with the devotions of the parliament, it is too often evident that the former were already decided on without much reference to the latter; and that in truth God's direction was not sought upon their counsels, but his approbation upon their decisions already made and taken. Something of this was apparent from the first; but a few years afterwards, a solemn fast was notoriously the signal for an outrage; the feint to divert attention, just as the assault is about to be made in earnest. Even true religion had already lost much of its sobriety; and heat and passion were substituted instead of the real life of fervent piety—a decay which, we conceive, was at once a cause and a consequence of the neglect into which the established church, with its calmness and its liturgy, had fallen. Thus the puritans were led on, and entangled first in excesses and then in crimes. The best of them felt that they had been duped, and would gladly have withdrawn. But the storm rushed onward, and they were swept before it. They had given an impulse at first, under which they themselves were to be crushed hereafter.

CHAPTER  
XIV.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.

22. A grievous error had long infected the puritan theology. It had been first avowed in the infancy of the party, and tenaciously cherished ever since. The examples of the old testament in all political affairs were asserted to be those which christian men and christian communities were bound to follow; the old testament was in all these respects our pattern.\* The effect of such doctrines, preached in a camp with intense fervour, by one who was both soldier and divine, may be easily conceived. Saul's special commission to slay the Amalekites, and Samuel's judicial hewing of Agag in pieces before the Lord, were enforced as precedents which it was a want of faith not to receive and practise. The outrages on the side of the parliament, though few compared with what civil war has inflicted on other countries, are chiefly due to this fanatical perversion; we call it so, because it assumed the inspiration of him who undertook to copy the example of Saul or Samuel, or the heroes of the jewish church, in those particular instances in which their conduct was justified by the special command of God. The Author of life has a right to resume it when or how he will; his creatures invade his prerogative if they destroy it without his express permission; and his permission is now given to us, not in special instances, but in general laws. But the greater evil was, that religious men became more careless of shedding human blood.

\* See page 60 of this volume.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.

The spirit that would have fainted (though not in cowardice) after a single fight, and longed for peace, was stimulated to unnatural obduracy; the cause was God's, and the method was of divine appointment. The enemies of Christ, and of his church, were to be treated like the enemies of the Jewish church of old; this was the worst error of the puritans: eventually it destroyed their piety, their reputation, and their cause.

23. To those who study the errors of mankind for the sake of wisdom, or with the nobler view of erecting new barriers against the return of sins and calamities once endured, this point in puritan theology will afford matter for profound reflection. The notion that in the events and histories of the old testament the church of Christ must search for its warrants and precedents, was utterly inconsistent with evangelical doctrine. The inconsistency had been exposed, first by Whitgift, and then by Hooker; and it was of so gross a nature that, once refuted, obstinacy alone can account for its retention. Indeed, we have no doubt that the sudden decline of puritanism at the close of the reign of queen Elizabeth was owing, not to her unwise severities, but to the growing conviction that this great puritan stronghold was untenable. It was held only in a modified form at all times by the wiser puritans, who seem to have been satisfied by maintaining in general, that as the commands of God were, in every point and with regard to each particular, whether of church government or national rule, precise and

full, in the old testament, an equal precision; and the same kind and degree of minute and special direction, must be expected in the new. But even this was a false position, assumed in order to convict the church party of unscriptural superstition in erecting offices not expressly named in the new testament. And when such men as Cartwright forgot their church theories, and addressed themselves to the greater work of preaching Christ to sinners, they unconsciously demolished their own fortress and laid it waste. When they spoke, and few ever spoke more effectually, on the spiritual as opposed to the legal covenant, and of the liberty wherewith Christ hath made us free, as opposed to the covenant of works, they in fact conceded everything. As types and figurations, or as an exhibition of the bondage in which men were held till Christ appeared, these histories were of the highest price; but if received as precedents on some points, why not on all points? Why not slay the daily sacrifice if we must needs slay the Amalekite? The coarsest minds arrive with the least difficulty at remote analogies, and reason for the most part with least hesitation. The sectaries who broke out at the close of the reign of James, and were now spreading like locusts over the whole land, held and taught without any reserve that the actions recorded in the old testament were recorded for imitation. Perhaps this was not a necessary deduction from the premises of Cartwright and his school; but at least it was a natural and easy transition; or rather an extension of the

CHAPTER  
XIV.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.



CHAPTER same argument. If precedents for church govern-  
 XIV. ment were to be raked up from the archives of  
 CHAS. I. the jewish temple, why should not precedents in  
 A. D. 1642. politics be sought for in the archives of the jewish  
 state? The answer that God himself was the great  
 theocrat in the latter case, and that each political  
 action was done by his command, was not con-  
 clusive, because it was just as applicable to the  
 former. For God directed the temple worship,  
 always in the same, often in a much higher, sense  
 than the affairs of the jewish state. The fanatic  
 who invoked God's curse upon kings in the words  
 which prophets had made use of against Moab  
 and the children of Edom, or chanted in triumph  
 the song of Moses when the English Pharaoh and  
 his army perished, might have very safely chal-  
 lenged some of the elder puritans of a former age  
 to show why, upon their own principles, his conduct  
 should be severely blamed. They might prove  
 him rash and rebellious, and so far wrong; but  
 was the principle itself unchristian? Had they  
 not themselves adopted it? They had singled out  
 certain events and principles in Jewish history,  
 which they maintained were binding as precedents  
 and authorities in civil affairs; why might he not  
 extend the principle a little further; why not  
 make a new selection of his own?

24. But from whatever fountain the poison  
 had distilled, it infected multitudes. The religion  
 of a great number of those who now bore un-  
 worthily the name of puritan, was a strange com-  
 pound of christian doctrine, often distorted, and

engrafted upon jewish modes of thought and principles of action. The consequence was of a hybrid character, which has been always perfectly inexplicable to those who have not traced its parentage;—many christian virtues flourishing in high perfection, and a dark ferocity unworthy of civilized men. The jewish and the christian element by turns prevailing, and the puritan, in whom they strangely co-existed, by turns exciting our indignation and commanding our highest reverence.

CHAPTER  
XIV.

CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.

25. Perhaps it was in some measure a consequence of the same error that the doctrine of *impressions* became popular. It was the same mixture of faith and judaism. Prayer was offered devoutly through Jesus Christ, and faith professed. But answers to prayer were expected, such as the seers and prophets of old times had been wont to receive. The petition was offered upon the terms of the new testament; the answer was expected after the manner of the old. As enthusiasm increased, the puritans of this school became in one respect little better than the adulterous generation whom our Lord rebukes. They sought, if not a sign from heaven, some conscious impulse of the nature of a miracle. The sin was the same. Men demanded more than God would give. They in effect declare that his word and Spirit were not sufficient. Their pride must be gratified, each man's with a special revelation: something, the reader will understand, perfectly distinct from that sacred peace which God distributes to his people, and with which he fills their hearts while, in prayer

CHAPTER XIV.  
 CHAS. I.  
 A. D. 1642.

and the diligent study of his word, they wait upon him for instruction. Cromwell himself was the victim of this delusion. It was after a night spent in prayer, which ended, as he believed, in one of these divine impressions, that he consented to the death of Charles. John Howe was his chaplain, a man of whom none will speak with disrespect who have read a page of his writings, and he had preached in vain before him to expose the lamentable delusion. But it was the stronghold of the puritan soldiery. They sought their examples in the old testament. They marched to the field of battle, with awe upon their souls, as the executioners of God's vengeance. They believed that they were doing his work; doing it in the very way which he himself prescribed; to shew pity was unbelief, to turn back was apostacy from God.

26. These errors prevailed extensively when at length the civil war began; and they had been silently preparing thousands of religious men to gird on the sword without regret. Neither party seemed to have felt reluctance to make the last appeal to arms. Even the reformation of the church after the severest methods, would not have prevented it; for this had now become only one of many subjects of mutual exasperation. In the month of April, 1642, the lords and commons had published a declaration of their intention to reform the government and discipline of the church, but at the same time they were resolved, they said, to proceed with moderation.\* But in

\* Neal, vol. ii. 441.

August the power of the house of lords was almost ended, and the commons had, in fact, usurped the functions of both houses, and their tone was changed. They were anxious to propitiate the Scotch, and to obtain their assistance in the quarrel with the king. The general assembly urged the parliament to establish an uniformity of church government in the two kingdoms; meaning, to establish the presbyterian church in England. The house replied in a manner which sufficiently shewed that no difficulties would be raised on their part. They had by this time discovered "that the hierarchy was evil, justly offensive, burdensome to the kingdom, a great impediment to reformation, very prejudicial to the civil government." "In short," they say, "we are resolved that the same shall be taken away." In the same month the war began. Whatever the church puritans, who revered episcopacy as a sacred institution, if not divine, may have thought as to the conduct of Laud and the king, it was evidently impossible for them to side, after this declaration, with the parliament. Thus, at length, the church of England fell, and for a time the triumph of the puritans seemed to be complete. The astonishing recovery of the church, and the more astonishing discomfiture of the puritans, remain to be told; they form another history, pregnant with instruction to all men, and with solemn cautions to men of violence and strife.

27. It is a question which will never now receive a complete answer, but one so natural

CHAPTER  
XIV.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.

CHAPTER that it suggests itself probably to every reader,  
 XIV. what were the feelings, not of incensed fa-  
 CHAS. I. natics, but of the wise, pious, and thoughtful  
 A. D. 1642. men who sided with the parliament when the  
 church of England fell? Had they no deep mis-  
 givings? Did they really believe that the faults of  
 the church outweighed its usefulness; outweighed  
 it so as to demand its overthrow? Did they really  
 regard the subversion of episcopacy with com-  
 placent approbation? The Scotch asserted, in their  
 letter to the parliament, that the presbyterian dis-  
 cipline was ordained of God. There was no other  
 scriptural form of church government. Like the  
 pattern of the tabernacle, it had been shewn upon  
 the mount. Could these assertions have weight  
 with Calamy and Baxter, or with the laymen  
 of the party? Did St. John believe them, or  
 Hampden? Cromwell, it is known, detested the  
 assumption of the presbyterians. And when the  
 experiment was made, it was found impossible to  
 persuade the people of England to accept the pres-  
 bytery: except in two counties, Lancashire and a  
 part of Middlesex, it was not even tried. Or was it  
 that the best men on both sides looked on in silent  
 dismay, foreseeing evils they could do nothing to pre-  
 vent? Even then, the church of England was, under  
 God, the leader and mistress of the reformation.  
 What other church had been blessed with so many  
 eminent ministers, or with successes so amazing?  
 Where else had been found such an array of learn-  
 ing directed to the noblest ends, the glory of God,  
 and the present and eternal welfare of thousands



CHAPTER  
XIV.CHAS. I.  
A. D. 1642.

upon thousands? Even if learning was to be depreciated, and made no longer of account, could they hope, by the rude overthrow of a church within which were to be found so many wise and holy men, preachers so eloquent, and divines so deep, to prepare the ground, strewn with its ruins, for an institution of superior worth and greater usefulness, one more honourable to God and more effective? It is difficult to believe this of a great number of those (the most discerning and devout, we mean, and therefore least affected by clamour and the love of change) who certainly looked on in silence, and raised no warning voice. They had before them living witnesses, if of violence and tyranny in some of the prelates, in others of every christian every ministerial virtue. They must have been forced sometimes to reflect, that an institution which numbered amongst its members archbishop Usher, bishop Hall, and bishop Carlton, could not lie under God's curse. Why did they not attempt to rescue it from violence? Why array themselves, at least by their silence, against an order in the ministry and a form of government which had existed if not from apostolic, yet from primitive ages; which had furnished many of its ablest ministers to the church even in its brightest periods; and which had often saved it from deeper superstition, and retrieved it even in its worst?

28. But of such memorials, if such existed, few or none remain. Shame or fear, the accidents of time and civil war, have led to their destruction.

CHAPTER XIV.  
 CHAS. I.  
 A. D. 1642. One thing alone is certain ; the great body of the puritans were consenting to the church's overthrow, and in its ruins they and their principles were crushed. Like Samson of old, in more than mortal energy, and in judicial blindness, they were like him in their end : they were the instruments of inflicting upon the episcopal church an awful punishment, not altogether unmerited, but far beyond what her greatest faults deserved ; but the moment of their triumph was that in which they seemed to be smitten with paralysis and the hand of death.

FINIS.

## WORKS BY THE SAME AUTHOR.

---

The **CHURCHMANSHIP** of the **NEW TESTAMENT**: an Inquiry, Historical and Theological, into the Origin and Progress of certain Opinions which now agitate the Church of Christ. Post 8vo. 6s. cloth lettered.

### II.

**DISCOURSES** for the **FESTIVALS** of the **CHURCH** of **ENGLAND**. With Notes. 1 vol. 8vo. 12s. cloth lettered. — This volume contains a Discourse for every Festival for which a Collect and Epistle are appointed in the Prayer-book.

### III.

The **RULE** of **FASTING**, as set forth in Holy Scripture, and taught by the Church of England. A Manual for Lent. Second Edition, 1s. cloth.

### IV.

**SERMONS**. Second Edition. 12mo. 6s. cloth lettered.

### V.

The **COMINGS** of **CHRIST**: Five Advent Sermons on Haggai ii. 6—9. 12mo. cloth, 2s. 6d.

### VI.

**TWO SERMONS** on the **LIFE, MINISTRY, and DEATH** of the late Rev. **RICHARD MARKS**; Author of the *Retrospect*, &c. 1s. 6d.

### VII.

**SERMONS** from the **OLD TESTAMENT**. 12mo. 6s. cloth lettered.

### VIII.

A **TREATISE** on the **SPECIAL PROVIDENCE** of **GOD**: Also Two Dissertations—1. On Prophecy, 2. On Inspiration. By the late Edmund Dewdney, M.A. With a Biographical Preface by the Rev. J. B. MARSDEN. With Portrait. Price 5s. 6d.











# The History of The Early Puritans

*J. B. Marsden*

"Several volumes have been devoted to the description and history of the Puritan movement, and many of them have become quite scarce. Generally, the best works on the subject are the hardest to find, and this is the case with J.B. Marsden's two-volume magnum opus.

"Born in Liverpool, John Buxton Marsden (1803-1870) was a noted historical writer and pastor in the nineteenth century. For five years before his death, Marsden was incapacitated due to ill-health and was detained from service. He died on June 16, 1870, in Edgbaston, Birmingham, leaving behind him two volumes of English Puritan history, a history of the Christian church from the days of the Apostles, several sermons and biographical pieces, most notably, The Memoirs of the Rev. Samuel Marsden of Paramatta (1858), to whom he was no relation.

"Marsden's two volume history on English Puritanism, The History of the Early Puritans: From the Reformation to the Opening of the Civil War in 1642, and The History of the Later Puritans: From the Opening of the Civil War, provides an accurate and precise chronicle of the Puritan movement, from its infancy in the 1540s, to its full expression nearly one hundred years later. The author concludes his account with Puritanism's decline in the latter half of the seventeenth century, as evidenced by the ejection of two-thousand ministers in 1662.

"Marsden's work should be consulted as an authoritative source on the history and development of the Puritan idea, a serious perusal of which will provide a compelling, unrelenting, and magnificent account of England's greatest movement."

Randall J Pederson (Reformation Heritage Books)



Tentmaker Publications

121 Hartshill Road

Stoke-on-Trent

Staffs. ST4 7LU

U.K.

[www.tentmaker.org.uk](http://www.tentmaker.org.uk)

ISBN 1-899003-81-9

